Judge Huffman wins Professor of the Year honors

by Mitchell M.T. Kam

California Court of Appeal Associate Justice Richard D. Huffman recently managed a busy judicial schedule with dedicated teaching service as an Adjunct Professor of Law at the University of San Diego. When questioned how he is able to find the time to interact with his students at USD, taking into account his busy schedule, Huffman replied, "I usually take the time." Huffman, recognized in 1984 as "Prosecutor of the Year" by the California District Attorneys Association, commented on his latest honor. "It really is a tremendous award. You're fortunate when you receive awards of this fashion, particularly as a part-timer with a faculty as good as you have at USD. That you can be allowed to get into the finals with those people is an honor. To be selected, is frankly, overwhelming. I take it as a major award in my life."

Professor of the Year Richard D. Huffman Adjunct Professor of Law Associate Justice, California 4th District, Court of Appeal.

The Professor of the Year Award was recently established to honor faculty members for outstanding teaching service and exceptional personal responsiveness to students. Of the 30 nominations submitted by students, the field was narrowed to three after the SBA general elections. With Huffman's nearly 20 years of steadfast service at USD and his genuine interest in his students, it is not surprising that students came in numbers to vote "Professor of the Year" during the run-off elections. Huffman has had a distinguished career. After graduating from the University of Southern California School of Law in 1965, Huffman began his legal career as a Deputy Attorney General of California. After 1979, Huffman joined the District Attorney's office in San Diego. There, Huffman distinguished himself as a skilled prosecutor rising to the highest second highest position in the office by 1983. Huffman later began his judicial career after his appointment by Governor Deukmejian to the San Diego Superior Court in April, 1985. Appointment to his current position as Associate Justice on the 4th District California Court of Appeal came in August 1988. While admitting that he sometimes misses being one of the managers of an urban prosecutor's office, Huffman visibly expresses pleasure when discussing his current position. "This is a good court because people on this Court hold their independent views. There are people who you can talk to and you can disagree with in a professional fashion. So it's been a good place to work." He contrasted his current role with his former role as a trial judge on the San Diego Superior Court. "You have more opportunity here [Court of Appeal] to look at legal issues in depth, and you have research assistants who try to explore things a little more." Huffman's other career as a legal educator began early. "I Continued from Page 1

Patience pays off for students in Environmental Law Clinic case

Clean Water Act violation ends in $3 million fine by Charles D. Hvratin

If patience is a virtue, the Sierra Club and the students of USD's Environmental Law Clinic are saints. Those currently up for sainthood under Professors Robert Simmons and Corby Wharton's guidance are Claire Nelson and Michael Haberkorn.

Working on a suit that had originally been brought back in 1988, they have seen it come to a close with a judgment against the city of San Diego for $3 million due to non-compliance with the Clean Water Act since 1983.

The EPA initially brought suit against the city of San Diego, charging that the city was in violation of the Clean Water Act of 1972. Specifically, the city has not been in compliance with effluent limitations.

SBA Spring Election

Landslides, write-ins, make for yawner

Hanau wins run-off; Weiss, Sullivan new VP's

by Mary Smigieliski

After last year's heavily contested election, this year's was a welcome relief. It ran smoothly due to new-founded organization and much-needed election reform. The largest number of uncontested races and landslide victories left little room for controversy.

Shawn Randolph received 26 votes in her uncontested bid for President.

New Day Vice President Miles Weiss toppled Ann Dierickx with 65 percent of the vote. Weiss received 156 votes to Dierickx's 74.

John L. Sullivan ran unopposed for Evening Vice-President and received 33 votes.

The race for SBA Treasurer was the only race that necessitated a run-off election. Rosalinda Hanau won a landslide victory over Mark Bauman in the run-off. Hanau received 69 percent of the vote to Bauman's 31 percent (150 votes to 67 votes). The general election results were as follows: Hanau, 105; Bauman, 71; Lisa Connor, 61; Tamela Ridley, 50; Beth Silverman, 25; Kim Youse, 22; Glen Argenbright, 17; Arlene Galanek, 14; Carl Starrett was elected ABA representative with 187 votes.

Three Honor Court Justices were elected: Vince Rabago, 130 votes; Shenna Philpott, 96. Warner Brauduss became the first alternate with 73 votes; Cheryl Forber is the second alternate with 27 votes. With 86 votes Colin Atkins became the Honor Court's Preliminary Examiner. Twenty-seven write-in votes were cast for Glen Argenbright for the Honor Court General Council to give him that position.

Class representatives were elected as follows. For Second Year Day Representative, Robert Chong, 67 votes; Danny Rogert, 58; Sonia Church, a write-in candidate, 29. Shawn Skellen, another write-in candidate with six votes, became the Second Year Evening Representative. Third Year Day Representatives are Noel dela Rosa, 58 votes; Charlene Galanek, 48; Marty Kovalsky, 45. Liz Scott, a write-in candidate, received 10 votes for Third Year Evening Representative.

No votes were cast for Fourth Year Evening Representative. A representative will be appointed in the fall.

To win an SBA office, a candidate must receive "50 percent plus one" of the votes cast for a position. If no one candidate meets this standard, a run-off election takes place between the two candidates with the most votes. Whoever receives the most votes wins. A simple majority of the votes is sufficient for the Representative post.

See Election Page 20
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A view from the bench

Swin song closes door on view from the bench

by Charles D. Hvatin
Editor-in-Chief

1991 SBA President’s Awards

The last President’s Report. Awesome. Notwithstanding a promise earlier in the year to go to the editorializing in this column to a minimum, I will take this final opportunity to start a new tradition: the SBA President’s Awards. So, without anything left to answer for, here they are, and any further ado...

Bonehead Award I - To Paul DiFuria. Third-year SBA Representative, now graduated, who, even though he was my roommate, missed five SBA meetings in a row (out of about twelve). Those of us who know the Slug understanding that he was staying the whole time, should be heaved into the parking lot.

Pizza Hut Store #314 Award - To whoever discovered that having pizza at an event for students would increase participation by 100%. No doubt this trend will continue, which may be for the best: some of us third year students may end up delivering the pizzas.

George Bush Award - To Dean Strachan, brilliantly adept at foreign affairs, but still a bit confused as to domestic policy.

A lot of us are still waiting for a kinder, gentler, parking lot.

Bonehead Award II - To whoever designed the front doors to the library. They weigh a ton, requiring a running start to get them open from the inside; pity the poor fool who stands too close trying to get in from the outside (just ask "Black Eye" Koller). These doors pose a major problem for those of us who can’t run or even walk.

"Radar" O’Reilly Award - To Verna, for obvious reasons.

Star Chamber Award - To the members of the Faculty Council. Who, you’ll be interested to know, I really think\n\nought to be canonized (maybe we could put half of this energy into the plight of the poor in the world). Maybe next year.

Ligthen Up Award - To the stress zombies who freak out when the printer in the computer room doesn’t work; the parking lot fills up; or the copies machine breaks. If we could put half of this energy into the plight of the homeless, the elderly or the disabled, the world would be a much better place.

Bonehead Award III - To anybody who missed any of the following: the Fall Picnic, Gloria Allred, Martin Luther King Day activities, the Symposium on the Gulf War. Maybe next year.

No Guts, No Glory Award - To those professors who were invited to participate in the faculty debate on minority hiring and chose not because it was too hot a topic.

Good Men in a Storm Award - To Mitch Kam & Mark Milstein, whose participation in SBA made it all happen this year, despite an unruly President and a skeptical membership. These guys went so far above and beyond the call of duty that they ought to be canonized (maybe we can name a building after them).

Bays of Pigs Award - Whose idea was it to have a tram anyway?

SBA Medal of Honor - Lastly, the most prestigious President's Award (contradiction in terms?) goes to those who managed to keep a proper perspective and a smile through all the law school garbage, reminding us of the rest of us about what really matters. Dave Carducci, Stephanie Delaney, Cheryl Forbes, Brent Neck, Shawn Randolph, Rick & Sarah Madruga, Dawn Levy, Ceci Raby, Mitch & Deb Driklill, Karen Hurr, Jim & Heidi Broder.

The Indispensable Man

When you’re feeling important, Sometimes when you’re incomplete, Sometimes when you do it for granted, Sometimes when you take it for granted, The best quality you can have in the room; That’s the best quality you can have in the room; Your ego is in full bloom. Just follow these simple instructions, And see how it humbles your soul. Take a bucket and fill it with water; And put your head in it up to the waist. Pull it out and the hole that’s remaining, Looks quite the same as before. You can splash all you please when you enter. You can even use the water gallery. Pull it out and the hole that’s remaining, Looks quite the same as before.

The moral to this quaint example, Is just to do the best that you can. Be proud but always remember, There is no Indispensable Man.

See View from the Bench on Page 2

Marketplace of Ideas

President's Report

by Steve Smith
SBA President

The last President's Report. Awesome. Notwithstanding a promise earlier in the year to go to the editorializing in this column to a minimum, I will take this final opportunity to start a new tradition: the SBA President’s Awards. So, without anything left to answer for, here they are, and any further ado...

Bonehead Award I - To Paul DiFuria. Third-year SBA Representative, now graduated, who, even though he was my roommate, missed five SBA meetings in a row (out of about twelve). Those of us who know the Slug understood that he was staying the whole time, should be heaved into the parking lot.

Pizza Hut Store #314 Award - To whoever discovered that having pizza at an event for students would increase participation by 100%. No doubt this trend will continue, which may be for the best: some of us third year students may end up delivering the pizzas.

George Bush Award - To Dean Strachan, brilliantly adept at foreign affairs, but still a bit confused as to domestic policy.

A lot of us are still waiting for a kinder, gentler, parking lot.

Bonehead Award II - To whoever designed the front doors to the library. They weigh a ton, requiring a running start to get them open from the inside; pity the poor fool who stands too close trying to get in from the outside (just ask "Black Eye" Koller). These doors pose a major problem for those of us who can’t run or even walk.

"Radar" O’Reilly Award - To Verna, for obvious reasons.

Star Chamber Award - To the members of the Faculty Council. Who, you’ll be interested to know, I really think ought to be canonized (maybe we could put half of this energy into the plight of the poor in the world). Maybe next year.

Ligthen Up Award - To the stress zombies who freak out when the printer in the computer room doesn’t work; the parking lot fills up; or the copies machine breaks. If we could put half of this energy into the plight of the homeless, the elderly or the disabled, the world would be a much better place.

Bonehead Award III - To anybody who missed any of the following: the Fall Picnic, Gloria Allred, Martin Luther King Day activities, the Symposium on the Gulf War. Maybe next year.

No Guts, No Glory Award - To those professors who were invited to participate in the faculty debate on minority hiring and chose not because it was too hot a topic.

Good Men in a Storm Award - To Mitch Kam & Mark Milstein, whose participation in SBA made it all happen this year, despite an unruly President and a skeptical membership. These guys went so far above and beyond the call of duty that they ought to be canonized (maybe we can name a building after them).

Bays of Pigs Award - Whose idea was it to have a tram anyway?

SBA Medal of Honor - Lastly, the most prestigious President's Award (contradiction in terms?) goes to those who managed to keep a proper perspective and a smile through all the law school garbage, reminding us of the rest of us about what really matters. Dave Carducci, Stephanie Delaney, Cheryl Forbes, Brent Neck, Shawn Randolph, Rick & Sarah Madruga, Dawn Levy, Ceci Raby, Mitch & Deb Driklill, Karen Hurr, Jim & Heidi Broder.

The Warrens v. St. Thomas More

In the past few weeks, letters criticizing the renaming of the law school, support of both USD student newspapers, Motions and the Vista. These letters were honest and forthright, from thoughtful students who are legitimately concerned. As a member of the student bar association regarding Dean Strachan’s concern over the effect of these comments have flourished, and most of these are at least partly false.

Early this year, Mr. and Mrs. Frank Warren, long-time benefactors of USD, made an offer to donate $2 million to the law school. No strings were attached. In response to the offer, the University Board of Trustees suggested that a gift of this size constituted a "tyrannical" gift, and that renaming the law school in their honor would be inappropriate. This is a common practice, among private and public schools, and has long been recognized by USD as a viable means of honoring donors, and encouraging future donations.

More importantly, we must look at what $2 million represents. For example, as part of an endowment this money could guarantee 50 percent scholarships for a large number of students each year, could be used to provide support services to the clinics, or could be used to fund a public interest loan repayment program, all in perpetuity. There are those who say that you just shouldn’t sell things, at any cost. But, would those people say the same thing if the donation were $2 billion (thus allowing the school to retroactively refund tuition to every student for the last five years)? Everybody has their price, and the University’s is $2 million, a lot of money which will go to a school which has decided to rename another segment of the university. Dean Strachan has since come to SBA and suggested that if students felt so inclined they could write the Warrens thanking them for the gift.

The Warrens have not threatened to withdraw their gift, nor to take any other formal action in response to these letters. They have expressed concern and disappointment, however, and have decided to cancel a reception in their honor. No doubt there are some who are concerned that the law school should not be redesigned in any way. Whether you support or oppose the renaming of the school, whether you feel sympathy or contempt for the Warrens, please take the time to find out the facts, and remember that theirs was a gift of $2 million, which will benefit all of us for a long time.

Locke Recall

As a result of the Locke recall, 160 locker buckets have become available for student use. These lockers are available for use on a first-come, first-serve basis. If you have not already done so, please register with Jennifer DeWitt in the law school facilities office.

Items removed from any of these lockers can be claimed in the SBA office or by calling 260-4600, x4346 until April 15, 1991.
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Now that the 1991-92 Student Bar Association officers and representatives have been elected, we are looking toward the next academic year. We are currently working in conjunction with the 1990-91 SBA to make a smooth transition into next year's council. We, as a student body, have been very lucky to have had such outstanding and committed people working for us in our student government. They will be missed, but I am confident that the newly elected SBA council will be just as hardworking and faithful to student concerns.

There are already plans in the work for next year. Unfortunately you have seen (ad nauseam) the petition that has been floating around classes that relates to the clinical programs. I have been working to get it processed and presented to the administration before the end of the year, and I hope that it will have some effect in time for the 1991-92 class registration. Without a doubt there will be long waiting lists for many of the clinical programs if the problem is not addressed.

The petition is reprinted below (approx. 550 signatures), along with a resolution that passed with nearly unanimous SBA approval. Copies of the petitions and the resolution will be presented to both Dean Strachan and Associate Dean Shue.

***

PETITION

To: The U.S.D. School of Law Faculty and Administration

From: The Student Bar Association

Re: The Clinical Programs

The purpose of this petition is to alert the USD School of Law administration and faculty to student concerns regarding the clinical programs. Because of the limited number of sections available, there is an inadequate number of spaces available to meet student needs.

Currently, the clinical programs include such classes as Advanced Trial Advocacy, Criminal Clinic, Civil Clinic, Environmental Clinic, Interviewing and Counseling, and Negotiations. These programs are designed to teach students the skills of practical actuality.

All of these courses have significant waiting lists each semester they are offered. As evidenced by these waiting lists students are unable to obtain the legal skills they need necessary for their legal education.

The undersigned ask that the administration add additional sections, teachers and resources to the clinical programs that are consistently oversubscribed.

***

SBA RESOLUTION

WHEREAS the Student Bar Association of the University of San Diego School of Law is aware that there is an inadequate number of spaces available to meet student needs in most of the clinical programs, and

WHEREAS a substantial number of USD law students have expressed a desire to see more resources devoted to clinical programs,

IT IS RESOLVED that the SBA supports USD law students in their request that additional sections, teachers, and resources be made available to existing clinical programs.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the SBA requests that the law school administration address this problem as soon as possible.

***

I am looking forward to serving as your SBA President for next year. Thanks for your support, and please feel free to come talk to me should you have any concerns about next year’s SBA.

Mark Bronovich
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In the Right

I learned to appreciate the beauty of Southern Cali-ifornia and was thankful that I didn’t go to a school where it was snowing outside in February. I also learned to appre-ciate Southern California as more than a vacation spot. Yes, I’ve learned to appreciate much more than the world of ideas and academia these last three years. As the sun set, I didn’t think about the homework that I was neglecting, but about how thankful I was. After all the disappoint-ment, humiliation and frustration I’ve experienced these last three years, I realize that it has all been worth it. For I’ve not only grown intellectually, but as a human being as well.

I’m still working on that “ability to argue.”

To: The SBA

Re: The Clinical Programs

I’ve not only grown intellectually, but as a human being as well.

...changes in Latitudes...
Letters to Motions

Student tired of late-semester teacher aggressiveness

Dear Letters to Motions:

It's happening again. Maybe you've noticed it too. They are getting hostile, snippy, rude and downright mean. "They" are our professors. Formerly even-tempered, well-mannered (relatively) professors; seemingly content to gently lead and coax their flock to a fountain of knowledge. Okay, I'm exaggerating. I don't think anyone could describe the "law school experience" in such pastoral terms with a straight face. Even given the normal ups and downs of the moods of both professors and students, we all seem to function tolerably well together until "it" happens.

"It" is the last month of class. And "it" is upon us again. And true to form "they" are upon us again too. Now, I don't expect that professors, being people also, won't on occasion become fed-up and frustrated with the dispassionate bunch of people law students are. I can surely sympathize with the professors—they've done their homework, their assignments...about myself...about others...and about this noble subject called law.

Myself

First and foremost, I feel that if nothing else, I can take away from my law school experience the things I've learned about myself. Most notably, those at times gut-wrenching, soul searching days of first year I believe I learned much about myself; what I am capable of; and what I am not capable of...where my interests lie and where they do not. Throughout law school, there are others who will work harder than me and those who were simply brighter than me. I take my hat off to them.

Continuum of friends

Though I may not know all of my classmates, the ones that I do know, I do so on a continuum from just knowing their name, to acquaintance, to colleague, to trusted friend. Surprisingly...or perhaps not, I feel that I have a fair understanding of the people in my class that I came to know on that same continuum, from first year to third; whatever comes you will have my respect and admiration. Again, years from now when I see a name in the newspaper or a face on TV news (hopefully on good terms) I will proudly tell others, yes, he or she was a classmate of mine. Wherever our callings take us, from the feistiest litigator to the most meticulous transactional attorneys to those who choose to go into public service, business or the myriad of other careers that our legal training has prepared us for in one way or another, may we do ourselves, our families and friends, our school, our professors, administrators and classmates proud.

Law school epilogue resounds with peer praise

by Jon Ocepek

What's it all about...

As my law school days come to an end I feel the urge to wax philosophical (or something like that.) Please bear with me.

I think back on this odyssey of the past three years and I wonder what I've learned...about myself...about others...and about this noble subject called law.

Peers, profs & the populace

Finally, I thank those of you that I did get to know. I also thank those that I didn't get to know; those to whom I was perhaps just another ID number, name on a seating chart or face in the crowd; classmates, professors, the secretaries and administra tors, (especially the women in Records), the women at Career Planning, the men and women of Financial Aid, the librarians, the custodians.

To you my classmates I implore, take pride in your accomplishments, learn from your failures, keep an open mind, respect the opinions of others but, needless to say, take into consideration the two opinions that appeared in the last issue of Motions. I can only hope that you realize that these two voices do not speak for the majority of students at USD.

Sincerely,
Carl H. Starrett

Gina Fiore

Letters to Motions

Latest Warren chapter closes with show of student support

Dear Editor:

I am writing regarding the recent controversy over the renaming of the law school. Two student editorials seem to accuse the Warrens of making their gift contingent upon the renaming of the law school, which is apparently incorrect. The Warrens are upset because of the controversy their donation caused. Does anybody really care what name appears on the sign outside our law school? As far as I am concerned, this is the University of San Diego School of Law. I could not care less whose name is on the building. If the Board of Trustees wants to rename the building after someone making a generous contribution, then they are free to do just that. The faculty, administration, students, and quality of the school still remain the same. I can't speak for the Warrens, but I would be pretty dumb upset if someone questioned my motives for making a donation. We can at least show a little appreciation and respect rather than biting the hand that offers a generous gift.

The University of San Diego is a non-profit organization that relies heavily on outside donations. Maybe some of these donors do expect something in return, maybe they don't. If their motives are not truly humanitarian, they have to live with their own consciences. In either case, I should not jump to unwarranted conclusions about their motives. Many universities and colleges name buildings after people that make important contributions. Some buildings bear the names of people who donate their time and hard work. I see no logical reason to treat the two differently. The point is that these people have made important contributions to the growth and maturing of this university. To berate them for being generous is, quite simply, irresponsible.

I would also like to remind the readers that the University does not name buildings exclusively after rich donors. The University renamed an undergraduate building to honor the late Bishop Maher. But then again, I'm sure somebody will also find a way to criticize that action as well.

I would also like to extend my personal apologies to Mr. and Mrs. Warren. I am quite frankly embarrassed by the contents of the two opinions that appeared in the last issue of Motions. I can only hope that you realize that these two voices do not speak for the majority of students at USD.

Sincerely,
Carl H. Starrett

Gina Fiore
Editor's Note: This article originally appeared in Motions, under the title, "How I Spent My Summer Vacation...In Hell." With the upcoming bar for the 1991 graduates, it seems appropriate to run the story one more time.

by Robert McLaughlin

Imagine a life with no joy, no fun, no time, and no sports. That is life when you are studying for the bar. For example, my schedule was the following: up at 6:00 a.m. and study for one hour; at 6:30 a.m. get ready for work; work from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.; have lunch and then study till 6:30 p.m.; go home and study till 11:30 p.m.; watch news and then go to bed and do it all over. My life was typical of a student studying for the bar exam.

Most people studying for the bar exam can be categorized into three basic groups: (1) the average student with average study habits; (2) the anal retentive student; and (3) the repeater student (someone who has taken the bar exam before). I, and most other bar examiners fell into the first group.

The second category is composed of those students (and you know who you are) who finish their outlines two weeks before class ends. (The rest of us never even made an outline.) For example, I have a friend, who shall remain nameless, that got up every morning bright and early to have breakfast at school so he could wait for the bus? (what would Best v. Southland say about that?), or animated Professor Chickens拖着 his feet to class at 6:40 a.m., and not feeling all that good. In the afternoon, the performance exam was typical of past performance exams the bar committee had given and most people who have taken a bar exam in the past. This is an exam of national prominence and consistent legal pressure of the real exam. Then before the beginning of my first year of law school I had improved tremendously over the past three years. As a matter of fact, I have been continually improving every year. And at the risk of sounding sentimental, for me, Mitchell has improved tremendously over the past three years. As a matter of fact, I have been continually improving every year.

McLaughlin is a USD Law School Class of 1990 graduate. He is currently practicing law in San Diego, and studying for the July 1991 Nevada Bar.

Third-year -- on the road again as law detergent ends

by Mitchell M.T. Kam

For me, law school had never been a part of the master plan. An MBA and an eventual business and civil service career had always been the primary objective. So, in a sense, law school was honestly an afterthought. It seems like just yesterday I was enjoying a summer of Criminal Law before the beginning of my first year of law school. Many had warned me of the monochromatic journey I would endure through the three years of a legal education. In some ways we were warned, but in many other ways the voyage has been a very rewarding one, highlighted by many significant memories.

Many of my first-year "section-mates" will remember the beginning as a year-long ride on the (section) A-train from Hell. Who could forget Professor Brooks challenging us to "say something clever," Professor Kelly and those "Friggin Lame Chickens" (what would Best v. Southland say about that?), or animated Professor Nolan as poor Mrs. Seffert as she was dragged down the boulevard by an RTD bus?

Other memories that come to mind are studying, among other things, 300+ civil, passionat, fist-pounding advocate Brent Neck establish himself early as the future Court Justice Chiareson, ending the beginning of what would turn out to be months of construction and the infamous year-end book burning ceremony at the beach.

I have enjoyed working with the Lawyering Skills program over the past two years, where I have been continually impressed by the quality of student work that has improved with each entering class. It has also been a pleasure interacting with students, faculty and administrators while serving on the Student Bar Association.

Though there have been a number of complaints that have voiced as we outdated many months of change, our law school has improved tremendously over the past three years. In 1988, I remember frequently correcting people as I told them that I attended USD and not USC. Today, USD is rapidly developing its own distinctive reputation. Committed faculty, administrators and students have worked diligently to make our law school truly great.

Greatness never comes easily, but it is a realistic goal for USD.

The recently created Pardoe Legal Research Center provides a first-class facility that will continue as an invaluable resource to us as we begin our professional careers. The meteoric ascendance of our legal prominence and consistent legal scholarship exhibited by the San Diego Law Review are examples of the excellence cultivated at USD. The additional generous endowments provided by Sol Price and the Warrens undoubtedly create more opportunities for USD students and faculty alike.

Within the next several months most Class of 1991 graduates will see the passing of a number of milestones. From graduating, to taking and hopefully passing the bar, and the initial transition from student to legal practitioner, the ensuing events will all be significant. For me, I'll be finishing that MBA and pursuing a business and civil service career. This three year detour through law school has been filled with many lifelong friendships developed through many months of both joy and pain. I look forward, while. Many view a law degree as a means to an end, the practice of law. However, the experience you have prepared you for much more than that. You venture into your professional careers with highly developed analytical skills, experience from exposure to a myriad of perspectives and, hopefully, an understanding of how all of those skills picture together.

So, good luck to the Class of 1991 wherever your legal education may take you. And at the risk of sounding sentimental, thanks for the memories!
Public Interest Law

Chance of passage excellent for anti-secrecy bill

Research by Center leads to intro by Sen. Judiciary Chair from the CPIL Office

A model bill researched and drafted by USD's Center for Public Interest Law (CPIL) has been introduced in the current state legislative session by the powerful chair of the state Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator Bill Lockyer. CPIL's bill Senate Bill 711 (Lockyer), would prevent parties to litigation from entering into so-called "secrecy agreements," under which important information uncovered during litigation and relating to public health and safety may be sequestered in sealed court files forever.

As part of its general research and clinical efforts, CPIL has taken the lead in litigating and developing model statutes to encourage public government, often called "sunshine statutes." This particular bill would be a landmark step for California.

More Hall PILF

Pledges sought to fund summer public interest law internship
by David Carducci

The More Hall Public Interest Law Foundation is currently holding its Fourth Annual Pledge Drive. The Pledge Drive is scheduled to run from April 8-18.

The organization will be soliciting pledges from students and faculty of the law school. Money raised goes toward funding of paid summer internships for students choosing to work for public interest legal organizations. To make a pledge, look for the More Hall PILF table on the first floor of the law school.

More Hall PILF's requested pledge is one day's earnings from a student's summer or post-bar exam employment. For example, a student earning $12 per hour and working an eight-hour day would pledge $96. However, there is no minimum pledge amount, and pledges of any size are welcomed. The organization is urging those students reluctant to pledge a full day's earnings to at least make some small donation. Many Hall PILF pledges will add up to much needed legal assistance for the under-represented interests in our society.

Win-win situation

There are many reasons why students should make a pledge. First, it is an all-win situation for everybody. Public interest law agencies get much needed legal assistance from clerks they otherwise could not afford to hire. Student interns gain valuable work experience in the public interest field. And, a pledge is one way a law student can satisfy his professional responsibility to provide public interest legal services.

Recent studies have confirmed that access to the legal system is seriously limited for the poor in this country. Many groups in the legal community are urging adoption of mandatory pro bono for all attorneys to address this problem. If lawyers and law students want to avoid such an imposition, they must drastically increase their charitable contributions to the problem. One way to do this is to make a donation to an agency such as More Hall PILF that provides legal service to the poor.

Duty as a professional

The state puts great restrictions on who is admitted to practice law. Because of this, lawyers reap great profits from the system through high legal fees. Since lawyers are eager to take advantage of the system for their own personal gain, they should be willing to give back to that system. A justice system that only serves those who can pay is a flawed system.

Lawyers need to accept the responsibility they take on when they swear to serve the system as officers of the court. Making voluntary contributions of work or money is the way to do this.

Finally, any students complain they cannot afford to make a pledge. To this one can only respond with the ancient Hebrew proverb: "Take a pennip, I had no shoes until I met a man who had no feet."

San Diego pollution was the focus of a report by Walter Cronkite on last Wednesday, April 10, on KPBS, Channel 15. The project was taken on by the San Diego Oceans Foundation.

Bay pollution here in San Diego, has been the subject of a recent debate about the health of marine life, potential dangers to people eating fish from the bay and risks to swimmers who could be exposed to bacteria and viruses.

According to Executive Producer Carl Nettleton, the Foundation undertook the video project to examine the facts about the bay's pollution, and to promote the bay's cleanup.

The Oceans Foundation hopes the video will help build bridges of communication where they are most needed and contribute to all of us joining together to take care of this most valuable body of water," Nettleton said.

The Emmy award winning production team of Winters Productions produced the 30-minute video for the foundation.

"Sea World of California provided underwriting of production costs. "Sea World, not only provided funding support, it provided in-kind services such as providing photographers to take advantage of special shooting opportunities and hosted a special premiere of the event in late October," Nettleton said. Additional funding support came from the Ellen Browning Scripps Foundation. Nettleton expressed gratitude to both organizations for their contributions.

The Oceans Foundation has VHS copies of the video available to organizations, schools, libraries and government officials interested in the San Diego pollution issues.

More Hall Public Interest Law Foundation
1991 SPRING PLEDGE DRIVE

April 8-18
Law School - First Floor

For more information Contact David Carducci or A More Hall PILF Member
Clinic Wins: City draws $3 million fine for violation of consent decree

In January 1990, the city agreed to install secondary treatment systems as part of a proposed Consent Decree of which the city and the EPA entered. The Sierra Club lodged an objection due to the fact that water conservation measures were not included in the decree. In the Sierra Club motion to reject, it was argued that the proposed consent decree was lacking due to the fact that it didn't include water conservation measures. Additionally, it called for large quantities of chlorine to be used. According to Claire Nelson, the Sierra Club believed, "that there was a better alternative and a less costly system than that contained in the proposed consent decree."

A hearing was held, Nov. 1, 1991, to determine whether the proposed consent decree was in the public interest. The Sierra Club opposed the decree for the reasons stated above. At this time Councilman Bruce Henderson was also granted intervention status.

Brewster's ruling on the matter was continued until a February hearing when all parties would be allowed to present evidence on any harm to the marine environment caused by the existing treatment system at Point Loma. Citing a Dec. memo from Clinic staff, Brewster's reasoning was that since "no substantial harm" resulted, then maybe secondary treatment, as required by the consent decree, is an unnecessary expense."

According to Haberkorn and Nelson, who have over two years experience on the case between them, "the judge's reasoning appeared to be in direct contradiction with the Clean Water Act's requirement of secondary treatment to attain specific effluent limitations. This requirement is based on the need to control effluent limitations and not receiving water quality."**

Finally a Decision

Just last week, Judge Brewster found that the Point Loma plant was causing substantial environmental harm. He also concluded that the Sierra Club should be granted everything it fought so long to accomplish.

In a memorandum decision, Judge Brewster considered environmental impact evidence and cited three factors that led to a finding in favor of the Sierra Club. The balanced indigenous population (BIP) surrounding the outfall; the impact of the outfall on the Point Loma kelp bed; and the level of disease-carrying pathogens in the effluent pouring on recreational areas in the kelp bed were all detailed in the decision as the court used this evidence to form its decision. What the judge termed a "suspicious erosion of kelp" in the southern region of the kelp bed complicated any definitive conclusion as to the cause; but by a preponderance of the evidence the court was unable to find that the outfall was not responsible.

The third, and most significant factor, the concern for public health, was clearly abused with bacteria levels above levels allowed under the California Ocean Plan at least 10 percent of the time with some readings as high as 100 times the legal level. As a risk to scuba divers and other recreational users of the area, the Sierra Club determined it to be of significant harm to the environment. The memorandum pointed out that with the treatment of sewage from Tijuana, bacteria levels could be expected to rise.

Penalty Phase

Judge Brewster determined that the city's chronic sewage spills are substantially serious in nature. However, the city has shown some progress in areas such as having restaurants follow guidelines to avoid grease blockages in collection lines and there is no damage to the environment traceable to toxins or heavy metals from the Point Loma facility.

In assessing penalties, the court noted that under the Act fines could be levied totalling over $229 million. Based on the evidence presented, however, the judge imposed a penalty in the form of a $3 million fine against the city. Of that total, $500,000 will go to the city to cover any entry of judgment with the remaining $2.5 million also earmarked for the U.S. Treasury unless the city enacts the proposed four-element credit project -- one originally drafted by the Environmental Clinic which takes into consideration water conservation.

All new construction or remodeling permits would require lower volume toilets and new efficient faucets and shower heads; reselling of any building will require retrofitting to the specifications for new construction. Judge Brewster also ordered the city shall offer rebates to residents voluntarily retrofitting. The city's funding of the project should be no less than $500,000 per year. The court has retained jurisdiction over the matter for five years to assure compliance by the city.

Future Forecast

The future prospects bring with it a little more waiting, but at this point things seem to have taken shape. The existing Point Loma outfall will be extended and the use of chlorine will be eliminated in the Point Loma region. The Sierra Club continues to press the need for a South Bay reclamation plant. However, it is presently unclear whether or not the city will pursue further variance or water action to comply with treatment effluent limitations. All that seems left is the wait for approval.

Well within the Clinic's job was done, Nelson said, "The Clinic will continue to follow the project until the consent decree is entered. . . . Or until any other setbacks occur."

Earth Day festivities planned throughout the city and bay

Earth Day 1991 will be celebrated in Balboa Park on Sunday, April 21, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. Three hundred booths and exhibits throughout the park will display innovative methods of environmental conservation.

Among the many displays will be Recycling and Composting demonstrations; Alternative Energy Park; Xeriscape (minimal water landscape) demonstration; Native American Medicine Wheel; and Natural Food Promotions promising good health. The EarthFair will also be a showcase for local and international music entertainment, performance art and environmental speakers. The World Stage at the Organ Pavilion will feature Dr. Noel Brown, Director of the United Nations Environmental Programme and Brooke Newell, Director of United Earth, presenters of the international Earth Prize. The 500-member UCSD Gospel Choir will close the day with song. Reggae and folk groups will also headline at the Sun Stage and the Starlight Bowl. Children can enjoy the puppet theatre, face paintings, plays and music from Fattburger. The World Fair will be celebrated through the park every 15 minutes. Environm ental organizations will gather on the lawn and there will be a rally at noon. Earth Day Pavilion is slated for April 19 from 11 a.m. to 8 p.m. with local reggae bands, displays and speakers.

Earth Fair will also be a showcase for local and international music entertainment, performance art and environmental speakers. The World Stage at the Organ Pavilion will feature Dr. Noel Brown, Director of the United Nations Environmental Programme and Brooke Newell, Director of United Earth, presenters of the international Earth Prize. The 500-member UCSD Gospel Choir will close the day with song. Reggae and folk groups will also headline at the Sun Stage and the Starlight Bowl. Children can enjoy the puppet theatre, face paintings, plays and nature walks as well.

EarthFair 1991 will be celebrated in Balboa Park on Sunday, April 21, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. Three hundred booths and exhibits throughout the park will display innovative methods of environmental conservation.

Among the many displays will be Recycling and Composting demonstrations; Alternative Energy Park; Xeriscape (minimal water landscape) demonstration; Native American Medicine Wheel; and Natural Food Promotions promising good health. The EarthFair will also be a showcase for local and international music entertain ment, performance art and environmental speakers. The World Stage at the Organ Pavilion will feature Dr. Noel Brown, Director of the United Nations Environmental Programme and Brooke Newell, Director of United Earth, presenters of the international Earth Prize. The 500-member UCSD Gospel Choir will close the day with song. Reggae and folk groups will also headline at the Sun Stage and the Starlight Bowl. Children can enjoy the puppet theatre, face paintings, plays and nature walks as well.
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Among the many displays will be Recycling and Composting demonstrations; Alternative Energy Park; Xeriscape (minimal water landscape) demonstration; Native American Medicine Wheel; and Natural Food Promotions promising good health. The EarthFair will also be a showcase for local and international music entertainment, performance art and environmental speakers. The World Stage at the Organ Pavilion will feature Dr. Noel Brown, Director of the United Nations Environmental Programme and Brooke Newell, Director of United Earth, presenters of the international Earth Prize. The 500-member UCSD Gospel Choir will close the day with song. Reggae and folk groups will also headline at the Sun Stage and the Starlight Bowl. Children can enjoy the puppet theatre, face paintings, plays and nature walks as well.
FLEMING'S
FUNDAMENTALS
OF LAW

First Year Review
and
Advanced Seminars

Be Prepared and Pass Your Final Examinations

Be Prepared for Finals
What FYR/Advanced Seminars Will Do For Your...
- Review specific areas of Law through Outlines designed for each area covered.
- This material is not available in published form.
- Provide Exam Approach and Checklist for each area covered.
- Provide Exam Analysis and Issue Spotting for each area covered.
- Develop Outline Organization techniques for each area covered.
- Structure Adversary Arguments within the IRAC format.
- Provide Writing Technique for each area covered.
- Outline and analyze two final exam hypotheticals for each area covered.
- Provide Excellent Review for Multistate Examinations.
- Most of all, train you to Write Superior Answers.
- In addition, each student will have the opportunity to Write one Exam Hypothetical in each subject area. The completed exam may be sent to Fleming's Fundamentals of Law, 21661 Cripitana, Mission Viejo, CA 92692, along with a blank cassette tape and enclosed self-addressed envelope (required for its return). The exam will be critiqued extensively through audio cassette and returned to the student.

SCHEDULE OF CLASSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, March 30, 91</td>
<td>12 Noon to 5:00 pm</td>
<td>CIVIL PROCEDURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, April 3, 91</td>
<td>6:30 pm to 10:30 pm</td>
<td>CONTRACTS II - U.C.C. (Sale of Land, Recording Act, Property Transactions, Covenants, Equitable Servitudes, Zoning, Landlord-Tenant Relations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, April 8, 91</td>
<td>6:30 pm to 10:30 pm</td>
<td>CONTRACTS II - U.C.C. (Sale of Land, Recording Act, Property Transactions, Covenants, Equitable Servitudes, Zoning, Landlord-Tenant Relations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, April 9, 91</td>
<td>6:30 pm to 10:30 pm</td>
<td>REAL PROPERTY II (Sale of Land, Recording Act, Property Transactions, Covenants, Equitable Servitudes, Zoning, Landlord-Tenant Relations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday, April 19, 91</td>
<td>6:30 pm to 10:30 pm</td>
<td>REAL PROPERTY II (Sale of Land, Recording Act, Property Transactions, Covenants, Equitable Servitudes, Zoning, Landlord-Tenant Relations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, April 20, 91</td>
<td>1:00 pm to 5:00 pm</td>
<td>TORTS II (Strict Liability, Vicarious Liability, Products Liability, Negligence, Misrepresentation, Business Torts, Defamation, Inequity, Invasion of Privacy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, April 21, 91</td>
<td>1:00 pm to 5:00 pm</td>
<td>CONTRACTS II - U.C.C. (Sale of Land, Recording Act, Property Transactions, Covenants, Equitable Servitudes, Zoning, Landlord-Tenant Relations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Pre-Registration Price for Each Seminar Other than Civil Procedure – $50.00
Registration at Door If Space Available – $55.00
- Courses will be held at California Western School of Law, 350 Cedar Avenue, San Diego – Room 2B
- Courses will be held at The Ramada Old Town, 2415 Jefferson Street, San Diego – The Aztec Room
- 11 Seminars to be Held in Orange County April 22nd through May 1, 1991. If you have any questions please call.
- Courses Not Available Live are Available on Cassette Tape with Corresponding Outline. Price — $55.00

REGISTRATION FORM

(please type or print)

Name: ____________________________
Address: __________________________
City: ____________________________ State: ______ Zip: ______
Telephone: _______________________
Law School: _______________________
Number of Semesters Currently Enrolled: ______________
Seminars to be Attended ____________________________

Form of Payment: [ ] Check [ ] Money Order (Make Payable To Fleming’s Fundamentals of Law)

FELING’S FUNDAMENTALS OF LAW
21661 Cripitana, Mission Viejo, California 92692 • 714/770-7030
Registration at Door

Mr. Fleming has devoted his legal career towards the development of legal preparatory seminar design solely to aid Law Students and Bar Candidates in exam writing techniques and substantive law.
- Mr. Fleming’s experience includes the lecturing of Pre-Law School Seminars and First, Second and Third Year Law School Final Reviews. He is the Director and Lecturer of the Baby Bar Review Seminar and the Founder and Lecturer of the Legal Examination Writing Workshop. Both are seminars involving intense exam writing techniques designed to train the law student to write the superior answer. He is the Founder and Lecturer of Long/Short Term Bar Review. In addition, Professor Fleming is the Publisher of the Performance Examination Writing Manual, the author of the First Year Essay Examination Writing Workbook and the Second Year Essay Examination Writing Book. These are available in California Legal Bookstores.
- Mr. Fleming has taught as an Assistant Professor of the adjunct faculty at Western State University in Fullerton and is currently a Professor at the University of West Los Angeles School of Law where he has taught for the past eight years. He maintains a private practice in Orange County, California.
Appellate Moot Court Board

Board finishes the year in strong fashion

Winters competition gives 1L's chance to show off oral skills

by Laura Morton

The Ninth Annual Winters first-year oral advocacy competition will be held April 18-19. The competition is named after the late Professor John M. Winters. Professor Winters was so highly esteemed by his students that it was decided to name the competition among the first-year students in his honor. The competition is coordinated by the Moot Court Board in conjunction with the Lawyering Skills I professors.

The Winters competition is based solely on a student's oral scores received during the oral argument presented in Lawyering Skills I. Unlike other Moot Court competitions, it does not take into consideration the brief written by the students. While normally competitors do all of their own research and brief writing, for the Winters competitors will receive a brief which addresses both sides of the problem. It is the same brief which is distributed to the judges.

The competitors are the 12 students who are chosen as the best oral advocate from each of the 12 Lawyering Skills sections. In the event that one of the students should elect not to compete, there are alternates chosen according to the next highest score. This year's competitiors appear in the accompanying chart.

According to Moot Court Board Member Dave Steward, there are basic reasons why the competition is set up in this manner. The overriding concern is that the competition is held very close to finals and the board does not want to overburden students. Asking the students to do their own research and writing would be too time consuming and could adversely affect students who are participating in the competition.

In addition, the competition focuses solely on a student's oral skills without considering the research skills of the individual.

This year's brief will cover a torts issue.

Outgoing Board chooses successors

Between the Criminal Law Competition; the voting to rename it in honor of Professor Lou Kerig and the Winters Competition, the Moot Court Board has still found time to choose its successors for 1991-92.

The new board included the basis of moot court experience as well as other factors that come up in personal interviews and considerations were made.

The candidates themselves had to consider the positions thoroughly as each required a great time commitment.

The outgoing Moot Court Board announcement of the selection of the 1991-1992 board members went as follows:

Chair - Michael Tunink
Vice Chair - Kevin Childs
Tournament Coordinator - Dorothy Daniels
Treasurer - Kipp Williams
National Competition Director - Chioo Mooy
National Team: Paul Kantz, Suzanne Varco, Ed Rogals/Campus Friday
Four Tournament Coordinators were chosen from the candidates. The four winners are listed below:

Alumni Team - Leah Davis
 Jessup International Law - Janet Richardson
 St. Thomas More Constitutional Law - Steve Weisinger
 Lou Kerig Criminal Law - Julie Whalen

Board unanimously votes to name competition in honor of Kerig

On April 5, 1991, the Moot Court Board voted unanimously to name the USD In- tersetial Criminal Competition in honor of Professor Lou Kerig. The law school administration then whole heartedly approved of "The Lou Kerig Criminal Law Competition.'’

Professor Kerig, who has been a USD law professor since 1967, has been a contributing member to the Moot Court program over the years. Even when he isn't the Moot Court Board's official advisor, Professor Kerig is always available on a moment's notice to grade a stack of 30 or so briefs, to sacrifice his evening to judge oral arguments or to just give the Board some sound advice. Therefore, it seemed only fitting to honor Professor Kerig in some way.

Brent Neck, Chair of the 1990-91 Board, puts it this way, "Actually, it was not that Lou just supported the moot court program as an undertaking. He has been the backbone of the program here at USD. The Moot Court Board felt that we could show our appreciation to Lou by naming the Criminal Law Competition after him.

Anyone who knows Professor Kerig knows that he is also a gentleman inside the classroom and out. As a semi-retired, professor of evidence, Professor Kerig has a sharp and witty mind, yet still takes out the time to call students by their name outside of class. Countless USD Alumni can attest to the tremendous contributions Lou Kerig has made to USD faculty over the years.

A career soldier, Kerig retired from the U.S. Army in 1990 after 26 years of service. Colonel Kerig began his law career graduating with an LL.B. in 1950 from the University of Texas. Kerig has held positions as Assistant Pro- fessor of Law for the Judge Advocate General; International Law Specialist; and Associate Professor before being named Professor here at USD in 1969. He's been here ever since.

It's Time

4318 Voltaire Street • San Diego, CA • 92107
223-2252

"The Lou Kerig Criminal Law Competition." The outgoing Moot Court Board announced the selection of the candidates.
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RETROSPECT - USD SCHOOL OF LAW

OVER THE PAST FOUR YEARS THERE HAVE BEEN MANY ACTIVITIES FOR LAW STUDENTS. THE PICTURES INCLUDED HERE ARE JUST A FEW.

WITH HIGH CALIBER SPEAKERS LIKE SUPREME COURT JUSTICE SANDRA O'CONNOR AND ECONOMIST MILTON FRIEDMAN SET TO SPEAK

NEXT YEAR THE SCHOOL OF LAW CONTINUES TO BE A LEADER IN THE LEGAL FIELD.

1991 INTERNATIONAL LAW AND POLICY SYMPOSIUM

1990 BARRISTER'S BALL

1989 NATHANSON LECTURE
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RETRoSPECT - USD SCHOOL OF LAW

1989 CRITICAL LEGAL STUDIES LECTURE

1988 SUMMER ABROAD

LEGAL RESEARCH CENTER RENOVATION

Nathaniel L. Nathanson

1989 HALLOWEEN PARTY

1990 Moot Court Revue

Moot Court Winners
Fifth Annual
Barrister’s Ball

April 13, 1991
Omni Hotel
Downtown San Diego

Sponsored by Phi Delta Phi and the SBA
Woolsack to Motions through the years

February 1972

USD Co-Hosts Regional Conference

Sincity Departs from USD; Becomes Dean at Puget Sound

Students Selected for Committee Positions

The Woolsack

The University of San Diego School of Law

School Expands

from the Dean’s Desk

Paris Agrees With Bart

September 1973

April 1975

USD Undergoes Academic Facelift

Law Library Dedicated

Clinic to Expand

Weckstein to Relinquish Post

University of San Diego Law School

Motions

October 27, 1987

USD assists Israeli Constitution

Krantz to leave Law School

at end of ‘86-87 year

Last Month

March 19, 1991

Highlights

USD keeps winning ABA seats, awards

Starchan and Forbes honored, O’Connor elected Circuit Governor

Spring votes will decide top teacher, bylaws amendment

University of San Diego School of Law

March 19, 1991
Seek and you shall find -- funds for school
by J. Nagal

All pre-registration packages will contain important information for students applying for financial aid. The packages will include a list of scholarships, application forms, and work-study information for the summer and for next year. For the following academic year, summaries of the application procedure, available loans, scholarships, and other financial assistance and requirements are available from the Financial Aid Office.

Application Procedure
To be considered for priority financial assistance, need-based scholarships, and summer assistance, students should have already filed a Financial Aid Form (FAP or SAAC) with the College Scholarship Service (CSS). Financial assistance is still available; all documents, including USD's Financial Aid Application and applicant's processed FAP or SAAC, must be on file in the Financial Aid Office by June 1 to avoid penalties for late processing.

Loan Choices
There are a variety of loans available, summarized in the following chart. Applicants should check with the Financial Aid Office for possible changes in eligibility and interest rates. The Financial Aid Office also advises that the same lender be used to avoid complicating the process. Note that the LAL/LSL for 1991-92 will be available from the Financial Aid Office in the first two weeks of April.

Scholarships
There are various scholarships available to students with high scholastic averages and meeting different prerequisites.

Academic Achievement Scholarships: After the first year, three-fourths of tuition costs will be offered to the top 15 students in the first- and second-year Division classes, and to the top five students in each of first-, second-, and third-year Evening Division classes.

Private Scholarships: Many private scholarships are available, subject to availability of funds. Below is a list of those private scholarships. Information regarding special requirements is available from the Financial Aid Office or in the Law Student Handbook. Below is a list of current private scholarships.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>INT RATE</th>
<th>BASIS OF LOAN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carl Perkins Loan</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
<td>Per year*</td>
<td>Federal/University</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td>Long term, low interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turlon Credit Loan (TCL)</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>Per year*</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td>Only to be used for tuition costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert T. Stafford Student Loan Program (SLS)</td>
<td>Up to $7,500 per year</td>
<td>Federal/State</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td>Obligation through banks, credit unions, state agencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Loans for Students (SLS)</td>
<td>Up to $4,000 per year</td>
<td>Variable (with cap of 12%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Student Loan (LSL)</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Per year</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Variable (rate of 9%)</td>
<td>Amount not to exceed a total of $20,000 for graduate studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Access Loans (LSL)</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Per year</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td>No co-signer necessary, must demonstrate credit worthiness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar Exam Loans (SLS)</td>
<td>Up to $14,500 per year</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean's Emergency Loan Fund</td>
<td>Up to $500</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Unanticipated Need</td>
<td>For students in need of immediate financial assistance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Emergency Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Bar Assoc.</td>
<td>Up to $750</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Need</td>
<td>For students in need of urgent financial assistance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Loan Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Loan Repayment**
- Nine months after borrower ceases to be at least a half-time student.
- One year after student graduates or leaves school.
- Six months after borrower ceases to be at least a half-time student. Rate increases to 1% after first year.
- Nine months after graduation or withdrawal.
- May not interest accrue during student deferment period.
- Within 30 days.

**Loan application**
- Complete a Federal Student Application for Financial Aid.
- Apply for Perkins and Stafford Loans.
- Check with Financial Aid for other loans.
- Applications for Federal loans are available in Student Services.
- Applications for Perkins and Stafford Loans are available at the Financial Aid Office.
- Applications for private loans are available at the Financial Aid Office.
- Applications for Bar Loans are available at the Bar Office.

**Financial Aid Application**
- The Financial Aid Office must be notified of any change in eligibility, financial status, or other circumstances that may affect eligibility for financial aid.

Legal Research Center
The Legal Research Center will be celebrating National Library Day from April 14-20 with a Trivia Contest.

**Legal Research Center**

**Legal Research Center Hours**

Sunday, April 14 - Thursday, May 16
8:00 a.m. - Midnight
Summer abroad offers adventure of foreign laws

by J. Nagai

For students making summer plans it is still not too late to consider taking summer courses abroad through USD’s Institute on International and Comparative Law. The Institute offers four-to-six week summer law study programs in England, France, Ireland, Mexico, Poland, and the U.S.S.R. Each has been approved by the Accreditation Committee of the ABA section on Legal Education.

Courses are taught in English by Institute faculty; these courses meet the requirements of the Association of American Law Schools and the American Bar Association. Each course meets every day, Monday through Friday. No prerequisite is necessary to take any of the summer courses. Credits can be applied toward degree requirements.

In addition to the classes, students can take advantage of extra-curricular legal activities including tours of courts and other legal institutions, and informal gatherings with judges, practitioners, and foreign officials.

The cost of attending the program is summarized on the following chart. Note that the total cost of tuition, books, airfare, and housing may be less than the total cost of housing in San Diego and take the same number of units!

The Institute is not only open to USD students, but also to other law students, law school graduates, lawyers, professors, and judges from different countries.

To apply, complete the application form in the 1991 Summer Law Study brochure, available from Room 310, Warren Hall.

Those completing the program will receive a certificate, annual newsletters, and placement information on international law opportunities. In addition, those finishing a program may participate in a Mentor Program, in which the Institute introduces interested students to Institute alumni.

Brief summary of Institute programs offered:

England
The London Institute focuses on law relating to international business. This program offers opportunities to participate in a clinical experience in business law and practice through placement with British solicitors as corporate counsel. There is also a clinical program in English advocacy, offering placement with a barrister.

The Oxford program examines comparative law and providing useful insight to the American legal system. A tutorial program provides legal training by preparing papers and discussing them with the tutor.

France
The Paris program examines international and comparative law, and analyzes differences and similarities between civil law and common law jurisdictions. A clinical internship is available.

Ireland
The Dublin Institute focuses on human rights issues.

Mexico
This program examines the legal aspects of inter-American relations.

Poland and U.S.S.R.
This program focuses on the legal systems in Socialist law, and the issues concerning lawyers from non-socialist states in dealing with Socialist countries.

| Program       | Reg Fee/Deposit  | One Credit | Two Credits | Three Credits | Four Credits | Five Credits | Six Credits | Admit 1 Credit | Admit 2 Credits | Admit 3 Credits | Admit 4 Credits | Admit 5 Credits | Admit 6 Credits | Language Course Charge | Tutorial Clinic Charge |
|---------------|------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Dublin        | 200              | 600        | 775         | 975          | 250         | 85           | 85          |              |                |                |                |                |                | 85/Course            | 2,900               |
| London        | 200              | 750        | 900         | 1,100        | 1,400       | 300          | 85          |              |                |                |                |                |                | 85/Course            | 2,900               |
| Paris         | 200              | 750        | 900         | 1,100        | 1,400       | 300          | 85          |              |                |                |                |                |                | 85/Course            | 2,900               |
| Mexico        | 200              | 775        | 975         | 1,200        | 300         | 85           | 85          |              |                |                |                |                |                | 85/Course            | 2,900               |
| Poland*       | 400              |            |             |              |             |              |             |              |                |                |                |                |                | 85/Course            | 2,900               |

*For up to 6 credits.

**Cost = $3,600 including room and partial board.

Editors Note: The Professor of the Year Award is tentatively scheduled for presentation at the 1991 Graduation Awards Ceremony on May 24.
Books in Review

Handbook Must read for corporate communications

by Charles D. Hrватin


In this day and age, corporate commu- nications issues span the length of an ongo- ing venture from the public relations office to the office of the general counsel. The requirements of disclosure, whether structured or unstructured, needs to be consid- ered as an attorney or as a corporate offi- cer. With today’s attorney playing many roles in the business world it is important that he or she work with the specific infrastructure in which he or she exists. Knowing the ins and outs of the legal side and knowing which tools to use will assist the corporate managers, attorneys and corporate communications offices in determin- ing when and if disclosure is neces- sary.

Corporate Communications Handbook: A Guide for Managing Unstructured Public Dis- closure and Environment is one such tool that acts as a good gauge in helping the corporate commu- nications network make such determinations. Part of the Clark Boardroom Corporate Management Series, The Handbook takes a practical look at the area beginning with a short background of public disclosure: the requirements of structured disclosure: the ways and specific vehicles in which to implement unstructured disclosure and their legal and logistical frameworks.

Oftentimes attorneys and lawyer-types forget the uses that press releases and public communication can serve. The authors have designed the book, in their own words, “to be a one-volume resource for the managers charged with the responsibility of manag- ing their communications and the particular mechanics of disclosure.”

Chapter Four applies the principles set forth in the previous two chapters in ex- plicit regions. A sampling includes: the Financial Information and Relations with the Investment Community; the Waiting Per- iod Concerning Offerings of Securities; and Environmental Regulatory Matters. The final section is an extensive appen- dix compilation. Not that we haven’t seen enough cases through law school and won’t read enough later, there are printed op- tions pertinent to the topic of bankruptcy law in the body of the text found here.

The appendices also contain a sound selected bibliography, forms that certain disclosures should take and examples of unstructured disclosure. These examples are a good foundation for producing press releases for specific actions. Examples range from routine and projected financial infor- mation; offerings of securities; changes of control and other extraordinary transac- tions; bankruptcy filings; and Rights and Liberties matters as well as negative news.

All together, I see The Handbook as a valuable asset for any corporate manager who deals with the disclosure of corporate information. As one who has background in the communications field, I find that it would be very useful and efficient having a handy one-volume sourcebook like my bookshelf to deal with preparing and issu- ing press releases or otherwise making disclosures as well as their legal conse- quences.

The authors accomplished their goal. I recommend this publication to anyone going into busines on their own or anyone deal- ing with companies on a communications or disclosure level.

Bankruptcy book makes it as good research assistant

by Rickey J. Cordwell


Whether you are an expert or a novice on the subject of bankruptcy law, you will find this book helpful in your practice. From the very beginning, Professor Aaron provides the novice with invaluable infor- mation in the research guide to bankruptcy law. The first part of the book provides the basic reporters, services and statutes re- quired to understand bankruptcy law. In a more in-depth manner, the author gives the reader a sense of how to go about researching a topic by statute or case law. He also explains some of the practical points to consider in your research.

While the abuses of the system are acknowledged, Professor Aaron demon- strates that Congress’ major concern was to rehabilitate the debtor, not to avoid creditors’ claims. He explains this as a moral duty that a very attorney faces in the practice of bankruptcy law. His ex- planations of the options for the debtor gives him the power to help his clients decide what type of bankruptcy should be filed. The main theme of bankruptcy law, according to the book, is to make the debtor a useful mem- ber of society and provide her with a fresh start.

While the book is not a casebook on the subject of bankruptcy law, it does provide ample citations of major case law and some statutory interpretation. The book is divided into fifteen chapters, covering chapters 7, 11, 12, and 13 of the bank- ruptcy code. Each chapter gives the reader specific guidelines or information on a major topic of a bankruptcy issue.

After a brief overview of the elements of bankruptcy in the first chapter, Profes- sor Aaron guides you through both a vol- untary and an involuntary petition. This tour consists of the eligibility and venue issues, as well as the legal requirements for filing the petition, followed by the process of initiating a volun- tary bankruptcy and finally an involuntary bankruptcy. Professor Aaron seems to easily unfold the complex statutory scheme and thus, demystifies the foundation of bankruptcy law.

The book in the next two chapters dis- cusses insolvency law, a key concept in bankruptcy, jurisdiction and the court’s role in bank- ruptcy proceedings. Here, Aaron identi- fies the Court’s power to adjudicate the case and the power to stay creditors’ claims’. As he reveals the bankruptcy personnel’s jurisdiction and the court’s role in bank- ruptcy proceedings. Here, Aaron identi- fies the Court’s power to adjudicate the case and the power to stay creditors’ claims. The next five chapters cover the auto- matic stay, the bankruptcy estate, and the reorganization process. This chapter furnishes the primary information of a bank- ruptcy practice. The novice will discover the necessary tools to apply the law both defensively, as well as offensively, for her client. She will discover how the trustee administers the estate, and the book is an easy reference as to exempt property. The clear and concise chapters on the trustee’s general practice powers and the discharge of a claim is dismissed, provides an excellent reference for anyone.

The balance of the book includes the topics of reorganization (business and individual), the adjustment of the debts of individuals and special requirements for the family farmer. Chapter 12 of the book is devoted entirely to the business reor- ganization. It provides the reader with an extraordinary simplification of the reorga- nization process. However, the reader will further reference to carry on the complex area of bankruptcy. The same is true for both the individual and family farmers sections of this chapter. However, the complexity of the bankruptcy laws in this area would require a multi-volume set to provide all the information for the novice, in these areas.

The only shortcoming on this subject is the lack of actual forms or examples for the novice. The other problem is that the book does not provide a listing of exempt prop- erty for each individual state. These statu- tory exemptions could provide invaluable assistance and an easy reference for the reader. The language and style of the book is easy to follow and understand. Each topic appears to be covered well and pro- vides sufficient guidance for the reader to understand the subject matter.

Overall, the book is well written and is a good reference source. The case with which one can become familiar with the bankruptcy code, case law, and processes, make this an excellent source book for a student or general practice. The book is a must for those longing to become proficient in the field of bankruptcy law.

Security blanket for motion practice

by Charles D. Hrватin


At first glance, one can tell that this book is an invaluable resource for the motion practice attorney. Rather than the usual predictions of such a publication, this book gives a most comprehensive look at motion practice.

Each piece of motion advocacy is me- dically dissected to give the reader not only an overview but an interview of the process. What I was most impressed with was the affinity that arises between the work and the novice attorney.

The first of the four parts, addresses general considerations along with the scope of motion practice. This section is most useful teaching on the mechanics of motion practice, the basic types of mo- tions, motion advocacy, memoranda, oral argument and ethical aspects of motion practice.

Each of these chapters is set up so that the reader is able to learn each of the particu- lar areas while being able to have an easy refer- ence. The memoranda section appears to be a possible guide to sorting hours of drafting by Lawyerly Skills notes, but since all of those clauses are done with open memoranda, I guess not. But keep in mind where your practice is going, because Motion Practice moves from the general to the specific.

The second part is concerned with Pre- Trial Motion Practice. Chapters here are extensive but not burdensome. Due to a concise table of contents it is easy to refer- ence anything from procedure for removal and remand in the Motions Attacking Ju- dicial Proceedings and Amendments to Rule 56 in Summary Judgment Motions under the Motions; Affecting Issues to Be Tried by Motion. Other categories covered include: Motions Attacking the Pleadings; Amendment; Transfer Venue or Dismiss; Improperly Venued Actions; Junior of Parties; Offensive Defensive Pleadings; Discovery Motions; Motions Affecting the Scope of Litigation, the Trial Calendar and Case Management; Pretrial Evidence Rul- ings; Attacks Against Counsel; and Court and Pretrial Motions for Substantive Re- lief.

One can now take a breath and read on to the final two parts and consider Trial and Post-Trial Motions. The trial motions are fairly standard asking for such action as directed verdicts, exclusion of witnesses, mistrial and special requests.

Post-Trial Motions are split into those available for the victor and those for the “Dismissed Litigant.” The final two chapters include Motions for Relief from Judgments or Final Orders and Appellate Court Motion Practice.

An appendix covering a Table of Dead- lines; Affiliating Motions; and quite useful to keep in mind when just sifting through the normal procedures not includ- ing the time requirements.

High marks are given to this book with a good recommendation to the litigators looking for a leg up in the motion depart- ment.
Wirtz packs courtroom for Nathanson Lecture

by Kathy Guereere

It was standing room only in the Grace Courtroom as students, faculty and members of the local community gathered on March 19 to listen to W. Willard Wirtz, this year's Nathaniel L. Nathanson Memorial Lecture Series' speaker. Established to honor the memory of esteemed law professor, Nathaniel L. Nathanson, the lecture series is dedicated to bringing distinguished speakers to the University of San Diego to discuss issues of national significance. This year was no exception. W. Willard Wirtz, a Distinguished Professor at USD School of Law, provided an inspired and memorable address entitled "Human Rights in the Work Place."

Armed with an impressive list of credentials, Wirtz addressed the capacity audience on a subject that appears to be his favorite, if not most informed, that is human rights in the work place. For Professor Wirtz the key question facing our country today is how to strike a balance between work as a human value and labor as a unit of production. He contends that as society, industry and the composition of the workforce change in the years ahead, business and labor will be forced to deal with a changing view that the worker is not meant to fit the job, but rather the job must begin to begin to bend to fit the worker. When this occurs, too soon will the recognition that work is a human value for all and must be protected from abuses of industry.

Highlighting his list of changes to come is more cooperative relationship between labor unions and management; an unemployment system emphasizing training; and education to return workers to a changing job market, and a more realistic and supportive approach to parental and family leave and education.

His address was an inspiration and challenge to all, especially those of us in the legal field, to actively participate in these rapid and dramatic changes and to positively address the crisis facing labor and industry today. He called upon attorneys to forego taking the easy road of maintaining the current system -- which pressures management, unions and employees into an adversarial relation resulting in litigation and large jury awards (with large attorney's fees) -- and instead to creatively cultivate industry and its workforce into a productive and cooperative unit that benefits all.

Wirtz background

Professor Wirtz graduated from Harvard University Law School in 1937, and began his career as a legal educator at the University of Iowa. He continued on to Northwestern University School of Law until shortly after the start of World War II when he went to work for the Board of Economic Warfare in Washington, D.C. One year later Professor Wirtz was asked to serve on the National War Labor Board. In 1946, Professor Wirtz returned to Northwestern to teach labor law and related topics until 1961. During this time he became a colleague and lifetime friend of Nathaniel L. Nathanson.

Wirtz returned to the nation's capital in 1961 to serve as the Under Secretary for the Department of Labor, and a year later he was appointed by President John F. Kennedy the top post of Secretary of Labor. Wirtz held this position for six and a half years during which time he became involved in many important national issues and legislation, dispute settlements, administration of minimum wage laws and unemployment insurance; and will long be remembered for his contributions to the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the enactment of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSH A).

Following his service in the Labor Department, Wirtz established his own law firm where he worked until 1988 when he "officially" retired. However, he has been a familiar figure at USD since this time, sharing his views and teaching in the labor law area each year.

Professor Wirtz's address reflected his usual charm, wisdom and wit so many of us have had the privilege of becoming familiar with at USD. He spoke in the tradition and spirit of the Nathanson Lecture Series, inspiring and challenging all who attended.

While he will be a tough act to follow, be sure to look for next year's scheduled speaker -- Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor.

Former California Supreme Court Justice to speak at graduation

The Dean's Office just recently announced the speaker and honorary degree recipient for the May 25, 1991 Commencement Exercises.

Former California Supreme Court Justice Cruz Reynoso will return to USD for this honor after appearing before a USD audience only a little over three years ago. At the time, Reynoso was given an award by the USD La Raza Student Association for appearing at statewide conference that was a member of the Boalt Hall Moot Court Board. The former justice has a background that includes a number of publications and honors. He was a Ford Foundation Scholaship recipient, recipient of the Loren Miller Legal Services Award, State Bar of California in 1978; and was also a fellow during 1989 at the University of Pennsylvania School of Law. He has also authored a substantial selection of materials relating to chicano-hispanic topics. Some of his early works included: "La Raza, the Law, and the Law Schools;" "The Legal Education of Chicano Students: A Study in Mutual Accommodation and Cultural Conflicts;" and "America's New Immigration Law: Origins, Rationales, and Potential Consequences, Center for U.S.- Mexican Studies."

The USD Bookstore wishes to congratulate the 1991 Law School graduates and wish all of you the best in the future.

The bookstore offers Perma Seal services for those of you who would like an attractive way to mount and display your diploma.

When studying for the upcoming bar exam, the bookstore carries a wide variety of useful study aids.

The University of San Diego School of Law Student Bar Association presents the

1991 GRADUATION PARTY

Sunday, May 19, 1991
5:30 - 11:00 p.m.
San Diego Museum of Contemporary Art, Back Patio
700 Prospect Street, La Jolla

Tickets: $10 Prior to April 26 - $15 After April 26 and at the door
Hors d'oeuvres, Beer, Wine, Champagne.
Entertainment includes a D.J. and a Jazz Band.

All students, faculty and invited guests are welcome to attend.

Semi-formal Attire.

Congratulations on finals and Good luck on the Bar!

CANEDY AND CANEDY
Certified Deposition Reporters
(619) 231-0403
Talent show ends in tie, but loan forgiveness wins

Rhonda Holmes and Stephanie Delaney share top honors in 2nd Law Revue

by Luke Sharpe

Rhonda Holmes and Stephanie Delaney shared top honors in the Second Annual More Hall Law Revue. The law school talent show once again raised money for the Loan Forgiveness Fund at the law school.

"R.J. Holmes and the Oliver Wendells" were tough competition for the other participants. First-year student Stephanie Delaney tied for first and wooed the crowd with two acoustic guitar pieces and a soothing a cappella version of a song to soothe the pains of law school. Tami Bass' resonant vocals garnered her a third place award with renditions of Whitney Houston and Bette Midler songs.

Becoming the premier law school emcee, Kellen Winslow was back for his second year. Clad in a black leather outfit he probably could have acted as an executioner for bad acts. But The Gong Show this wasn't.

The quality of the acts as a whole was worth at least a pass -- that's 75 to me and you -- but more likely a high pass. Tony G. returned with a stand-up routine and Jeff McMorrow brought his Quiet Title guitar and harmonica performance back for a return engagement.

The return performance that was probably the most special was once again that of "The Professor" -- Grant Morris. Morris received one of the biggest ovations of the evening. It should be noted, however, that may have been due to the fact that he was holding up a sign that read "STANDING OVATION" at the time.

This year's judges had a tough choice between some very talented performers, any of which wouldn't go hungry if they opted out of the legal area. The performers that is.

The judges were a renowned group in their own right. Records Office catalyst Verna Dennehy; Assistant Dean for Student Affairs Carrie Wilson; and Professors Lynne Dallas, Frank Engfelt and Glen Spearman all performed their task in satisfactory fashion deciding that it was too close a call for first prize -- finally, opting for a draw between Holmes and Delaney.

The top three finishers got to choose from a weekend at Carrie Wilson's Pine Cove cabin near Idyllwild; limousine pick up and delivery at the Red Onion for dinner for two and a happy hour for 50 at the Red Onion.

Loan Forgiveness Program

Fourth-year evening student Priscilla Thomer, is the current head of the student force seeking a Loan Forgiveness Program here at USD School of Law. According to Thomer, "Across the country, at least 25 law schools currently have Loan Forgiveness Programs and all California schools are presently seeking similar programs."

The programs basically tie in public interest law service for a certain period in order to receive actual loan forgiveness. The USD proposal would have a three-year cooling-off period after graduation to work in the public interest law area. Law loans would be deferred during the cooling stage followed by forgiveness if the individual remains in the public interest law area.

In order to allow students who want to obtain employment in typically, low-paying public interest jobs, over 25 law schools have implemented these loan repayment programs. As a form of post-graduate financial aid, these programs enable graduates to accept public interest positions by making monthly loan repayments more manageable.

The first step of the program essentially refines the graduate's debt burden. The second step, loan forgiveness, operates after refinancing begins and once the graduate has made a significant time commitment to a public interest position. A USD graduate will be eligible to qualify for the program for three years after graduation.

Sources of funding include, foundations, alumni, local law firms and bar associations. The More Hall Law Revue Talent Show is USD's main fundraising effort. Money raised from the event will go into the fund which will await finalization of a specific loan forgiveness program.
Mock Trial Team

School's top gun oral advocates best in the West

Mock trial team garners awards at numerous national contests

by Shirvan Sherma

The USD Mock Trial Team took third place overall in the 116 teams competing at the ATLA National Championship held April 5-7 in Denver.

"USD is the top Mock Trial Team in the Ninth Circuit," team member J.R. Spangler said. "By advancing to the semi-finals and ultimately placing third in two national finals competitions, USD accomplished in one semester what no other law school in the Ninth Circuit has done," according to Spangler.

The wins also qualify USD for the National Tournament of Champions next November in Florida. Some current team members plan to help coach next year's mock trial team for the tournament.

Regional Sweep

The USD Trial Team, represented by two teams of four students, took both first and second place at the Western Regional Competition in Portland, Oregon on March 7-9.

Jennifer McCombs and Angel Bermudez were attorneys on the first place team that went to the nationals. J.R. Spangler and Carol Wilkinson acted as witnesses.

"It was impressive to watch the case theories develop. The case transformed from a raw skeleton to a polished product in a matter of weeks. In the end, Jennifer and Angel truly came across as thoroughly polished, professional attorneys," Spangler noted.

The team that took second place was made up of attorneys Kristin Young and Jeff Pratt and witnesses Raylene Kelsoberg and Dave Krause.

Team members participate in two trials a day at the competitions. The attorneys argue one side in the morning and the other in the afternoon.

The team argued the same case throughout the regional and national competitions. Spangler pointed out how the problem is continuously refined throughout the preparation and competitions. He said that by the time the team went to trial, they had a strong idea about the theme they would use; yet at the nationals they were still learning things about the case.

Critical Skills Learned

Kelsoberg stressed the importance of good witnesses. "Witnesses can make or break your case," she said. "In Portland, our two teams got more into the character of the witnesses they were playing than any other team that we went up against. In fact, all of the judges commented on that."

Spangler said that the cross examinations are critical parts of the trial for the witnesses because they can stop the opposing attorneys from scoring points. "The best thing to do is to get them to ask a question that they don't know the answer to," he said.

He further explained that he wore a badge on the witness stand portraying a retired fire chief. Trying to embarrass Spangler, the opposing attorney asked him why he was wearing a badge if he was retired.

In his best hick accent, Spangler said, "Well, that's a great question son and I'll tell you the answer to that. It's because the town council thought so much of me that they retired my number and let me keep my badge." Spangler said he "got the whole court rolling."

"It is important to know how to be creative, but ethical. You can reasonably infer, but you cannot create," Spangler said. He said that a "line is drawn between a strong, fair team and a team that would win at any price."

The experience of the Mock Trial Team teaches you to work with other people and sharpen your litigation skills, Spangler said.

Best in the West

Beaming over their successful trip are members of the USD Mock Trial Team that took first and second place in Portland. From left to right: Jeff Pratt, Kristin Young, Professor Corky Wharton, Jennifer McCombs and Angel Bermudez.

"Sometimes you have to decide whether to make an objection, even if it's proper, when the judge has indicated that he is tired of hearing from you," he said.

The two student advocates commended their advisor, Professor Richard "Corky" Wharton for taking care of the finances and the many details involved in traveling to and from the competitions.

The trophy will be displayed either in the Grace Courthouse or the second floor trophy case.

Law Review

Annual write-on contest will welcome students back from summer

The San Diego Law Review will be hosting its annual write-on competition for the 1991-92 academic year just prior to the start of school next August. Problems will be handed out on Wednesday, August 21, 1991 at 5 p.m., and are due Sunday, August 25, 1991 at 5 p.m.

All students interested in participating in the write-on competition are urged to attend. Those unable to attend the meeting at this time should check their registration packets for an informational flyer, or may pick up a flyer at the Law Review offices, in the Legal Research Center.

The write-on competition is open to ALL returning second-year and evening students (i.e., those who are in their first year now).

Please take note: this competition will be the LAST CHANCE for this class of people to join the law review.

Grades and activities beyond the first year do not count.

---Bill Sexton

Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues

New editors and staff sought by Journal

The Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues is presently seeking students for staff and editor positions for next year's publication. Currently the Journal is finishing off its Alternate Dispute Resolution issue. It is expected to be complete before the end of the semester.

Following in its footsteps is the International Law & Symposium issue. It features a commissioned article by Professor John Norton Moore, Director of the Center for National Security Law at the University of Virginia School of Law.

Students interested in editor positions should attend a Journal meeting. Meetings take place Monday afternoon, 5:00 p.m. in Room SC of Warren Hall.
ABA Chapter and Moot Court team up for Law Day event
by Charles D. Hrvatin

The ABA-LSD Chapter at USD is sponsoring early Law Day activities on April 19 with a Mock Trial for elementary school children from Holy Family Parish school in Linda Vista. The event starts at 11:30 a.m. with a reception for the Holy Family fourth graders.

Scheduled to last through the afternoon, the Community Outreach Program is the chapter's way of celebrating Law Day here on the USD campus. The Appellate Moot Court Board is significantly participating by supplying judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys and other volunteers.

Law Day U.S.A. was originally conceived in 1957 by Charles S. Rhyne. At the time Rhyne was a Washington, D.C., lawyer and then president of the American Bar Association.

The program came to fruition with a presidential proclamation by Dwight D. Eisenhower, according to The President: "It is fitting that the American people should remember with pride and vigilance the great heritage of liberty, justice and equality under law... It is our moral and civic obligation as free men and as Americans to preserve and strengthen that great heritage."

The purpose of Law Day is not merely to have a special day, rather it is to call the attention of all American citizens to both the principles and practices of American law and justice. The day is set aside for the distinct purpose of reflecting on our legal heritage; the role of law in our society; and the rights we enjoy under our Constitution.

USD Activities

The specific proposal that the ABA is working under provides for an educational exchange program between the University and local schools wherein the children from the surrounding community will visit the university. The educational programs will normally encompass such areas as trial procedure, consumer rights and drug awareness.

The goals that can be reached through the program are four-fold with benefits to the school children and the law students as well as the community and the University.

First and foremost, the children will have a chance to see how the legal system works. This will demystify the system while fostering a positive attitude toward the lawyers they encounter. Besides also presenting school children with positive role models, the program will encourage school children to set and follow high educational goals.

Advantages to the law students come directly in the interpersonal communications area. Students will be sensitized to the general situation of the community; this trait which can then be carried into legal practice, administration, law making and the judicial system. There is also a better understanding and respect for the professional responsibility guidelines for pro bono activity.

Both the community and the university directly benefit from the interaction and exchange of ideas between the law students and the participant children.

Specifically, the program will congregate the children and the law students before a staged purse snatching. The group will break down to conduct a mock trial over the incident. The school children will have an opportunity to watch a trial and participate in the case as witnesses and jurors.

Election

Continued from Page 1

"This year's election went so smooth just because of sheer organization," remarked Charles Hrvatin, 1991 SBA Election Committee Member.

Past elections have not been so successful. Going back to 1975, the SBA presidency was decided only after an election, a run-off, and then a tripartite arbitration with then-Dean Don Weckstein.

Last year's election was cloaked with confusion and controversy. In short, the polls were kept open past the scheduled closing time one night which lead to un-substantiated allegations that Steve Smith, the Presidential winner, had campaigned illegally. Complaints were filed and withdrawn. Allegations of impropriety were leveled at the Election Committee.

The confusion escalated when the Election Committee declared a re-vote for the President position; then decided that the initial vote should stand. The Election Committee held a public hearing to explain the controversy surrounding the election. Over 50 concerned students attended.

To avoid such problems and controversy, this year's Committee implemented strict controls to ensure the integrity of the vote.

Measures were taken to prevent ballot fraud. For instance, names of voters were checked with a master list to ensure that students voted once. Individuals were not allowed to leave the polling area with ballots. The polls opened and closed as scheduled and all ballots were kept under lock and key until the night they were counted.

Vote counting was conducted in a more organized fashion than in past years. The votes were counted on campus with an impartial observer present to ensure the integrity and accuracy of the results. Illegit-imate ballots were invalidated. "We wanted to avoid all the snafus that took place last year, said Hrvatin."

The members of this year's Election Committee included SBA President Steve Smith, Election Vice-President Charles Hrvatin, Day Vice-President Mitch Kam, Treasurer Mark Milstein, Secretary Casey Moody, and Third Year Day Representative J.T. Spangler.

The 1991-92 SBA Council is currently in transition with assistance from the outgoing officers and representatives. Each outgoing councilor will be briefing their successor on the duties and obligations of the particular office.

ABA Election Results

* SBA Election Results *

**ABA Representative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carl Starrett</td>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lou Ruffino</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles Weiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Shuman</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Broderick</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angie Moore</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Osborne</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christy Yee</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Argenbright</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Third Year Day Representatives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noelle dela Rosa</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlene Galanek</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marty Kovolsky</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Setnickson</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedric Kerns</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kell Bull</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Mazur</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**By-Laws Proposals**

**Article 15**

1. Yes 146
2. No 29

**Article 16**

1. Yes 144
2. No 29

**Second Year Day Representatives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Skillet</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Walters</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Argenbright</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Carson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Stone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Third Year Evening Representative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liz Scott</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fourth Year Evening Representative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO BALLOTS CAST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Celebrations

An ABA Program Guide for Law Day Activities points out that it is also a day for all citizens to consider their duties, such as: (1) to be informed on government community affairs; (2) to support and encourage efforts to modernize our courts; (3) to vote in elections; (4) to obey and respect the law; (5) to support those institutions and persons charged with law enforcement; (6) to respect the rights of others; (7) to practice and teach the principles of good citizenship in our homes; and (8) to serve on juries and as court witnesses, if called.

ABA Law Student Division chapters, various bar associations and other legal auxiliaries host different Law Day activities. Most take place on May 1, the traditional date set aside. The USD Mock Trial is set for April due to the impending finals and schedule-crunching that goes on at the end of every semester.

Besides mock trials, the various participating groups put on a variety of events ranging from courthouse tours to grand celebrations. Some special events that have been arranged or accomplished include legal debates, essay contests, a Law Day Run and film festivals.

**Honor Court General Counsel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glen Argenbright</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Taylor</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Forbes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Johnson</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vince Rabago</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Rovelli</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Broderick</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Broadus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Philpott</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marty Jaquez</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Stucky</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Adkins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Kilpatrick</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Miller</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Raynor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell Finlay</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Hanson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles Weiss</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Molina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Parker</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Honor Court Justices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vince Rabago</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Molina</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Philpott</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Adkins</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Broadus</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Forbes</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Shevin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristy Kennedy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Argenbright</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Bowden</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Dobl</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Terzian</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Gamberella</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Broderick</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Raynor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Lockton</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Courtroom Television Network, the soon-to-be-launched nationwide cable television network also known as "COURT TV," has announced that it will offer two programming blocks that will involve state, local and national bar associations, and other legal education groups across the country in the most ambitious effort ever undertaken in the United States.

The first, Citizens Law, will be directed at a lay audience. The second, In Practice, will be a weekend continuing legal education program which will serve both the public and the legal profession. The Network is looking to the nonstop, all-day format of the telecast and interpretation of trials to air these shows on weekends during the day, when it is believed there are hundreds of viewers on cable TV this coming July.

Plans for the program blocks were announced yesterday by Fred Graham, the Network's Managing Editor, in remarks to the National Conference of Bar Presidents at the American Bar Association Mid-Year Meeting in Seattle. Graham is former Supreme Court reporter for the New York Times and CBS News.

Purpose of Network

With the growing need for legal information by the public linked with the continued availability of television courtroom coverage (45 states now permit television coverage) and the recent development of new technology less obtrusive to court proceedings the advent of a courtroom television network seemed logical to its creators.

The general viewing audience is expected to come from broad based in backgrounds. With this in mind, programming will be targeted for the lay viewer with no legal experience as well as the practicing attorney.

Part of the idea behind this venture is taking the courtroom coverage out of the hands of the novices. The network, in publicizing and promoting the block, is targeted for the lay viewer with no legal experience. With this in mind, programming will be done by prominent lawyers. The network's arrangements have been explained by the nation's leading experts."

Network Programming

"In Practice will be lawyer-to-lawyer talk, but we think cavedropping non-lawyers will find it fascinating," said Graham. "I predict that In Practice will become one of the surprise successes of cable TV - a program for lawyers devoted by the general public, much as the weekend television programs for doctors have been watched by so many non-physicians with such interest. And Citizens Law, supplementing the network's daily trial coverage, will help the general public gain a greater understanding of the nation's legal system."

The weekend hours of COURT TV's programming will present the best of the legal profession's video material providing continuing legal education to the nation's attorneys. In addition, it will include programming by state and national bar associations aimed at informing the lay public about the law and individual rights.

Graham delivered an invitation from Selma Brill, CEO of Court TV, to network's attorneys to submit programming aimed at lay audiences for the law. With this in mind, programming will be done by prominent lawyers. The network's format will enable Americans to see and understand how their legal system works. "Justice doesn't come around in sound bites," Brill noted. "And we're going to show the justice system as it really is, by showing full-length trials - and by explaining them to a lay audience with help from some of the nation's leading lawyers. It's time we took the camera coverage of trials away from the sound bites and the tabloid writers and gave it the attention and careful journalism it deserves."


The Network will draw on resources of American Lawyer Media's 11 legacy publications throughout the United States, including American Lawyer magazine, Legal Times in Washington and The Texas Lawyer. With more than 200 legal report- ers and editors throughout the country, American Lawyer Media is the largest legal journalism company in the world.

WalkAmerica raises money for fight against birth defects

Lee Iacocca, Mark Larson, Hal Clement and Richard Freeman are doing something very important for our babies. They are walking hundreds of miles for their cause in a nationwide March of Dimes Walk Across America 1991 for Baby's Health.

Iacocca, chairman of the board and chief executive officer of Chrysler Corporation, is the March of Dimes' national honorary chairman. Larson and Clement, the March of Dimes' national honorary chairmen for their important studies of various causes of birth defects at the University of California, San Diego, La Jolla Cancer Research Foundation, Medical Biology Institute, San Diego State University, Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation, La Jolla, and large general hospitals for biological studies, which was built with March of Dimes funds.

"Not every baby is born healthy. Each day, 100 babies die. Each day hundreds of babies are born with birth defects or low birthweights. Each day in America, a baby is born with AIDS."

"Do something about this problem. Join our volunteers dedicated to the idea that every baby deserves a healthy start in life," Freeman continued. Last year, 1,460,000 feet in 1,400 communities across the country made a difference to the March of Dimes Campaign For Healthier Babies. In San Diego area alone, 1990, 88,460 legs crossed the finish line to raise $400,000 to fund local programs for mothers and babies.

Community programs provide education and stress the importance of early prenatal care through the Regional Perinatal Centers. The Western Seacoast Society, the nation's leading consumer organization for early detection of birth defects, is working to curb infant mortality. Through its Campaign For Healthier Babies, the March of Dimes funds programs of community service, advocacy, research and education.

More information on WalkAmerica is available by calling the March of Dimes at 576-1202.

Bike race benefits San Diego Mental Health Association

On Saturday, April 27, over 2,000 cycling enthusiasts will line up and "roll out" for mental health - their own and that of the San Diego Mental Health Association.

The Third Annual Southern California Bicycle Classic will serve to kick off "May is Mental Health Month;" a national campaign to increase awareness about the importance of good mental health.

The U. S. Surgeon General has identified mental illness as the greatest current threat to public health. Each year, 1 in 5 Americans will be affected sometime in their life. Most professionals in the mental health field agree that recreation and exercise are extremely conducive to good physical and mental health. Cycling is a physically satisfying activity that encourages companionship with families and friends.

The Southern Classic features three courses. Included are a 15-mile family-oriented course as well as 25- and 50-mile courses for the more experienced rider. All courses will start and finish at beautiful Rancho Del Rey in Chula Vista, site sponsor for the event. Post ride festivities will include a barbecue and an exciting raffle featuring food and a community health fair sponsored by Community Hospital of Chula Vista, Vista Hill Hospital and People Growers of America.

Ride participants and the general public will be treated to free blood pressure screenings, body fat and oxygen saturation level testing as well as low-cost cholesterol tests and much more.

All participants will receive a five-color Southern Classic T-shirt and have the opportunity to win a brand new bicycle, among other prizes. Participants are expected from all over the Southern California area. Other sponsors for the event include SDG&E, the Pennysaver, 1st National Bank, Knoxe Water, KTTV-TV, Chula Vista Bicycles and Leucadia Cyclery.

Volunteer services for the event are being provided by the Naval Reserve Officer Training Corps Unit from University of Southern California, Security Pacific's Scam-Team, Girl Scouts, Boone Elementary and other individuals.

Early rider adult entry fee is $16. Entry forms are available at all McMillin offices, most bicycle shops, or by calling Southern Classic at (619) 768-0885 for more information or to volunteer.

The SDMHA is a non-profit health agency working to promote mental health in San Diego County since 1942.

Rumors are spreading faster than AIDS

For the facts call your local Red Cross, or write:
San Diego/Imperial Counties Chapter
3650 Fifth Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103
(619) 291-2620

For more information contact Sr. Carlotta, Campus Ministry, 260-4600 Ext. 4485

Sunday Law/School Grad Seminar Faculty/Staff Lounge Saturday Evenings 7:00 PM

Motions

Submit your resume. (2) writing samples (journalistic style preferred), suggestions you would like to incorporate into the scholarship program (including why you want to be on staff and what you feel you can offer the paper and students if you were on staff to the Motions box in the faculty mailroom by April 18. You will be called for an interview.
Calendar of Events

April 8-18 - More Hall PILF 4th Annual Pledge Drive. More Hall PILF holds its annual pledge drive on the first floor of the low school.


April 17 - Career Day. Information fair on variety of careers. 11 a.m. - 2 p.m. Hahn University Center. Free.


April 21 - 25 - Art Exhibit. "Art in Motion." Hahn University Center.

April 27 - Dean's Ball. Annual event benefits academic programs. Tickets: $150 or $300. Cocktails: 6:30 p.m. Dinner: 7:30 p.m. Pan Pacific Hotel. USD Auxiliary Event.


May 19 - Career Day. Information fair on variety of careers. 11 a.m. - 2 p.m. Hahn University Center. Free.

May 25 - Recital. Piano recital to feature works of Mozart, Debussy and Prokofiev.

John Ingram and Brian Whittaker.

Kelley's Pub

Old Town

2222 San Diego Ave.
1 mile from USD just south of Old Town Ave.
543-9767

Law School Intramurals Basketball Tournament

A League Draw

Col Western II
Night Court
Sweet Loveluters
Fletch's Revenge
WSU
Col Western I

Jam the Shot
Aces
Dribbling Idiots

Try One
Faculty
Run & Shoot
Alumni
Aces
WSU
Lemming's
Low Dogs

B League Draw

Cal Western II
Night Court
Sweet Loveluters
Fletch's Revenge
WSU
Col Western I

Jam the Shot
Aces
Dribbling Idiots

Try One
Faculty
Run & Shoot
Alumni
Aces
WSU
Lemming's
Low Dogs

Classified Ads

FOR SALE


ROOMATES WANTED

ROOM FOR RENT. TOWN CENTRE RACQUET CLUB APARTMENTS. (UTC/LA JOLLA): 2 bed/2 bath, washer/dryer facilities, security parking, and building, fully-equipped weight room, tennis/ racquetball courts, saunas, Jacuzzi/pool, car washing area. Total Rent: $950/Month. YOU PAY $450 + 1/2 utilities. Call 460-9544, Mitch.

Looking for roommates? Advertise in Motions for $4 and reach more than 1,500 students and incoming students.

BAR REVIEW

Fourth-Year Evening Students: If you taking the California Bar take it from a winner and purchase your Barpassers course from your classmate for the last three years, Charlie Hrivnak. Call me at 304-4000 x4343.

Trying to get rid of bar review materials or trying to get some or just want info. Call Karen at 488-5831.

TENNIS INSTRUCTION: precise, individually-tailored methods for efficient, rapid results. Teacher's background: former sectional ranking; articles for Tennis and World Tennis; 20 years teaching professional. 223-3928.

WORD PROCESSING

Typing: Very reasonable rates, accurate & professional (will check grammar & spelling), will do rush jobs. Call Karen at 488-5831.

Kelley's Pub

Old Town

Gulness Harp and Bass on tap

Robert Dicsoll and Steve Poltz

The Rugburns

Tues John Ingram
Thur Brian Whittaker
Fri The Rugburns
Sat Karaoke sing along
## Final Exam Schedule

### WEDNESDAY, 1 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Remedies</td>
<td>Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Kierg 3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>Minan 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tax ll</td>
<td>Pugh Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constitutional Torts</td>
<td>Davis 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Evidence - A &amp; B</td>
<td>Peterfreund  3A/Crm/Cmr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### THURSDAY, 2 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Securities Regulations</td>
<td>Dallas Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arbitration</td>
<td>Weckstein 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computers and the Law</td>
<td>Vergari 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Contracts - A</td>
<td>Wohlmuth 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contracts - B</td>
<td>Kelly Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contracts - C</td>
<td>Wonnell 3B/3D/2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Contracts - E</td>
<td>Engfelt Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prof. Responsibility - E</td>
<td>Zacharias 2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negotiations</td>
<td>Wolt 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Tax Planning</td>
<td>Schulz 3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Criminal Procedure I - E</td>
<td>Pugh LLS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FRIDAY, 3 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Criminal Procedure I - B</td>
<td>Schwarzchild  Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>Kelly 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family Law</td>
<td>Horton 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>European Community Law</td>
<td>Falcom 3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Remedies - B</td>
<td>Sherwin Crm/3A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MONDAY, 6 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Trusts &amp; Estates</td>
<td>Aloganah 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflicts</td>
<td>Darby 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Federal Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Heiser 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Consumer Law</td>
<td>Fellmeth 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bankruptcy Law</td>
<td>Newborn Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Reorganizations</td>
<td>Steinhaus 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific Evidence</td>
<td>Shore 3B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TUESDAY, 7 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Corporations</td>
<td>Dallas 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legislation</td>
<td>Auerbach 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Torts - A</td>
<td>Heriot 3B/3D/2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torts - B</td>
<td>Ursin Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torts - C</td>
<td>Morris 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Secured Land Transactions</td>
<td>Lundmark 3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deferred Compensation</td>
<td>Wold 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tax I</td>
<td>Shue 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WEDNESDAY, 8 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Administrative Law</td>
<td>Auerbach 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Prof. Responsibility - A &amp; C</td>
<td>Cole 3A/Crm/Cmr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prof. Responsibility - B</td>
<td>Weckstein 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Torts - E</td>
<td>Heriot 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trusts &amp; Estates - E</td>
<td>Spearman Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partnership Tax</td>
<td>Bagley 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Government</td>
<td>Tarvyd/Conrad 3B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### THURSDAY, 9 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Prof. Responsibility Seminar</td>
<td>Wohlmuth 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cal. Admin. Law &amp; Practice</td>
<td>Fellmeth 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Law &amp; Mental Disorder</td>
<td>Morris 3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Corporations</td>
<td>Wonnell 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Realty Planning</td>
<td>Oberle 3B/3D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FRIDAY, 10 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Tax I</td>
<td>L. Snyder 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immigration Law</td>
<td>Vargas 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Civil Procedure - A &amp; C</td>
<td>Brutton 3A/Crm/3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Civil Procedure - B</td>
<td>Heiser Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MONDAY, 13 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>UCC I/II</td>
<td>Walt 2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Criminal Procedure II</td>
<td>Roche Flt A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced Corp. Tax Problems</td>
<td>Shaw 2B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TUESDAY, 14 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Trusts &amp; Estates</td>
<td>Smith 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Int’l Business Transactions</td>
<td>Darby 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creditors’ Remedies</td>
<td>Blais 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Criminal Law - E</td>
<td>Roche 2B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WEDNESDAY, 15 MAY 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Constitutional Law - A</td>
<td>Siegan Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constitutional Law - B</td>
<td>Wiggins Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constitutional Law - C</td>
<td>Zacharias 3A/3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Property - A</td>
<td>Lazerow Flt A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Property - B</td>
<td>Minan 3B/3D/2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Property - C</td>
<td>Aloganah 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Property - E</td>
<td>Sherwin 3A/Crm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Room 2A is the designated typing room throughout entire exam period. (Only typewriters with no memory capability are allowed.)

---

**Good Luck to the Class of '91**

---

**Motions**
I have worked on the Motions as Associate Editor (1988-89) and Editor-in-Chief (1989-91) for the last three years and have come to know and befriend the many fine people that have helped make Motions a quality publication by and for the USD law community.

My main staff, though small in number, has made the most of the precious few free hours (and some we should have been studying) that we have as law students.

Associate Editor - Mitch Kam
Business Manager - Tammy Raush

I would also like to recognize some bodies from last year that helped the paper continue in an upward trend.

Past Editor-in-Chief
Starr E. Lee - Past Editor-in-Chief

The Contributing Writers who all made their best efforts to get me articles and editorials submitted before deadline (well, at least they were submitted before the paper went to print).
Mark Brnovich, Brent Neck, Cheryl Forbes, Jon Ocepek, Steve Smith, Dave Carducci, Shawn Randolph, Priscilla Thorner, Rick Cordwell.

The new breed of first years that have helped write this year. They should maintain a high standard of quality in the next two years.

Vince Rabago, Shirvan Sherma, Laura Morton, Mary Smigieliski, June Nagal and Victoria Black.

The special others that were periodically asked to write something or take photos (since we still don’t have a photog) and were up to the task.


The administration and staff members that were so helpful in getting information for stories and for generally being supportive.
Dean Kristine Strachan; Pat, Verna, Karen and the people in Records; Kathy Riebel and Kathleen of the Alumni Association; Carl Eging and the Financial Aid staff; Sharron Colman; the CPIL Staff; Nancy, Brent and the LRC Staff.

Our many display advertisers this year who continue to make it possible to be self sufficient and free up money for the many new and active student organizations.

Fleming’s Fundamentals of Law; PMBR; Mammoth Mountain; The USD School of Law Student Bar Association; Kaplan-SMH Bar Review Services; Law Distributors; LEXIS; Boston University School of Law; USD Bookstore; Classic Travel; Josten’s; Seltzer Caplan Wilkins & McMahon; Canedy & Canedy; Kelly’s Pub; The Bargain Hunter Travel Services.

The professors that actually showed some interest or made some effort to submit stories or story ideas. You know who you are!

And a very special thank you to Doug and the crew at Pomerado Publishing that do an amazing job getting the paper printed and back to us for Tuesday distribution (Monday, in the case of the Election Issue). Also to Greg Zackowski, Mike Haupt and the other U.C. staffers that put up with us when we worked in the office well past 3 o’clock (in the morning).

Finally, a personal note to my family and closest friends, who have put up with my strange schedule, mood and eating habits during deadline week (and throughout school) and who have helped me get through law school financially and spiritually.

Charles D. Hrvatin
Editor-in-Chief