University of San Diego Digital USD

Orders

Hirabayashi v. United States

5-24-1984

## Order: Motion of Government to Vacate Conviction Denied. Petition for Writ of Error Coram Nobis Set for Evidentiary Hearing

United States District Court - Western District of Washington

Follow this and additional works at: https://digital.sandiego.edu/hirabayashi\_orders

Part of the Law Commons

2 8/82)		
	1 2	FILED LODGED RECEIVED
	3 4	MAY 2 4 1984 AT SEATTLE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON NEDUTY
	5 6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	8 9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON GORDON K. HIRABAYASHI, ) ) Petitioner, ) No. C83-122V
	10 11 12	vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.
	13 14	Having considered the petition of Gordon K. Hirabayashi for

15 writ of error <u>coram nobis</u> and respondent's motion to vacate 16 petitioner's conviction and dismiss the underlying indictment, the 17 Court now finds and rules as follows:

а

Petitioner contends that this Court is without authority,
after petitioner's trial and conviction, to grant the government's
motion to vacate his conviction and dismiss the indictment
pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
In any event Rule 48(a) provides that the government may dismiss
an indictment only by leave of court. In the present case, where
petitioner seeks to have his petition considered on its merits,

25 26

AO 7 (Rev.

ORDER - 1

the Court is of the opinion that it is not in the public interest, over the objection of petitioner, to grant the government's motion to vacate the conviction and dismiss the indictment. The government's motion must in consequence be denied.

2. Petitioner requests the Court to take judicial notice of the many exhibits filed by him and, upon the basis of that judicial notice, to grant his petition and set aside his 1942 conviction. The Court is unable to take judicial notice of the information contained in the exhibits filed by petitioner because that information is neither (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of this court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. Federal Rules of Evidence 201.

3. Petitioner has, however, in the opinion of the Court, 14 made a prima facie showing that evidence essential to his defense 15 at his trial or upon his appeal may have been knowingly suppressed 16 by the government and that that suppression may have deprived the 17 petitioner of due process at his trial or upon his appeal. The 18 Court is of the opinion that it must hold an evidentiary hearing 19 in order to permit petitioner to attempt to demonstrate by 20 competent evidence that he was in fact denied due process at his trial or upon his appeal. At that evidentiary hearing the 22 government may, of course, introduce evidence that no material information was in fact suppressed. It may introduce, too, 24

25 26

21

23

AO 72 (Rev. 8/82)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

ORDER - 2

evidence to the effect that the information upon which petitioner grounds his petition has been public knowledge for so long that the doctrine of laches bars petitioner from being accorded the remedy which he now seeks.

The Court wishes to make clear that at the evidentiary 5 4. hearing, it does not intend to reexamine nor to rule upon the 6 wisdom of the exclusion or curfew orders of Lieutenant General 7 John L. DeWitt. The evidentiary hearing will be confined to the 8 presentation of evidence bearing upon the issues as to whether 9 representatives of the government knowingly withheld material 10 evidence from defendant and from the courts and as to whether 11 petitioner was in consequence, denied due process at his trial or 12 upon his appeal. 13

Accordingly, the motion of the government to vacate petitioner's conviction and to set-aside his conviction is DENIED. 15 The petition of petitioner for a writ of error coram nobis is set 16 down for an evidentiary hearing to commence upon June 17, 1985.

The Clerk of this Court is instructed to send uncertified 18 copies of this order to all counsel of record. 19

DATED this <u>24</u> day of May, 1984.

Danala S Barnun United States District Judge

ORDER - 3 26

AO 72 (Rev. 8<del>/</del>82),

1

2

3

4

14

17

20

21

22

23

24

25