USD Trial Team
Nation’s Best

The USD Mock Trial Team came away the big winner at the Fourth Annual Tournament of Champions for trial teams. The tournament took place October 14-17 at South Texas Law School in Houston, Texas. Coach Richard "Corky" Wharton and team members Chris Harrington, Dyke Huish, Lisa Werries, and Julie Westwater represented USD.

The Tournament of Champions invites teams with the best records over the last three years in the two major national events: the National Trial Competition and the Association of Trial Lawyers of America (ATLA) Competition. In Houston, sixteen teams competed for the national title. USD represented the Ninth Circuit. California Western School of Law participated as a wild card team and emerged as one of the four semifinalists.

Mock trial competitions parallel the trial courtroom experience and utilize witness examinations and opening and closing arguments. Alternatively, moot court competitions parallel advocacy at the appellate level and require oral argument before panels of judges. USD has had a mock trial team for only three years. Most court teams are

Anita Hill Speaks at Benefit Luncheon

Powerful Women of the Judiciary Respond

On Friday, October 9, I had the opportunity to hear Anita Hill speak at a benefit luncheon sponsored by the Women Judges’ Fund for Justice in conjunction with the National Association of Women Judges. I was very excited to hear Anita Hill speak. After all, this is the "Year of the Woman," and some would argue that it all started with Anita Hill.

Last October Anita Hill appeared before the Senate Judiciary Committee to shock the nation with her story of being sexually harassed by Clarence Thomas, a man who would become a Supreme Court Justice. Whatever one thinks of Professor Hill's allegations, whether you believe they are true or not, does not matter. Anita Hill did not prevent Clarence Thomas from being nominated, but she did manage to wake up a slumbering nation. Sexual Harassment has become an issue. Women are talking about it, and more importantly, men are talking about it. Anita Hill has claimed her place in history.

The luncheon was held at the San Diego Convention Center. Over 1100 people attended. There was a spattering of men, but the audience was mostly women judges and lawyers. Lunch was salad, (wilted) and chicken (not bad) with an unidentified, but I think some sort of lemon tart dessert. There were opening remarks

By Elizabeth Genel
Assistant Articles Editor

Journal Controversy Update
Informal meeting held to discuss future of student run Journal.
Law Alumni Weekend

Great Success

By Kate Callen

It started out as a wry item in a local newspaper column. Many USD Law graduates in the San Diego area first learned of the 1992 Law Alumni Weekend when Tom Blair of the San Diego Union-Tribune started his March 26 column on this note: "Am I the only one who finds Sea World's newest attraction - the shark pet-
ing pool - a bit off-putting? Takes a special breed of person, I've been thinking, to want to be close enough to a shark to pet one. . . . Comes word from USD that the first private party in Sea World's new shark exhibit is a reunion of attorneys who graduated from USD Law School and professional courtesy, no doubt." Lawyer jokes aside, the Friday night swimming-with-the-sharks Sea World party was a most extraordinary event. Two hundred alumni attended. The event was

Legal Traditions

The Hunt for Red Mass

By Stacie L. Brandt

The voice on the other end of the phone asked, "Do you know what a Red Mass is?" It was my friend in Steubenville, Ohio. His tone suggested that he knew, I should know, and it had something to do with lawyers.

A Nexis search of "red Mass" produces a variety of topics: newspapers extol "masses of red floral borders" in the spring and summer; "red troops massed" in China and the former Soviet republics; the virtues of the "Boston, Mass. - The Red Sox" are played out in season; and finally the expected Red Mass articles that appear mostly in the fall.

The Red Mass originated in medieval Europe and England as civil courts were beginning to be distinguished from church courts. It is held to invoke God's blessing on the legal system at the beginning of the judicial year. The name "Red Mass" derives from the red vestments traditionally worn by the celebrants and the scarlet robes of the medieval attending justices.

In Rome, a Red Mass was held at the opening of the Sacred Roma Rota, one of the principal church courts. Louis IX, later Saint Louis, dedicated La Westminster Cathedral was the site chosen in 14th church courts. Louis IX, later Saint Louis IX, was a Catholic saint who lived in the 13th century. He is known for his emphasis on charitable works and for his role in the Crusades.

Today, Red Masses take place around the United States and Canada. Each year the most famous Red Mass is for the benefit of the United States Supreme Court at St. Matthew's Cathedral in Washington, D.C. Attending this year's 40th Washington Red Mass on Oct. 4 were President and Mrs. Bush; Chief Justice William Rehnquist; Justice Antonin Scalia, Anthony M. Kennedy and Clarence Thomas; former Chief Justice Warren Burger; Attorney General William Barr; and several federal judges. The Bushes are Episcopalian, and Chief Justice Rehnquist is a Lutheran.

Politics, religion, and the Red Mass have a relationship. Los Angeles Cardinal Roger Mahony used the Red Mass at the 1990 ABA convention in Los Angeles to urge lawyers to vote against abortion rights. In 1991, the anti-abortion and anti-divorce themes of Detroit Archbishop Adam J. Maida's Washington sermon were detailed in the Washington Post and reported around the nation.

In San Diego, the Red Mass has been sponsored for a number of years by the city's Thomas More Society. The Society exists to maintain the ideals of the famous English lawyer, who was put to death by King Henry VIII. A Catholic humanist, he chose his religious beliefs over the orders of the king.

This year there was no Red Mass in San Diego. Sister Carlotta DiLorenzo of the USD Campus Ministry said that in past years USD has hosted an annual Red Mass for the San Diego legal community. However, this year she heard nothing from the San Diego Thomas More Society and assumed it would not be held.

A member of the USD student chapter of the Thomas More Society who was contacted was unfamiliar with Red Mass and was unaware of any student contact with the San Diego chapter. Some Red Masses are sponsored by law schools. Seton Hall University Law School hosted its eighth annual Red Mass on Sept. 20 in Newark, NJ. Last year the Los Angeles Mass was May 1 and sponsored in part by Loyola Law School.

Red Mass celebrations frequently include a meal after the service. A look at Red Masses in San Diego - the first Red Mass was held Friday night, up on Saturday night, up - shows that the meals are usually pleasant. Red Mass celebrations are an opportunity for lawyers to come together and socialize in a non-professional setting.

One of the traditions of the Red Mass is the "Red Masses of Famous Americans." Red Masses are used by universities to honor famous alumni. For example, the University of California at Berkeley honored Nobel Laureate physicist Richard Feynman with a Red Mass in 1991.

The Red Mass tradition in the United States began to die out in the 1960s. Robert L. Quinn is an octogenarian attorney in San Diego. He was a member of the San Diego Thomas More Society and assumed it would not be held.
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R. Emmett Tyrrell: 'Politics Has Become Boring'

By Justin T. Miller

On Wednesday, October 7, the Federalist Society sponsored a lecture by R. Emmett Tyrrell. Tyrrell, a political satirist, is the author of The Liberal Crack-up and The Conservative Crack-Up, two books which have been widely cited as major political party positions for being hostages of the political extremes.

The problem with liberalism, steming from the 1970's, is that it is suffering from an overabundance of imagination, a political ideology which was unphilosophical. After politicizing "everything," liberals developed the unique ability to turn even good ideas into bad ideas.

One of the many poignant examples which Tyrrell mentioned was the liberal's inability to write good letters of recommendation. Along with your resume and grades, letters of recommendation are crucial. If you think about it, they are what set you apart from everybody else. Anybody can get good grades, but it's a special person who can get glowing recommendations that set them apart from everybody else. They are very important.

Like everything else in law school, there are deadlines involved. If you are interested in a judicial clerkship, you need to take the initiative. The NALP Judicial Clerkship Directory has specific information on different clerkships, and the Career Center has a list of judges all over the country. You need to do some careful research, get your resume in order, and start drafting letters of recommendation. Most people you will be happy to write one, but you usually have to provide a draft. I know, it makes no sense. You have to write a thank you note. Some phone calls. The clerks in the judges' chambers will tell you about deadlines and such. But think about doing this over Christmas break and sending everything out by January 15. Don't forget, your cover letters to the judges have to be personalized. Send your stuff, and follow up with a phone call or two.

So if you think this is something you may be interested in, start thinking about who you will ask to write your letters of recommendation. Along with your resume and grades, letters of recommendation are crucial. If you think about it, they are what set you apart from everybody else.
The four members exhibited a rare combination of pure talent, the ability to analyze closely a complex problem, courtroom skills, knowledge and use of the Federal Rules of Evidence, and, most importantly, a willingness to work hundreds of hours to reach their individual peak performances.

So the team began to prepare for trial right after Labor Day. Professor Wharton adopted an innovative and aggressive plan of attack. While competing trial teams typically utilize two team members as attorneys and two as witnesses, he had observed the negative effect of fatigue on the USD team's attorneys last year. His new strategy was to use a rotating system in which each team member would serve as both an attorney and a witness: Dyke and Lisa represented the plaintiff on opening; Dyke and Chris represented the defendant on opening. The key strategy was expressed by Lisa: "Corkey has a phrase, you know the problem; you take an extreme case and the

testimony is highly effective on cross-examination as well. He also said that seeing trial as the single most valuable experience he's had from his educational experience. It wouldn't be that way if it weren't for the coach. I get to graduate in six or seven weeks having won a national competition with a team. This really wraps up law school better than anything else I could imagine.

The other teams that do well in trial competition typically pour great financial resources into their programs. South Texas has constructed courtrooms to be used as practice classrooms. The competition was held at the Texas Appellate courtrooms, to which the South Texas team has regular access.

Coach Wharton is viewed by the team as a primary reason for the big win. Lisa explained, "It's nice to get a non-South team from the west coast and have that kind of resources into it. It's a real tribute to the court, Corkey, and to the fact that we can do it."

Winning the Tournament of Champions is significant to USD Law School for several reasons. First, participation in the competition is based on each team's three year record. Only the best and most consistently successful schools even make it to the Tournament of Champions. Second, as a national champion, USD can draw students based on the reputation of its mock trial team. The law school has the opportunity to build on this win and on this team. Additionally, administrative, student, and community support, this team can continue to achieve success.

The big win does not end the USD Trial Team's activities. The dust has not yet settled on the loving cup, but Professor Wharton is already helping team members Michelle Gillaspie, Marc Gemberling, and Shervin Shera prepare for the San Diego Defense Lawyers Intracity Competition against California Western School of Law.

This article is a joint effort of the USD trial team members and the Motions editorial staff.
FLEMING'S FUNDAMENTALS OF LAW
Examination Writing Workshop
Legal Examination Writing Workshop
WHAT THE WORKSHOP WILL DO FOR YOU
- Provide 12 hours of Intensive Exam Writing Techniques.
- Teach Exam Approach (including issue spotting techniques, issue headnotes, factual analysis and proper sentence structure).
- Develop Outline Organization Techniques within the purview of the Call of the Question (including identification of major/minor issues and fact to element application).
- Structure Adversary Arguments within the IRAC Format.
- Provide a Sentence by Sentence Analysis of six in-class hypotheticals.
- Explain the "Do's and Don'ts" of a successful exam answer.
- Provide an extensive 100 Page Writing Workbook. The material is not available anywhere in published form.

SCHEDULE OF SEMINARS...

San Diego
- Saturday, September 26, 1992: Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, September 27, 1992: Noon-6:00 pm
- Fee includes all sessions at the Hanalei Hotel, 229 Hotel Circle North, San Diego 92108 Phone Room. Choice of seminar.

Orange County
- Saturday, October 3, 1992: 9 am-12:30 pm, 1:30-4:00 pm
- Sunday, October 4, 1992: Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be held at the Crown Sterling Suites, 901 Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, in the Holiday Room. Choice of seminar.

Los Angeles
- Saturday, October 18, 1992: Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, October 19, 1992: 9 am-12:30 pm
- Fee includes all the sessions at the Radisson Hotel, 7770 Beverly Boulevard, Culver City, in the Projector Room.

Pre-Registration Guarantees Space and Workbook
$150.00 per person + $125.00 Group Rate
(Group Rate Available to Groups of 5 Who Register Together
At Least One Week Before the Desired Seminar.)
Registration at Door (if Space Available): $160.00
Course Available by Mail Order for $172.40 (includes postage & handling)

FLEMING'S FUNDAMENTALS OF LAW
Long Term Bar Review
Preparation for February, 1993 California Bar Exam Begins October 3, 1992

COURSE SCHEDULE:
Weekend One:
12 Hour Writing Workshop. (Emphasis on Analysis, Organization and Writing Techniques.)

Weekend Two:
14 Hour Performance Workshop.

Weekends Three through Sixteen:
Saturday: Substantive Law, Approaches, Exam Application, Performance Review and Multistate Review.
Sunday: Exam Analysis of Six Past-Bar Examinations, In-Class Writing of Three past-Bar Hypotheticals under Simulated Bar Conditions.

Weekends Seventeen and Eighteen:
32 Hour Additional Performance Workshop (Instruction provided for writing Memos, Briefs, Letters and P&As, as well as Closing Arguments/etc., with practice files and libraries). In-Class Writing of Performance Exam under Simulated Bar Conditions.

CLASS SITE AND COST INFORMATION:
- All Live Sessions will be held at Pacific Christian College, 2500 E. Nutwood Avenue (at Commonwealth), Fullerton (across from California State University, Fullerton), Second Floor, Room 205.
- Total Price for the Long Term Review Course: $1,495.00.
- $150.00 non-refundable deposit will guarantee space and freeze price.
- Cassette Course is Available by Mail for the Registration Cost plus an Additional Fee of $225.

LONG TERM SCHEDULE OF CLASS MEETINGS:
October 3/4, 10/11, 17, 18, 24, 25, 31
November 1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 21/22
Break (November 23 through December 18)
December 19, 20, 26, 27
January 2, 3, 9, 10, 16, 17, 23, 24, 30/31
February 6, 7, 13, 14

FLASH!
July 1991 Bar Statistics
California State Average: 54.9% Pass Rate
Fleming's Bar Candidates Average: 77.5% Pass Rate
For those who completed all course requirements 95.5% Overall

February 1992 Bar Statistics
California State Average: 50.9% Pass Rate
Fleming's Bar Candidates Average: 85% Pass Rate
For those who completed all course requirements 65.5% Overall

REGISTRATION FORM
(Mail or Type or Print)
Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Telephone:
Law School:
Semester in Which Currently Enrolled:
Workshop Location/Date to be Attended:
Form of Payment: [ ] Check [ ] Money Order [Mail payable to Fleming's Fundamentals of Law]
Mail this Registration Form to:
FLEMING'S FUNDAMENTALS OF LAW
21011 Cipriana Mission Viejo, California 92692 • 714/670-9780

Turn On The Light... Learn to Write...
I'll begin this edition with this: Halloween is Coming, Hallow- 

een is Coming! 

HALLOWEEN PARTY: 

When: October 30, from 9pm to 1am 
Where: Wabash Hall, 3855 Wabash Ave, La Mesa. 

Who: All law students and guests are invited, and please 

bring your I.D. (Wear a costume in which you can carry 
your I.D.) 

I attended the SBA Halloween Party two years ago when it was 
at Wabash Hall. The location was not exactly picturesque, but we 
rented the Hall for its trashability level. This is not to imply that we 
should trash the place, but wild and 

weird things have been known to hap- 
pen on Halloween. On that note, the 
neighborhood is not great, nor is it 
advivable to drive there. Parking is a 
problem, and safety is also a consider- 
ation. We will be running two shuttles 
to and from the campus parking lot to 
the party. This way we can be some- 
what responsible about drinking and 

driving, and we won't have any stolen 
cars that night. Also, because the Hall 
is dusty, dust and spilled beer can 
create a dirty mess for your shoes. My 

advice is not to wear your nice shoes 
that night. 

There will be a costume contest for the best and most innovative 
costume. Barpassers has generously donated the grand prize: a 
round trip for two, with accommodations, to LAS VEGAS! The only 
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Motions

By L. Ruth Levor

Among the many emerging legal specialties is the practice of environmental law. As knowledge of any specialty area currently in demand affords a leg up in today's highly competitive job market, knowledge of research techniques and sources in that area can also be an advantage.

This article will provide a thumbnail review of the highlights of environmental law research for the area currently in demand affords a useful feature is the Pending Litigation binder, which may be searched in the 1971-1982 section, it may be worthwhile to investigate both sources. The Executive Orders are also indexed. Because ELR indexing is in chronological order, it is easy to find a subject matter index section. Pending Litigation materials are indexed in a separate section, and Executive Orders are indexed in the Administrative Materials binder.

BNA's Nexus, the Environment Reporter (ER), complements the ELR in such a way that, depending upon your particular research need, it may be worthwhile to investigate both sources. The ER is weekly newsheel, Current Developments, which is more comprehensive than the ELR and covers many regulatory, judicial, and administrative decisions and developments. Most items are at least two weeks old, but the scope is comprehensive and has the advantage of providing a quick summary overview for the researcher who is trying to stay on top of the field.

All of the opinions reported in the Update are subsequently reprinted in the monthly BNA Environmental Law: Keeping Current newsletter, conference and continuing education programs, the Journal of Environmental Law and Statistics, the American Law Review, and the Environmental Law Reporter. The ELR's Executive Reports provide the most comprehensive and timely source of information in the area of environmental law. The Executive Reports are indexed separately in the Administrative Materials binder.

The ELR also includes an Administrative Materials binder, which contains a variety of materials, and the diary, and the ER is published in the journal, and the monthly updates provide the most current and comprehensive source of information in the area of environmental law.

Motions regrets that APALSA was incorrectly described as a new journal.
California Propositions

**Proposition 161**

**Right to Die**

Current California law recognizes the right of a terminally ill adult to refuse medical treatment. Prop. 161 would permit a terminally ill adult to give written instructions to a physician that he or she not be kept alive by artificial means.

**Proposition 162**

**Pension Protection**

Proposed to protect retirement benefits of government workers by striking lawmakers of each of their power over pensions. Prop. 162 would prohibit individual board of retirement member to vote against pension benefits. The boards would pick the actuary who does the government pension contributions.

**Proposition 163**

**Snack Tax**

In order to close a budget gap last year, taxes were imposed on snack foods, candy, and bottled water. Prop. 163 would repeal this tax. Into to reduce the number of government employees, state and local government would cut state spending after declaring a fiscal emergency in the Legislature. The cut would be a mandatory budget by July 1, 1993, if the gap between the state's current

**Proposition 164**

**Term Limits**

There are currently no term limits on California's Congressional representatives. Prop. 164 would limit the terms of California members of the House to 6 years out of the 12-year term, and limit terms of California members of the U.S. Senate to 12 years out of the previous 12-year term. The measure would allow that any office holder for a period of time on the ballot, but not more than 20 years.

**Proposition 165**

**Welfare, Budget**

Prop. 165 would revolve the budgetary process to give the governor more control over public spending and would reduce existing welfare benefits. There are two aspects to the measure: "Budget Power." The governor and members of the board would pick the actuary who does the government welfare contributions.

**Proposition 166**

**Health Insurance**

This measure would make California employers provide health insurance to most workers and their families. It would require employers to provide health insurance at work as low as 15% or 1% of the federal poverty level in order to get tax credits.

**Proposition 167**

**State Taxes**

This measure would make significant changes in state income taxes. Tax cut: The state sales tax would be reduced from 5% to 2.5%.

---

"Any people anywhere being inclined and having the power have the right to rise up and shake off the existing government, and form a new one that suits them better. This is the most valuable, a most sacred right - a right which we hope and believe is to liberate the world." - Abraham Lincoln, speech in Congress, January 21, 1865.
The Grate Debates

By Christopher Scott Trunzo

Motions

October 30, 1992

T be candidates came to the people; the candidates saw the people; the candidates left behind a history for scholars to doc- torate. All three of the major party candidates (sorry, Libertarians) made only one thing perfectly clear: you cannot get a straight answer out of any of them. Never has so little been said in so many words.

The debate format was a three man news conference; there was no exchange of ideas; the candidates never directly addressed what the other two said. Each candidate gave an oblique response to "ques- tions" from the media which were little more than softball pitches lobbed at the candidates. If you, in class, tried to answer a professor in this way, not only your professor, but your classmate would shoot the tar out of you, or at least want to.

The scariest part was, the media muf- fins seemed satisfied with these semantically empty replies. The public questioners in the second debate wanted real answers; they asked for specifics, for numbers, but they were either overwhelmed by the elliptical verbiage or they simply gave up further questioning as a bad job. There was, however a reason behind this. Essentially, each candidate came into the forum with one idea and by god they were going to use it. Everyone knows who the candidates are. In the interest of fairness, I will identify each by his idea, and heap abuse atop disdain with equal opportu- nity in exposing what the candidate's idea means.

CHANGE. What this really means is: "Sure, you may be unsure about my character, but you think I'm "stuck," but aren't I better than that stooge Mr. Trust?" Is this not as equally negative a campaign message as Mr. Trust's? What you are being asked to do is to focus on the issues, as long as those issues don't include anybody's 'personal history,' or the specifics of the rev- enue collection half of their eco- nomic plan. Mr. Change basically says: "Can I do any worse than the incumbent?" He asks you to ignore the fact that he has made flaky contradictory promises to everyone and vote for a platform, not a per- son.

CHANGE wants you to vote for a person, not a platform, not his policies.

CHANGE is a "non-idealist," a "progressive" candidate. He tries to set an example on a single issue and give it more time, prominence and attention than the broad based par- ties with multiple concerns can af-ford to.

The approximately 50% of the American public that will bother to vote will be doing so on the platform, not on the person. As long as they stay on his favored ground, he looks good. Anybody can play one tune well. When Mr. Issue gets off The issue, he looks foolish, mean, or unprepared. He can, when called out, always point out that his roots are in the grass -- with several billion dollars of fertilizer under it. Mr. Issue has tunnel vision: he will eliminate the debt and make schools better, and that's it. Mr. Issue wants you to focus-- and vote for him. It would be the most amazing thing that ever happened.

The three candidates' roles have been assigned to them histori- cally, not because of underlying compe- tencies, every election, especially those in hard economic times, by defini- tion takes the cast of Status Quo versus Change. When the candi- date of the party in power's record is ambiguous or even bad, he takes the road of Trust. See, e.g., Carter '60, Ford '76, Nixon '60, Truman '48, Hoover '32, etc. Change al- ways runs against Trust. See, e.g., Reagan '80, Carter '76, Kennedy '60, Roosevelt '32. This is not an endorsement of the candidate of change, but historically he has an advantage: every one of the "change" candidates listed above won. In the past 120 years, whenever a third party candidate has taken on national prominence, it has been because of a single issue: Lincoln on Slavery in 1860, Teddy Roosevelt in 1912, George Wallace on state's rights. The two major parties have broad based follow- hings and have made a habit of in- corporating new and popular ideas into their platforms. The only way to run against them is to focus relentlessly on a single issue, and give it more time, prominence and attention than the broad based par- ties with multiple concerns can af- ford to.

Election Result Cast in Stone?

By D. Espy

October 30, 1992

Political analysts are again raising the possibility of the selection of the next president by the House of Repre- sentatives, perhaps by a controversial, wisdom, at least this week, is that Clinton voters, convinced of the inevi- tability of a Democratic victory on November 3, will choose to reg- ister a protest vote for Ross Perot. Clinton supporters are seen as a relatively volatile group, more sup- porting a "no-name" Bush than affirmatively supporting Clinton/Gore.

If this Perot-Shock phenomenon materializes to a degree which pre- vents an electoral majority for ei- ther of the major party candidates, a House member from each state will cast one vote for each of the top three finishers. This process could produce a president who came in second or third in even third in popular vote.

The expectation, according to Alexander Hamilton, was that the Representatives would cast their votes for the person who qualified for office. However, in the unlikely event of a House selection, most if not all votes will be cast along party lines. With all of the House seats up for election this fall, candidates are working hard to avoid needless complicat- tions with their platforms. As a Democratic Congressman and an almost certain popular Clinton victory, we needn't expect any surprises.

few of their classmates at Yale Law School who was not a lib- eral. Most young people felt compassion for the poor and con- cern about exercising potentially oppressive military force abroad. During these years the news was full of Vietnam and the assassi- nations of the Kennedys. The American JFK, Martin Luther King, Jr. A s Bill Clinton and Professor Bersin were finishing law school, America began to see a corrup- tion of the American political process. The Watergate scandal which was still lingering. There seemed to be an almost constant stream of political charges and challenges were what shaped the Bill Clinton of 1992.

Regarding the economy Pro- fessor Bersin explained three ar- eas foremost on the Clinton agenda: healthcare, creating jobs and education. Healthcare costs must be controlled to redirect funds to other needed areas. Cre- ating jobs is a major challenge. Our job prospects today are not as good as our parents' when they were our age. A national service fund should be established to pro- vide loan repayment assistance to those pursuing public service careers in education, healthcare, and community service. There are also some advocates for a program that would guarantee a job for all those who have dropped out of the system. With all of the House seats up for election this fall, candidates are working hard to avoid needless complicat- tions with their platforms. As a Democratic Congressman and an almost certain popular Clinton victory, we needn't expect any surprises. An Evening with Professor Bersin (and Bill Clinton)

By Sandra L. Johnson

suppose I shouldn't have been surprised, but I was. On the evening of October 14, visiting law professor Alan Bersin spoke to a diverse group of students, faculty and visitors in Camino Hall. Professor Bersin is the Campaign Manager for San Diego for Clinton/Gore. Not only is he a true Republican since before I was old enough to vote, but I have voted for Re- publicans in the last few elections and I learned to vote Republican candidate in this elec- tion. Not that I have definitely changed my mind about who to vote for. Professor Bersin just made me a lot less sure that I was voting for the right candidate. Professor Bersin explained the political process to USD with an outstanding back- ground. He is currently on leave from the Los Angeles law firm of Munger, Tolles & Olson. As a partner of that firm, Professor Bersin has represented such cli- ents as the Salvation Brothers of Wall Street and the Philippine government. He is a graduate of Harvard and Yale Law School. He also attended Oxford on a Rhodes Scholarship. His class- mates at Yale included the likes of Bill Clinton and Clarence Thomas. In addition to his high academic achievements, Professor Bersin was an outstanding foot- ball player at Harvard. Creden- tials aside, he is a dynamic speaker.

Professor Bersin's subject was "Bill Clinton: The Person and His Policies." He took us on a journey through the events which shaped the generation of himself and Bill Clinton. He brought to this listener a new un- derstanding of their generation and how they formed their ideas.

In 1960, John F. Kennedy was elected president. The young people of the time were not enraged against the Cold War and the dedication of new and popular ideas into their platforms. The only way to run against them is to focus relentlessly on a single issue and give it more time, prominence and attention than the broad based par- ties with multiple concerns can af- ford to.
Politics from page 14

races of people who have been oppressed throughout our history would cause chaos in the Republican party. They'd have to share the wealth. And the power. And they just aren't willing to give it all up yet. It's about hope versus fear.

It happened again when they brought up the Vietnam War. "Protesters are bad. We all have to fight for our country," Encouraging the suppression of divergent opinion would seem to deny that "our country" was founded on protest and that many of the events we celebrate with so much pride are actually commemorations of our protests against our former mother country, England.

All of this discussion reminds me of two specific incidents which took place during the Vietnam War. Even at the time they were practically unnoticed and now have long been forgotten. On November 2, 1965, outside the Pentagon in Washington, D.C., Norman Morrison, a thirty-two year old pacifist father of three, stood below the window of Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara, doused himself with kerosene, and set himself afire, giving up his life in protest of the war. Also in 1965, an eighty-two year old woman in Detroit, Alice Herz, burned herself self afire, giving up her life in protest of the Vietnam War. Even at the time they went against our former mother county, England.

Clinton maintains Vietnam stance

The Spanish philosopher, Miguel Unamuno, said during the Spanish Civil War, "Sometimes to be silent is to lie." I've read Bill Clinton credit for standing behind his own political gain. This I do remember because the dates that the rule was mistaken in the rules that the rule was posted and informal. As always, stop by our office for everyone involved.

Equity from page 14

competitors with any question about the rules should have asked a member of the Board for clarification.

The Future of Moot Court Competitions

We are committed to making the program work for everyone involved. The Moot Court Board

by the Honorable Honorable Buckner and Ann Parode, which quite honestly I don't remember. She didn't take notes on it.

Hai Haig, Claire's

$35 (with this ad) - REF - $45

HAIR GALLERY

6110 Friars Road, Suite 106
San Diego, CA
92106
619/298-6104

Letters

Motions welcomes Letters to the Editor. However, we retain the right to edit letters. We retain the right to print as little as a single paragraph of a lengthy epistle. Preferred length is 100-300 words.

Letters may be delivered to Motions mailbox in the Warren Hall faculty abuse mailbox, Warren Hall student activities
counseling area, or to the Motions office at UC first floor near the Grill.

Motions regrets that APALSA was incorrectly described as a new student organization in the Oct. 13 issue.
We Hold These Truths, Sex, And Other Ramblings

By Sabin Celata

Lawyers are essential to a free and democratic society. Lawyers are warriors for liberty and freedom, assailing injustice and relentlessly seeking the truth. It is lawyers America's go to people that has to sue anyone for anything. That first peek in the morning always feels good.

A couple of weeks ago I saw a huge Cadillac in downtown San Diego. It was painted orange and was being used as a taxi. On the back of the Cadillac was a placard with the message in America, 403,000 miles and Still Going Strong." I was proud.

Sioux Falls, South Dakota was recently chosen by Money Magazine as the number one best place to live in America during the magazine's annual survey. You figure it out. Nevertheless, South Dakota persists in keeping the moniker "America's Finest City." I have no objections.

After a good rain in January, Los Angeles is one of the most beautiful cities in the world to view. The remainder of the year it is not. New York is still the Big Apple. I love Vermont. A four season climate don't have its merits. A virgin snowfall is a wonder and a beauty of nature, if you don't have to drive in it. Every place on Earth must deal with some perils of nature. When you get right down to it, there are worse places to be than San Diego, California.

Drugs destroy lives.

Gambling has harmful purposes too.

Cigarette smoking is enjoyable.

Abortion is no longer against the law in some states. Oral sex is still against the law in many states.

Car-jacking is the fastest growing crime in the United States.

Drugs is a shameful time of day.

Recently in Tijuana, in order to install the final segment of a new water line, the authorities shut off the water supply for three days. That's unpleasant.

Surveys over the past two decades have consistently shown that the parts of the male anatomy that appeal to most are the eyes and the buttocks, in that order. The parts of the female anatomy that appeal to men the most are the breasts or the legs, and the buttocks. No surprises there. Both sexes also place a considerable premium on a person's intelligence, sense of humor, charm, self-confidence, passion, zest for life, and the ability to make one a partner feel like the only person in the world that matters.

What about tattoos?

Light beer is made by watering down regular beer. Non-alcoholic beer tastes pretty good. Really.

The California Grizzly Bear that emblazons the state flag is extinct and has been for some time.

A fine looking young woman in my neighborhood has personalized license plates on her car, "SCUBA." So I asked her one day, "Is that your name or would you like me to go down?"

---

College Republicans Come to USD Law School

By Michele Brown

College Republicans, CRs, is a national宽organization of college and graduate students. The organization exists in order to allow young people to become involved in the political process, serving as training grounds for future Republican leaders.

These clubs provide a forum for young people to demonstrate their leadership potential through political activism. By walking precincts, working phone banks, pack-

aging campaign materials, and hosting receptions, members begin to understand and appreciate the complexities of the political process.

CRs also attend conventions and victory celebrations (whether Republicans win or lose). The valuable contacts which CRs make them--an edge in professional and political situations.

The Law School CRs has both short and long term goals. The first major goal will be achieved this week when they receive SBA registration. The club desires to become a "Top of the Ticket" precinct walk for Republican candidates on Saturday, October 31. Anybody interested should call the Republican Campaign Headquarters at 573-1992, or stop by at the headquarters office: 4020 Genesee, Suite 100, in the Clairmont Mesa area.

"We want to exert influence over issues and work for solutions," commented the new club's founder.
BAR REVIEW:

Hollywood and Gringo on the Political Prowl

JOSE MURPHY'S

4302 MISSION BLVD., PACIFIC BEACH

Hollywood: George Herbert Walker Bush would like this bar not only because of its many names but because it represents the accomplishment of borderless free trade. Nations like Mexico or Malaysia import consumer goods like Corona beer, Cuervo Tequila or Nike athletic shoes at reasonable prices because they do not have to pay import tariffs, decent wages to their employees, or abide by environmental regulations at home. Rumor had it Corona employees retaliated against their Yankee oppressors by making "special recipe" beer, and Nike pays its employees a wage even lower by Malaysian standards. Environmental standards in those countries are basically non-existent. The great temple in Malaysia is wasting away because of acid rain, and San Diego needs to install a water purifier because of the pollution from Tijuana. Even if NAFTA does not manufacture all the jobs for California, with any luck we should have more great bars.

George Bush would appreciate Jose Murphy's. Unlike his recent kisser in Japan, here he could party hardy, eat the worm, blow chunks (Jose Murphy's serves sake) off the balcony, fall down, and no one would notice.

Gringo. Jose Murphy’s is a fine place, full of good honest people - your pal Slick Willy wouldn't fit in. Hollywood, I can't believe that you fail for this insurance salesman pandeler. OK, I'll grant you that the Environmental President has a few flaws (like Dan Quayle, the economy, all of his domestic policies, tripke down theory, and the fact that he always hangs around with his mother - why doesn't George get married? - OK, apologies to Barbara: anyone who quotes Ferris Bueller can't be all that bad), BUT I CAN't imagine anything more Halloweency-scarier than your liberal, free-speaking Congress getting a president with their same lack of good sense! Aside from ruining the ecological future of the world at Rio, creating Saddam Hussein, and lawyer bashing all the time, George Bush isn't such a bad guy. Read my lips: NO NEW LIBERALS!

What we really need to do is get Dan-o after the AMA instead of the ACLU. He could do more to cut medical costs in this country if he just got the AMA to quit restricting medical school admissions (anyone remember the law of supply and demand?).

Go to Jose Murphy's. The prices won't put you four trillion dollars in debt. Avoid George Bush like garlic on a first date, like drinking and driving, like the new Madonna book (for the same $50 you could get a year and a half of Hustler or Penthouse: same porno, better babes, more variation).

KELLY'S PUB

2222 SAN DIEGO AVENUE, OLD TOWN

Hollywood: Anyone religious enough to supporting partying Republicans would appreciate the family values Kelly’s espouses: a nice, homey feeling, Guinness and Harp on tap, and Irish folk songs to remind one of the homeland. Pat Buchanan would like this bar because many of the patrons wear short skirts, so he could easily look upon them to see if anyone is cross-dressing. It's even close to Old Town, so everyone can go to church in the morning with whomsoever they met the night before. Speaking of women's rights (which is the way the Republican Convention did not), the only qualifications Barbara Bush or Marilyn Quayle have to talk about the role of women is cookie baking or pillow talk! America's first ladies should come to California where two women, Barbara Boxer and Dianne Feinstein, are running for the U.S. Senate. Barbara Boxer was the congresswoman who exposed the Pentagon's outrageous spending of $7,600 on coffee pots. Dianne Feinstein, former San Francisco Mayor, headed a city paralyzed by the assassinations of its mayor and a supervisor and guided it to be America's favorite city for tourism. Both support a woman's right to exercise control her own body.

Ross Perot would have split opinions among the crowd which frequents Kelly's. He would like the clean cut guys from Norman (WSU) because they are mostly white and have short hair, like himself. However, he might find the use of foul language and the predominating goal of finding women of low moral values not to his liking. Like his days in the Navy, being on the board of directors at General Motors, or running for the presidency, he would probably leave in disgust.

Gringo: Now here's a great Irish pub. They serve great beers on tap at reasonable prices, and there ain't a person in there that actually believes a draft dodger should be president. (This applies to Dane Quayle, as well as Willy Boy.) It's bad enough that Clinton had to change his story six times about how he stayed out of the war, but he's probably going to make Pat Schroeder the Secretary of Defense! This woman authored a bill to cut our overseas troops in half in 1982 - practically at the height of the Cold War - certainly Reagan's escalation of it. And Tipper Gore?! PLEASE, Hollywood. She could never fit in at Kelly's because she's kept turning off the juke box! This psycho woman runs the campaigns against rock and roll lyrics, for christ's sake. Hell, she probably spends her nights at home with Al playing Beatles albums backwards to hear the demonic messages. Who would marry this woman? I'll tell ya who, the same guy who gives speeches about how guilty he feels to drive his air conditioned car to his speeches! RIDEAGODDAMNBIKE! ! You and your green president. And speaking of Arkansas, is it the #1 state in everything or the #50 state? These debates just confuse me. And if Clinton gets elected, do I have to marry my cousin?

On the other hand, Kelly's is the kind of place that GUINNESS FLOWERS might like! Remember her? You never heard about George cheating on Barb, didja? Well God knows I would have if I were him. Go to Kelly's. Avoid Bill Clinton like televangelists, like big government, like discussing the abortion issue at parties.

GRINGO'S PICK O' THE MONTH

Ned Kelly's
KOWLOON, HONG KONG

I haven't been to Ned Kelly's in a few years, but it was one of the best places for fun this side of Texas; the kind of place Ross Perot would appreciate. (Well, tell me about it Gringo, I'm ALL EARS! OK, let's talk facts. The biggest problems in the country are economic. They've been caused because presidents have been too busy handling the bigger problems of yesterday like the Cold War (except Kennedy who was too busy chasing babes). It's time to elect a businessman. It would be a darn sight better to elect a nonpartisan candidate who, by virtue of his being elected with a minority party system, will command a new and different authority: "L I'll grant you that the

HOLLYWOOD'S RAGE OF THE MONTH

The Morena Club
1319 MORENA BLVD.

Look Poppy, a place for us! George Bush and all of his Yalie Skull & Bones buddies would like this place. I can easily imagine them guzzling brew for cheap (the Mo Club sells liquor and only wine in a box), and making self-congratulatory jokes about the other local schools like, "Yesterday, ah parked mah car in Hahvahd Yahd!" Quayle obviously spent time in places like this: by his father's admission Quayle spent most of his college days chasing boone and broads, and the remainder of his time on the links.

I don't know how George acquired the nickname "wimp," but I'd love to know how he tried to get rid of it. He hired Saddam Hussein to goto war by selling him cold-war, industrial computers and information (against the warnings of the head of the company and his boss) and then paid for Iraq's buildup by giving them government supported loans! He fared no better in the domestic arena. Regarding the economy (with help from Raygun), he used his superior knowledge of voodoo economics to get this country in deep doo-doo. He helped transform the U.S. from the biggest creditor nation to the biggest debtor nation and now to the biggest beggar nation. (Or was he practicing for his new job as a care administrator?) I can imagine his sales pitch: "Read my lips! NO hidden taxes!"

After you practice the great American institution of voting, visit a true, honest-to-God made-in-America bar which specializes in the basics: beer, burgers and billiards.
Letters to the Editor
Night School Discrimination?

Doesn't this universal, cross-cultural condemnation suggest that homosexuality which has been proscribed by governments all over the world not only is it difficult to imagine a group more universally hated than homosexuals should homosexuals then be allowed to compete on an equal footing? It is offered only to clarify the events of the eight competitors during the Moot Court meeting, consultation with our faculty advisor, and discussions with administration. The decision was based on a fair reading of the rules, the fact that forty-eight competitors had successfully complied with the rule and the disqualification of a competitor who, two hours after the deadline had passed, realized that she had not complied with the rules. Fairness dictated treating the eight right competitors in the same fashion for the same mistake.

Any implication that the disqualification of the competitors was due to "omnipotence" or laziness of Board members is both unfair and biased.

The Rules of the Competition
The letter to the editor took issue with the rule that disqualified a student, specifically noted that competitors were notified to competitors' packets, which all competitors received upon signing up, would take up a lot of space. Suffice it to say that a careful reading of the rules, which stated a deadline for signing up on Monday, September 8th and 9th, and that the act declares that in 1992, in light of the Clinton/Gore ticket at-large, it was all they could do. It was all they could do. Bill Clinton wants to run as a Rhodes Scholar. Do they really expect the Orval Faubus/George Wallace approach. Name Withheld on Request

Student for Diurnal Scholarship

Individual Responsibility, Group Equity: A Response to Criticisms of the Moot Court Program

I have some questions for Ms. Carbone, who laments the fact that California "has not made the full leap" into housing homosexuals all the rights they demand. Query: Isn't it possible to recognize a rational distinction between homosexuals and heterosexuals? Heterosexuals are cannibalism and incest) morally wrong, even if the participants are willing? Should homosexuals actually occupy model role positions as teachers or scout leaders? If the law sanctions homosexual marriages, should homosexuals then be allowed to adopt children? Are any of these concerns answered merely by invoking the facile label of "bigotary"?

L. Lucarelli

Gay Rights?
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Death With Dignity
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Gay Rights?
Section A To Win it All... NOT

By Greg Cribbs

Inside Look at an International Legal Practice

They were both solid enough to make a solid legal team. However, there are solid defence at every position, good sticks, and an attitude that makes the international legal system seem like they're having fun, NOT looks poised to win it all.

Here, Co-Rec participants, is the recognition and ink you were promised three weeks ago. But, before you read any further I want to inform you that I also reserve the right to protection under the Czar's disclaimer for the competitive league predictions (i.e., NO whining about your ranking). Therefore, the Jr. Czar's rankings and predictions are as follows.

1. NOT - This is a team that is loose on the field, loves to have fun, and really doesn't care about winning the game... NOT!!! However, with their solid defense at every position, good sticks, and an attitude that makes the presidentials candidates look like they're having fun, NOT looks poised to win it all.

2. DRUNK SLUTS - The name is a little misleading because it's only half right. Since most of the games are on Saturday mornings, usually the team is sober (hang over maybe, but at least not drunk). This only leaves the second part of their name up to them. Although they're a very solid team from top to bot- tom, their run to the title may fall far behind the winning streak, just like the many fly balls of their power hitter and captain "Fence."  

3. KNUCKLEHEADS - They are another strong contender for the title, who appear to have only a few identities. One that surfaces now and again (usually during the early Saturday morning game following a late night at the Mo' Club) is the Czar's pitching. It doesn't look very pretty, and sometimes it takes him a while to find the plate, but when he does, look out for this team!  

4. ODDZILLA - The APALSA team has a sneaky sense about it. They look as though they're having fun and goofing around, but then the other team looks at the score board and finds themselves down by 10! However, they do have their weak moments when both their hitting and fielding is about as well timed as the voice dubbing of their namesake's movies.  

5. SMILE WE SUCK - What can you say about this team, besides, well, they have to do a lot of smil- ing. They're led by their fearless captain, Paula, who coined the phrase "I got it, I got it! (sound of untouched fly ball hitting the turf)" The team seems to have adopted this as their motto. (Chances are slim to none, and Slim is out of town.)  

6. SECTION C - I like to call this team my "Ross Perot" ballditch. One week they're in the league, the next week they're out, the next week back in, and etc., etc., etc. However, the name seems even more fitting when one takes a closer look. Like Ross, they're somewhat inter- esting, kind of humorous to watch, and have absolutely no chance to win!  

7. SECTION B - AHHHHH! The typical 1st year team: Beer, fun, beer, some talent, beer, errors, beer, singing and chanting, beer, LOSS. Although their competitive squad may have depleted the available pool for Co-Rec via the "brain drain." 8. and 9. SECTION A (Eric) and SECTION A (Jeff) - Since the dead- line for this article was before the "big game" between these teams, I had no idea who to put on top or bottom. This "game big" had all the hoopla one would expect from the first meeting between the Florida Marlins and the Colorado Rockies. Anyway, rest assured the winner of the game only deserves one a team buffer from rubbing noses with the faculty in the cellar.  

10. FACULTY - Watching this team on the field can only cause reflection on the old adage, "Don't quit your day job." The play of the faculty illustrates a sobering ex- ample to law students about anyone's ability to possess both qualities of professionalism and athleticism.

Motions Top Ten

Top Ten Reasons to Vote:

10. Thrill of throwing off network exit polls.
9. Effective way to distinguish yourself from undergraduates.
8. Chance to write in Nipsy Russell for President.
7. Standing in a Linda Vista garden party in the rain.
6. Cookie treats from Brad Fields (SBA elections only).
5. Can confirm party leanings of your pinky neighbor.
4. Go to point and laugh at Peace and Freedom party candidates
2. Great place to pick up on retirees.
1. Ease of parking near balloting facilities.

Summer in Barcelona

Inside Look at an International Legal Practice

By Christopher Duenow

I was fortunate to be a law clerk this past summer for Jaussa & Terricabras, a law firm in Barcelona, Spain. Being interested in an international legal practice, the experience will be invaluable for my career. However, given the globalization of business and its attendant legal needs, any U.S. at- torney would be well advised to be aware of business and legal condi- tions outside the United States: there are good odds of having a client who needs advice about a foreign legal matter.

The firm where I worked prac- ticed international transactional law. About half the clients were not Spanish, and the majority of its Spanish clients were involved in various kinds of foreign business. As a result, I saw legal transactions from both viewpoints and the inter- action between clients and attor- neys across different legal systems. I was also able to observe the differ- ent environments and roles of the attorney in these business dealings.

My initial reaction upon enter- ing the office was, "Where are all the secretaries and staff?" There were only a receptionist and a secre- tary for the senior partner. The attorneys work at their desks, translating, and "mental" office work. A Spanish law degree re- quires only slightly more study than a typical under- graduate degree. Even though the attorneys I worked with spoke at least three languages, they don't earn close to typical U.S. attorney salaries. But they are free to chain smoke those nasty European ciga- rettes and cigars in the office without fear of protest from anyone.

Contrary to popular belief, Spanish attorneys do work hard and long hours. Depending on how much you like languish- ing over lunch every day, you would either love or hate the long afternoon break. A day at the office starts at about 9:30am and doesn't end until 7:30pm with a break from 1:30 to 4pm. For the visiting attorney, this is quite a treat in American culture as being there early and staying late is frowned upon as arrogance, aggressiveness and self-serving behavior in the Euro- pean viewpoint. A concise, straight- to-the-point PAK, perceived as well written here, is often aggressive and offensive to the Spanish attor- neys. They prefer the passive voice and like to be very polite in legal documents.

A second problem can only be described as arrogance on the part of some American business people and attorneys. Particularly in Spain, Americans arrive thinking they are going to an underdeveloped Latin American country. They intend to show the foreigner the American Way, toward which the world has become the last several decades. They thus underestimate their nego- tiating opponent and get burned in the process.

Most U.S. attorneys also failed to realize the American and Span- ish legal systems are fundamen- tally different, not basically the same with only a different language. I could not convince one Ohio attor- ney that he could not recover on a negligence claim in Spain that would have been a clear winner in the U.S. He fired our firm and is probably still wasting his time and his client's money pursuing the claim.

After working with a foreign law firm, I realize one of the most important decisions an American attorney can make to help a client with an international operation is to select a local attorney. Depend- ing on the client's operations, you could be working extensively with that attorney, placing your reputa- tion in his or her hands. The better your relationship is with the local attorney, the smoother your client's international transaction will go.

The author is a third year law stu- dent at USD. He spent the summer of 1991 working for Susacodiac Educational Corp. in Tokyo.
Board Hosts Informal Discussion of Journal's Future

By Gregory T. Lyall

The editorial board of the Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues chaired an informal forum of students and faculty to discuss the future of the Journal. About 50 students and five faculty attended the Oct. 22 gathering on the first floor of Warren Hall.

The USD faculty will decide at their Oct. 30 meeting whether the Journal should be operated by faculty, retain the current student-run format, or be discontinued. Professors Larry Alexander and Paul Wohlmuth have proposed that a Faculty General Editor manage the Journal. The Journal Board plans to propose a middle alternative. Board member Rich Grueneberger began the forum by acknowledging that a quality publication will require faculty support. He defined the issue as being one of degree: degree of quality and degree of faculty involvement.

One problem area discussed was year to year continuity. A possible solution proposed by Board member Keith Johnson was a training program. Journal recruiting has been put on hold by the administration pending resolution of the control issue.

The SBA allocated $8000 to bail the Journal out of financial difficulties during the '91-'92 school year. Asked whether the faculty would reimburse that money, Professor Wohlmuth responded that if a proposal was submitted, the faculty may consider reimbursement.

Professor Alexander emphasized that the future management of the Journal should be considered in context: "There are currently 150 first line law reviews, maybe more." Explaining that with many law schools having two, three, or even more legal journals, "those second and third journals have an increasingly difficult time getting quality articles...[This is a] problem that even affects the San Diego Law Review." Professor Alexander further stated that a student-run Journal will continue to get poor articles.

Students expressed concern about student selection criteria for a faculty journal. Professor Wohlmuth questioned the source of the concern. Professor Alexander indicated that he has given no thought to how students will be picked. The forum lasted about 45 minutes. During the discussion, Professors Wohlmuth and Alexander spoke independently for faculty management. A Journal Board member remarked afterwards that the presentation was intentionally informal.

Question of the Week

What is the most important issue for you in this presidential election?

Adam Phillip
Concreteness, where one of the candidates has definite answers that can be presented and reviewed.

Bill Reyes
Making sure we have a president who respects the rights of minorities and women.

Greg Cribbs
The domestic economic agenda. I would like an economy strong enough to provide jobs and allow us a chance to pay off our $75K loans once our 3 year law school prison term is up.

Geoff Morrison and Pete Salmon
Exercise regimen. We see Clinton jog; we see Bush jog. Too. But we never see Perot exercise - maybe he walks the aisles of Walmart or Home Depot.

JCLI Faculty Concept Paper for Operation

1. Managed by a Faculty General Editor (FGE).
2. Format: Publication of papers generated by a live symposium held at USD.
3. Selection of FGE by rotation among interested faculty members. Option 1: Majority vote of three-person committee appointed by Dean. Option 2: Dean selects, subject to confirmation by majority vote of faculty.
4. FGE complete authority during year's term (Sept. 1 - Aug. 31). By Aug. 31, all expenditures of FGE must be paid or invoiced; budgetary support and authority transfers to incoming FGE.
5. Student Roles: Student Managing Editor and Student Editors (chosen by FGE).
6. Budget and Other Support: Symposium expenses; publication expenses; student stipend/tuition remission; administrative/secretarial assistance; release time or other support for FGE; credit/independent study.

JCLI Student Board Counter Proposal

The current Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues' Editorial Board, in an effort to promote the best interests of the Journal and the USD School of Law will relinquish sole editorial responsibility. Toward that end, the Senior Board recognizes the advantages of having active faculty involvement through a senior faculty member who will have the authority to determine symposium and issue topics. The Senior Board member will be the Senior Board Managing Editor and the selected faculty member will serve as the Senior Board Managing Editor. Other Support: Symposium expenses; publication expenses; student stipend/tuition remission; administrative/secretarial assistance; release time or other support for FGE; credit/independent study.