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JUDGE COUGHENOUR: After presenting findings of the Ninth Federal Circuit Task Force on Gender Bias, Judge Coughenour attended a reception with interested members of the audience. The Task Force’s preliminary report reflects that 60% of female practitioners “report inappropriate sexual comments and harassment.” Moreover, the Task Force report reveals that “women are more likely than men to experience negative consequences as a result of parenthood.”

Ninth Circuit Gender Bias Study Subject of Coughenour Talk

By Larissa Kehoe

Judge John C. Coughenour spoke at the USD School of Law, Thursday, Feb. 4. The event, co-sponsored by the Women’s Law Caucus and the SBA, centered on the findings and recommendations of the Ninth Federal Circuit Task Force on Gender Bias, of which Judge Coughenour, U.S. District Judge sitting in Seattle, was the chairperson. Although the Task Force found less evidence of gender bias in the judiciary than was expected, the evidence included egregious examples of gender bias among law firms in the ninth circuit. Judge Coughenour stated that both blatant and subtle forms of gender bias still plague the ninth circuit.

Over a year ago, the Task Force formed to investigate both the existence and effects of gender bias within the ninth circuit. The Task Force accumulated much of its data through questionnaires distributed to both the Bar and Bench. The response rate was high, with 86% response rate from the Bench and a somewhat lower response rate from the Bar. Judge Coughenour noted that the high return rate resulted in a comprehensive study of gender bias.

One aspect of gender bias the Task Force discovered, said Judge Coughenour, was the fact that women are not well represented in federal private practice. Judge Coughenour noted that, although new entrants into the legal field are 40% women, 84% of lawyers in federal practice are men. In contrast, the proportion of women lawyers in public practice settings is about double that in private practice, closely matching the proportion of women currently entering the legal profession.

Further, stated Judge Coughenour, those women who are in federal private practice tend to be clustered at the lower levels. He also noted that available data suggests that this is not simply a function of the fact that women have only relatively recently begun entering the legal profession in large numbers. Thus, he said, the problem cannot be expected to disappear over time. Judge Coughenour reported that the Task Force discovered that, while most men believe that both judicial appointments and hiring and promotion decisions within law firms are solely merit based, some women perceive gender bias as playing an additional factor.

Perception is a Key Difference

Judge Coughenour observed that this difference in perspective is a major aspect of gender bias. One aspect of gender bias the Task Force discovered, said Judge Coughenour, was the fact that women are not well represented in federal private practice. Judge Coughenour noted that, although new entrants into the legal field are 40% women, 84% of lawyers in federal practice are men. In contrast, the proportion of women lawyers in public practice settings is about double that in private practice, closely matching the proportion of women currently entering the legal profession.

Further, stated Judge Coughenour, those women who are in federal private practice tend to be clustered at the lower levels. He also noted that available data suggests that this is not simply a function of the fact that women have only relatively recently begun entering the legal profession in large numbers. Thus, he said, the problem cannot be expected to disappear over time. Judge Coughenour reported that the Task Force discovered that, while most men believe that both judicial appointments and hiring and promotion decisions within law firms are solely merit based, some women perceive gender bias as playing an additional factor.

Perception is a Key Difference

Judge Coughenour observed that this difference in perspective is a major aspect of gender bias.
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One of the problem of gender bias in the courts. Citing statistics from the Task Force's preliminary report of July, 1992, she showed that men and women disagree strongly about the influence of gender on hiring and promotion. According to the findings, just over 20% of women feel that men have an advantage in hiring at junior levels, in contrast to fewer than 10% of men. The disparity is even greater in perceptions of bias affecting promotions to partner: while over 50% of men see gender as an influencing factor, less than 20% of men find it to be so. Judge Coughenour stated that there is a need to convince the professional community that it is no longer acceptable to perceive gender bias as a problem, a problem exists and must be faced. Not all of the Task Force's findings were negative, however, stated Judge Coughenour. The ninth circuit leads the nation in the potential for lawsuits they might face for gender biased hiring and promotion practices. Judge Coughenour ended stating that he hopes an appropriate program can be developed to respond to the findings of the Gender Bias Task Force.

MOOT COURT WINNERS: The team of Geoffrey Morrison and Catherine Cottis (pictured at left) placed first in the recent St. Thomas More Moot Court Competition. Runners up were Bryan Holland and Ed Pernal (pictured at right).

MOOT COURT BOARD distributed the problem for the winter 1993 Traynor Competition will be held at USD on Saturday, February 20, at the University of San Diego.

CATHOLIC PERSPECTIVES FORUM NOTRE DAME THEOLOGIAN LOOKS AT CATHOLIC CHURCH IN THE 21st CENTURY

Rev. Michael J. Himes, Ph.D., associate professor of theology at the University of Notre Dame, will discuss "Preparing for the Church in the 21st Century" on Saturday, February 20, at the University of San Diego.

Sponsored by USD's Catholic Perspectives Forum series and the Notre Dame Club of San Diego's Hesburgh Lecture series. Fr. Himes' lecture will take place at 7:00 p.m. in the Manchester Executive Conference Center.

The event is free and open to the public. For further information, contact Mal Rafferty at 250-4817.
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COTTIS AND MORRISON TEAM WINS MOOT COURT CON LAW COMPETITION

By Tony Sagabio
Moot Court Board

The four finalists in the 1993 St. Thomas More Moot Court Competition met in the Grace Courtroom Feb. 5. After over an hour of rigorous appellate advocacy, the team of Catherine Cottis and Geoffrey Morrison emerged victorious, each receiving Ed Pernal.

MOOT COURT WINNERS: The team of Geoffrey Morrison and Catherine Cottis (pictured at left) placed first in the recent St. Thomas More Constitutional Law Competition. Runners up were Bryan Holland and Ed Pernal (pictured at right).

advocacy, the team of Catherine Cottis and Geoffrey Morrison emerged victorious, each receiving a complimentary bar review course from Barpassers. Second place went to the team of Bryan Holland and Ed Pernal.

KathrynArsenault and Mary Galeri were double winners, taking third place and Best Brief, Respondent. Rounding out the finalists was fourth place team Danny Rodriguez and Nick Salerno. Paul Junge was voted Best Oralist, and Best Brief, Petitioner went to the Renae Adamson and Vince Brunnow duo.

This year's problem addresses constitutional issues regarding freedom of speech. Specifically, the issue is what constitutes in-person attorney solicitation, and what is misleading advertising. The Moot Court Board distributed the problem on Jan. 13. The competition was open to all students who were in at least their second year of study. At the outset, the competitors were given two weeks to turn in a brief attorneys from the San Diego and Los Angeles legal communities. After completion of the semi-final rounds, the Board prepared for the final round, in which only the top two teams would argue.

The final round judges for this year's competition were Judge David Gill, Professor Bernard Siegian, and David Niddr. Judge Gill is a Superior Court Judge who has sat on the bench since 1974. Before becoming a judge, he worked extensively on Military Appeals. Professor Siegian is one of USD's distinguished professors teaching Constitutional Law, Law and Economics, and Land Use. Professor Siegian has also written extensively on the subject of Constitutional Law. David Niddr is an associate for the law firm Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves and Savich, specializing in appellate practice. He also serves as an adjunct professor for USD, teaching Appellate Practice and Procedure. With such a learned panel, the final round proved very challenging to the competitors.

Other competition news

The Board conducted interviews with the top eight oralists who were interested in representing USD at this year's Traynor Competition. The team selected to represent USD is Kathryn Arsenault, Catherine Cottis, and Geoffrey Morrison. This year's Traynor Competition will be held at USD on March 19 and 20 and presents the same issue as the St. Thomas More Competition. All are welcome to attend and watch the competition. It promises to be very exciting, with several prestigious judges serving as justices. Bailiffs and interns are needed and should sign up at the Moot Court office.

The Jesup International Law Competition was recently held in St. Petersburg, Florida. The two teams were USD and the University of Miami. USD placed second.

The Jesup International Law Competition was recently held in St. Petersburg, Florida. The two teams were USD and the University of Miami. USD placed second.

Lynn Schenk Visits USD to Accept Award

The Women's Law Caucus presented San Diego Congressman Lyn Schenk with its Outstanding USD Law Alumna Award last Nov. 20. In her acceptance speech, Congresswoman Schenk recalled her personal experiences at the University of San Diego Law School in the late 1960s. She recounted with amusement the time a professor pulled her aside after class and asked her why she wasn't studying something useful like home economics. He further inquired why she was occupying some young man's place in the law school; a young man who would someday have to support a wife and children.

Congresswoman Schenk also received a Tiffany house charm on a silver keychain for keeping track of her keys to the "House."
Crawford. Anyway, picture yourself seated at a table with five other students, taking turns answering questions about yourself, trying your hardest not to be nervous.

Kate Vargas was the mock interviewer, and we were the job applicants. "Elizabeth, I see here you have worked in the City Attorney's office. Can you tell me about that experience, and why you want to pursue a career in the District Attorney's office?" Well, I'm sure I could have answered her in a real interview, but this was much harder. I mean there were five other people sitting there watching me, and I think I had a hard time answering her. This was evident because I rambled on (clearly), and I failed to back up my answer with a specific example. So basically, when you give an answer to an interviewer, back it up with a specific example. A specific instance which supports what you've just said. I'll use my second question to illustrate the point. "Elizabeth, how do you work under pressure?" Or something to that effect. This reminds me - always listen to the interviewer, and if you aren't sure you've understood the question, ask her to clarify for you. There's nothing worse than answering a question that hasn't been asked. Anyway, back to my second question. Very poised and confident, I replied, "Back in the days when I worked for Saks Fifth Avenue, which you'll note on my resume, I was responsible for eighty people. I had to insure that the floor ran smoothly. I had to be ready for anything. For example, one day Estee Lauder came into the store, and thousands of New Yorkers rushed through the doors to meet the cosmetics magnate. I had to call security, set up stanchions, pull bottles of perfume from the stock room so Estee could sign them for eagerly awaiting customers, all while maintaining a calm, collected manner." So, you see how I provided a specific, if not stupid example, (I mean of course people rush through doors, what clue, windows?) of how I worked under pressure. It was fun to see myself on video. Good thing I have a sense of humor because I look like a caricature of myself. It was more fun to watch the other students on video. Watching their reactions to questions, and how they gave answers. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that.

The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that. The best part of the session came when Kate asked one of the students why she wanted to be a lawyer, and she burst out laughing. She was so genuine, it was a perfect example of how we all wish we could act in interviews - just like that.

Volunteers at the Clinic assist clients by filling out requests for "kick out" and temporary restraining orders (TROs), as well as the orders themselves. We also engage in informal peer counseling. This is intended to impart a sense of power and control to clients to enable them to make decisions when faced with the morass of procedures required to obtain a valid restraining order. A client often must see the "kick out" order first; in another appearance 25 days later, the victim may be forced to confront the abuser in open court. Victims have difficulty leaving a battering relationship when they have been abused both emotionally and physically and have very little self esteem left. Statistics indicate that a battered victim leaves the abuser an average of seven times before finally severing the relationship.

While it is frustrating to see a victim file repeatedly for restraining orders because of failure to follow through with the decision, working at the clinic teaches patience and empathy for those people who are surely less fortunate than the majority of us who attend law school here. Working at the clinic is more than a "reality check," though; it gives you an opportunity to give something back to the community at a time when resources for these people are scarce.

The author is a second year student who has participated in the PBA Domestic Violence Prevention Project since the spring of 1992.

Training for the Domestic Violence Prevention Project will be held on Fri. Feb. 26, from 1-3pm. To register, leave your name and telephone number in Nina Golden's mailbox by Wed. Feb. 24.

The ABA/LSD Ninth Circuit Goes to Santa Barbara

Over the last weekend of February, 1993, the schools of the ABA Law Student Division Ninth Circuit will be gathering at the Santa Barbara Sheraton for our Spring Meeting. The two day event will be dedicated to electing the 1993-1994 Ninth Circuit Governor, as well as the initial selection for Liaison positions available for the 1993-1994 academic year. If you're curious to know what the ABA is all about, or just want to spend the weekend in the sun, let us know. All ABA members are encouraged to attend! Contact Danny Rodriguez or David Garley for details.
a complement to her experience with investigation. After surviving a wiretapping component, she made law review and later became an associate editor. During her second or third year she began to think about academia as a profession,” Lee says. She wrote a comment for the California Law Review where the legal speech rights of public employees. This article attracted the attention of law professors at USD, who invited her to consider a career in teaching. Declining their invitation, she instead accepted a clerkship with Superior Court Judge Harold Fong.

In 1991, Lee began work at the San Francisco law firm of Cooper, White and Cooper in criminal defense. “Although the work was very interesting I found it difficult to represent interests I didn't necessarily agree with,” With this mind Lee accepted USD's offer to teach.

Professor Smith is a native of Boise, Idaho. Lawyering runs in the family: Smith's two brothers and one sister are attorneys, and his father is a retired trial court judge. Smith earned his degree in history and philosophy from Cornell.
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other, these entities want to push the “problems” like waste treatment and landfills into other jurisdictions. According to Bilbray, “the major problem with efficiency [and equity as well] is that, where the problems are...is not necessarily where the authority or the funding to take care of the problems is.”

Affluent cities likely to oppose

On the equity issue, Bilbray pointed out that small, affluent cities like Coronado have a huge amount of resources per capita, whereas less affluent areas like neighboring Imperial Beach have very little. Bilbray asserts that the rich and powerful use the political subdivisions to hide from the problems of the less affluent areas and use their small, tight knit communities to keep from sharing their resources from the region as a whole. To illustrate, Bilbray pointed out that when Solana Beach and Encinitas incorporated, millions of dollars were taken out of the County pot. The result was that the County had less money to spend on services for the poor, the needy, and the “working class.”

Bilbray viewed “democracy” as illusory. He criticized the current configuration of regional government, SANDAG, by noting that the City of Del Mar with a population of 4,500 has one vote, while the City of San Diego represents over one million people and also gets only one vote. Bilbray believes this violates the doctrine of “one person - one vote” and pointed out that at one time the State of California elected one state senator per county. This method of choosing senators was struck down as violating of the doctrine.

Three remedies proposed

As a result, Bilbray views the existing system as “not efficient, not fair, and in need of repair.” He perceives three possible remedies. One is to form a totally centralized, “or consolidated,” government. In order to avoid some of the problems inherent in a totally centralized system, Bilbray wants to “empower the neighborhoods in land use decisions that affect only the neighborhood land use issues.” He sees land use decisions being made on a local level with direction, regional criteria, and standards being met out by the consolidated government. He sees this as a way to avoid the “localization of resources” whereby local governments make land use decisions based on what will bring revenues into their areas and not on what is “good land use.”

Another potential remedy is a scheme similar to the existing fire fighting system that developed in Chula Vista. Neighboring communities hire and pay for their own fire fighters, but the force is consolidated for training, management, and dispatch purposes. To apply this to government, Bilbray suggests that key governmental services of the region be consolidated into “service districts:” North, South, East and Central.

The final remedy proposed by Bilbray is putting to the voters, in 1994, a Constitutional Congress to date into the voters, in 1994, a Constitutional Congress to date into the voters, in 1994, a Constitutional Congress to date into the voters, in 1994, a Constitutional Congress to create an “exclusive publisher” of California law. All three proposals are conditioned on the State legislature approving measures to reform local government. Current State law does not permit the reform of local governments once they are incorporated or annexed.

Bilbray acknowledged that the small, affluent communities of the county will be the big proponents of “consolidated government.” When asked what incentive could be offered to such communities, Bilbray responded, “Frankly, what I need to offer 20,000 people when I have a million people marching right into their face? It's like Switzerland trying to negotiate with China.” When the voters come they don't ask “how rich you are, they ask you how you vote.” However, Bilbray feels that those who have the most to lose, governmental reform doesn't work, are the affluent communities. “They're the ones being buried and ripped off.” He thinks that the affluent communities feel they are insulated from the problems of the greater region.

Bilbray argues that the solution will not be easy, the potential for litigation great, the growing pains significant. However, he believes that citizens of this country and particularly this country are tired of “being servants to the government rather than the government serving them.” Times, it seems, they are a changing. So too, perhaps, is our vision of what “local government” should be.
SBA President’s Report

By Robert Chong

If it just me, or is everybody reliev ed to see their grades? I'm proud to announce that SBA is back in full swing this semester with a full calendar planned.

At least two noteworthy events have been sponsored SBA organizations so far this semester. APALS’s Lunar New Year Celebration featured the movie “Raise the Red Lantern” and a selection of Asian foods. Women’s Law Caucus’ presentation of Judge Coughour was a smashing success. He was sincere, down to earth, and very approachable, and a good reception followed the well-attended speech.

SBA Budget Hearing

What Really Goes On Behind Closed Doors

Every fall and spring semester SBA allocates your student fees to fund the various SBA organizations on campus and their planned events.

First, we set aside a discretionary fund for SBA events such as Orientation, Fall Picnic, Halloween Party and the Mentor’s Reception. This semester, the discretionary fund will finance the St. Patrick’s Day Party, Law Review, Gay’s In the Military Symposium, and the Graduation Party for 3Ls and 4Ls.

Next, SBA organizations submit a Budget Request Forms that detail their activities and expenses for the semester. Last fall, SBA received budget requests totaling $33,256 and dispersed $18,190. Each organization then presents its proposal to the Budget Committee. This hearing usually takes places on a weekend afternoon and can last all day. The Budget Committee consists of SBA officers: President, both Day and Evening Vice President, Secretary, one elected representative from the First Year, second Year, and a representative from the Third and Fourth Year combined. The SBA Treasurer usually chairs the Committee.

After each organization has presented arguments for funding, the Committee pares down the total amount requested to an amount which is workable for the semester. This semester $31,078 was requested by all groups submitting a budget request, and we allocated $20,500. The Committee carefully considers which events are worthwhile and which might be excessive. The results are presented to the entire Student Bar Association for approval.

If an organization changes its mind about an event during the semester, it can simply reallocate its appropriated money for existing events or an entirely new event, if it fits in the budget. This way, organizations cannot spend more than we have, and we can balance our books for the next administration.

Some issues arose during this last round of budget hearings, and Geoff Graves is forming a committee to establish some guidelines and policies for future budget hearings.

Nathanson Lecture

The Nathaniel L. Nathanson Memorial Lecture Series commemorates Professor Nathanson’s commitment to excellence in the law. Held once a year, the Nathanson Lecture has presented such prestigious speakers as Distinguished Professor Willard Wirtz and Supreme Court Justices John Paul Stevens and Sandra Day O’Connor. This year’s speaker on April 7 will be Supreme Court Justice Harry Blackmun. Justice Blackmun is perhaps best known for writing in Roe v. Wade. Only 200 tickets will be available.

Volunteer Income Tax Assistance

VITA is officially in full swing. The Center opened on Feb. 2 and will remain open until April 14. Located in Founder’s Hall, Room 151, the Center is open on Tuesdays and Wednesdays from 1-9 pm and Saturdays from 9 am to noon. We advertised extensively in the local media, including the areas of Morena Blvd., University City, Clairemont Blvd., parts of Genesse Ave. and Friars Rd. Our school has already received some press for the program from Channel 6 and Channel 10.

The program is designed to assist the elderly and those with low incomes, but students or others can also receive help. VITA simply asks that you come with all documents necessary to file your taxes. Keep your eyes and ears open for any more press coverage.

Graduation Party

Attention 3Ls and 4Ls. As May Graduation rolls near it is time to start thinking celebration. Thanks to the hard work of Kathryn Turner-Arsenault and the Graduation Committee, this year’s Graduation Party will be at the Princess Cruises Resort and Hotel. The Graduation Committee will be meeting again to finalize everything from food and music to invitations, linen and glasses. If you would like to help organize this year-end bash, come to an SBA meeting for more information.

By the way, our graduation speaker will be the famous civil rights attorney, Morris Dees.

SBA Approves Spring Budget

Nathanson’s book, "Stepping Out of America’s Homeless Dilemma: Hope for the Homeless," winner of the annual Warren Williams Award for Work with the Mentally Ill, and is a current nominee for the Robert Kennedy Book Award. The talk is scheduled for Monday, March 8, at 12 noon, Grace Courtroom and is sponsored by Pro Bono Legal Advocates.
The Denial of Reproductive Rights

By Bill Collins

Amidst the noise of abortion debate, the right to reproductive choice continues to be denied to many women throughout the world.

W hile the campaigning on both sides of the abortion debate was intense, the right to reproductive choice is being denied to many women throughout the world. The right to reproductive choice is a fundamental human right that should be protected and respected worldwide.

Many women are denied access to safe and legal abortion services, and those who do have access face significant barriers. The combination of stigma, lack of information, and lack of access to safe and legal abortion services contributes to a culture of fear and shame, which can prevent women from seeking the care they need.

The right to reproductive choice is a fundamental human right that should be protected and respected worldwide. Women should have the right to make decisions about their own bodies, and this includes the right to access safe and legal abortion services when necessary.

In countries where abortion is illegal or severely restricted, women may face life-threatening health risks, including infections, bleeding, and even death. These risks are often exacerbated by a lack of access to proper medical care.

The struggle for reproductive rights is not just about access to abortion services, but also about the power to make decisions about one’s own body. It is about the right to choose.

The struggle for reproductive rights is not just about access to abortion services, but also about the power to make decisions about one’s own body. It is about the right to choose. These rights are essential for women’s autonomy and dignity, and they are essential for the advancement of human rights.

This struggle must continue, and we must work to ensure that all women have the right to access safe and legal abortion services when necessary. It is only through the protection and respect of reproductive rights that we can ensure the full realization of women’s human rights and dignity.
arbitrations. Some states have enacted legislation protecting a woman’s right to choose. California has expressly enunciated the right to privacy in its constitution. Without the Federal States, abortion would be a patchwork of state statutes attempting to further restrict the right to choose by challenging the “undue burden” test. The right to reproductive choice will be denied to many women unfortunate enough to live in an antichoice state. For these reasons, meaningful representation of the views of women is defeated by statutes or by federal constitutional or statutory protection.

Whether there is textual basis in the Constitution for the right to privacy continues to be a hotly debated legal issue. The Supreme Court in Roe v. Wade, at pages 158-161, unqualifiedly examined the proposition that a woman who chooses to terminate her pregnancy to term. As Justice Blackmun alluded in Casey, the Supreme Court is but a single vote away from explicitly overruling Roe. Yet, amending the federal Constitution to include the right to privacy would be an arduous process. Federal legislation, therefore, is the logical solution.

As pointed out by constitutional scholar Professor Lawrence Tribe, “Despite the enormous damage Casey did to reproductive choice, the Court reaffirmed the proposition that a woman who chooses to terminate a pregnancy is exercising a liberty protected by the 14th amendment. This makes it plain that the time has come to abandon the anachronism that the majority’s inability to enact the Freedom of Choice Act is that, by definition, the majority’s inability to enact the bill will mean the end of the debate. For the Court and decisions like Roe, the death penalty. We must work to remove the debate from the national consciousness.

The penalties for disregarding the PC code are harsh. Censure, the enduring enmity of the press, and various forms of social sanction (to be administered by the adherents of PC) await those who violate the PC code. While the Supreme Court has prevented PC cases, in R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, Minn.), PC itself is (rightfully) protected as a form of speech. So what’s the problem? The problem is that, while PC is extra-legal, and therefore does not violate the federal Constitution to include the right to privacy in the United States, the Supreme Court, in the most important decision of the last term, moves to guarantee privacy under the fourth amendment with the argument that “at the heart of liberty is the right to define one’s own concepts of existence, of meaning, of the universe, and of the mystery of human life.” Try applying that rule to a new set of facts.
FLEMING’S FUNDAMENTALS OF LAW

Examination Writing Workshop

Be Prepared For Law School and the Baby Bar/Bar Examination

The Legal Examination Writing Workshop is designed to teach the student at the law school level how to Analyze, Organize and Write a Superior Law School Examination.

The course will clearly Demonstrate these fundamental Writing Techniques on a Step-by-Step Basis giving the student both a visual and cognitive understanding of proper exam format.

It is imperative that the student develop Proper Writing Skills during law school to avoid the panic many students experience when they discover during Baby Bar/Bar Review that they can’t reverse 1-4 years of poor writing habits that went undetected during law school.

The Writing Workshop will Provide the law school student

WHAT THE WORKSHOP WILL DO FOR YOU

- Provide 12 hours of Intensive Exam Writing Techniques.
- Teach Exam Approach (including issue spotting techniques, issue headnotes, factual analysis and proper sentence structure).
- Develop Outline Organization Techniques within the purview of the Call of the Question (including identification of major/minor issues and fact to element application).
- Structure Adversary Arguments within the IRAC Format.
- Provide a Sentence by Sentence Analysis of six in-class hypotheticals.
- Explain the “Do’s and Don’ts” of a successful exam answer.

and the Baby Bar/Bar Candidate with the Foundation from which he or she may Achieve Excellence in law school and on the bar examination.

Professor Fleming has determined that students who are unsuccessful in law school and the Baby Bar/Bar Examination generally suffer from a lack of Basic Fundamentals in Analysis, Organization and Writing skills. Therefore, he has designated the Course to Aggressively Address these Problem Areas.

This Practical Course will be the most Significant two days of Learning in your law school career. You Can’t Afford to Miss It!

WHAT THE WORKSHOP WILL DO FOR YOU

- Provide an extensive 100 Page Writing Workbook. The material is not available anywhere in published form.
- Most of all, you are trained to write Superior Answers.
- In addition, each student will have the opportunity to write Two Exam Hypotheticals. One answer will be critiqued in class and one answer will be collected at the conclusion of the second class session. The answer will be critiqued extensively through audio cassette and returned to each student. One blank cassette tape must be provided by each student.

SCHEDULE OF SEMINARS

MILPITAS/SAN JOSE

- Saturday, February 20, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, February 21, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be held at the Crown Sterling Suites Hotel, 901 Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, in the Sevelle Valencia Room. Live Presentation.

SAN DIEGO

- Saturday, February 27, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, February 28, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be given live at the California Western School of Law, 190 Cedar Street, San Diego, in the Auditorium.

ORANGE COUNTY

- Saturday, March 6, 1993 : 9 am-12:30 pm, 1:30-4:00 pm
- Sunday, March 7, 1993 : 9 am-12:30 pm, 1:30-4:00 pm
- All sessions will be given live at Pacific Christian College, 2900 E. Nutwood at Commonweal, Fullerton. Room location will be posted in the lobby.

LOS ANGELES

- Saturday, March 13, 1993 : 1:00 pm-7:00 pm
- Sunday, March 14, 1993 : 1:00 pm-7:00 pm
- All sessions will be given live at the Ramada Hotel, 6333 Bristol Boulevard, Culver City. Room location will be posted in the lobby.

RIVERSIDE

- Saturday, March 20, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, March 21, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be held at California Southern School of Law (formerly Citrus Belt, 3775 Elizabeth St., Riverside. Room number will be posted on the day of the seminar. Video Presentation.

Pre-Registration Guarantees Space and Workbook: $150.00 per Person • $125.00 Group Rate

Registration at Door of Space Available: $160.00

Course Available by Mail Order for $172.40 (includes tax, shipping & handling).

Students who pre-register for the Writing Course and June 1993 Baby Bar Review ($57 Deposit Required) will be given a $50 Discount Off the Regular Writing Course Price

REGISTRATION FORM

Name: ________________________________ Address: ________________________________
City: __________________ State: _______ Zip: _______
Telephone: ___________________________
Law School: ________________________ Semester in Which Currently Enrolled: ________________________

Workshop Location/Date to Be Attended:

Registration at Door of Space Available: $160.00

Pre-Registration Guarantees Space and Workbook: $150.00 per Person • $125.00 Group Rate

Course Available by Mail Order for $172.40 (includes tax, shipping & handling).

Students who pre-register for the Writing Course and June 1993 Baby Bar Review ($57 Deposit Required) will be given a $50 Discount Off the Regular Writing Course Price

Professor Fleming has determined that students who are unsuccessful in law school and the Baby Bar/Bar Examination generally suffer from a lack of Basic Fundamentals in Analysis, Organization and Writing skills. Therefore, he has designated the Course to Aggressively Address these Problem Areas.

This Practical Course will be the most Significant two days of Learning in your law school career. You Can’t Afford to Miss It!

WHAT THE WORKSHOP WILL DO FOR YOU

- Provide 12 hours of Intensive Exam Writing Techniques.
- Teach Exam Approach (including issue spotting techniques, issue headnotes, factual analysis and proper sentence structure).
- Develop Outline Organization Techniques within the purview of the Call of the Question (including identification of major/minor issues and fact to element application).
- Structure Adversary Arguments within the IRAC Format.
- Provide a Sentence by Sentence Analysis of six in-class hypotheticals.
- Explain the “Do’s and Don’ts” of a successful exam answer.

and the Baby Bar/Bar Candidate with the Foundation from which he or she may Achieve Excellence in law school and on the bar examination.

Professor Fleming has determined that students who are unsuccessful in law school and the Baby Bar/Bar Examination generally suffer from a lack of Basic Fundamentals in Analysis, Organization and Writing skills. Therefore, he has designated the Course to Aggressively Address these Problem Areas.

This Practical Course will be the most Significant two days of Learning in your law school career. You Can’t Afford to Miss It!

WHAT THE WORKSHOP WILL DO FOR YOU

- Provide an extensive 100 Page Writing Workbook. The material is not available anywhere in published form.
- Most of all, you are trained to write Superior Answers.
- In addition, each student will have the opportunity to write Two Exam Hypotheticals. One answer will be critiqued in class and one answer will be collected at the conclusion of the second class session. The answer will be critiqued extensively through audio cassette and returned to each student. One blank cassette tape must be provided by each student.

SCHEDULE OF SEMINARS

MILPITAS/SAN JOSE

- Saturday, February 20, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, February 21, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be held at the Crown Sterling Suites Hotel, 901 Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, in the Sevelle Valencia Room. Live Presentation.

SAN DIEGO

- Saturday, February 27, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, February 28, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be given live at the California Western School of Law, 190 Cedar Street, San Diego, in the Auditorium.

ORANGE COUNTY

- Saturday, March 6, 1993 : 9 am-12:30 pm, 1:30-4:00 pm
- Sunday, March 7, 1993 : 9 am-12:30 pm, 1:30-4:00 pm
- All sessions will be given live at Pacific Christian College, 2900 E. Nutwood at Commonweal, Fullerton. Room location will be posted in the lobby.

LOS ANGELES

- Saturday, March 13, 1993 : 1:00 pm-7:00 pm
- Sunday, March 14, 1993 : 1:00 pm-7:00 pm
- All sessions will be given live at the Ramada Hotel, 6333 Bristol Boulevard, Culver City. Room location will be posted in the lobby.

RIVERSIDE

- Saturday, March 20, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- Sunday, March 21, 1993 : Noon-6:00 pm
- All sessions will be held at California Southern School of Law (formerly Citrus Belt, 3775 Elizabeth St., Riverside. Room number will be posted on the day of the seminar. Video Presentation.

Pre-Registration Guarantees Space and Workbook: $150.00 per Person • $125.00 Group Rate

Registration at Door of Space Available: $160.00

Course Available by Mail Order for $172.40 (includes tax, shipping & handling).

Students who pre-register for the Writing Course and June 1993 Baby Bar Review ($57 Deposit Required) will be given a $50 Discount Off the Regular Writing Course Price

** Endorsed by Williston Senate Delta Theta Phi
** No Tape Recording Permitted
** No Exceptions Made **
Motions

BAR REVIEW

La Dolce Vita: The Italian Invasion of the Gaslamp

La Strada
702 Fifth Avenue, Gaslamp District

MADAME X: La Strada is where the beautiful people of San Diego unite and take over. On a typical Saturday night, many groups of young people wish to go to college, whose only job is to look good, provide bai for an attractive set of richer, older men with wandering eyes. As most of these people have not been blessed with the gift of modesty, their fascination with themselves makes initiating conversation with them a bit difficult. They are often paramours, only doing their evil smiles only for those with a premium price. However, standing at the bar, I asked a passing waiter for a wine list. In so many words, he told me to go myself. However, as far as the-glace is concerned (as presented on the placard), they serve a mellow, well-aged, berry-flavored Merlot and a very drinkable, dry sparkling wine.
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cocktail up with quite as lofty or creates the sultry, sensual feeling which is Sfuzzi. In my opinion, attorneys discussing how relieved they were to be third year associates who survived the axe. After not granting of redemption through freedom from choice. I had never felt the need to discuss existentialism because I didn't think it would elicit the desired response, even though Dostoyevsky's discussion in the Bros. K about the second coming of Christ and the Christian dogma has always appealed to me. No other restaurant can duplicate the faux-fresco Romanesque walls, has ceilings quite as lofty or creates the sultry, sensual feeling which is Sfuzzi. In my opinion, it is more romantic than either Fio's or 515 Fifth.

I don't know about "genuine," (what is this criticism about gorgeous women?) but many a night I recall cocktail ing it up with San Diego's high fashion Indian gypsy queens: women who wore tight, skin-tight skirts with vests and beads (they were too hot for shirts). Although their wine cellar is a far cry from La Strada, they serve the Sfuzzi special, a blended drink of Passion fruit and Finlandia vodka served in a wine glass. It always earns a gush of approval because it truly is a classy marmalade to behold and a delight to drink. Until now I had never felt the need to discuss existentialism because I didn't think it would elicit the desired response, even though Dostoyevsky's discussion in the Bros. K about the second coming of Christ and the Christian dogma of redemption through freedom from choice. Also, the appletini is still a popular choice at La Strada, but less interesting to watch. Avoid the food, the Saturday night, and even the Sunday brunches. The poor service does not help spice up the bland pasta dishes, either.

Hollywood: So, how the mighty have fallen! La Strada is now what Sfuzzi once was. Nevertheless, Sfuzzi has been always a place to go. No other restaurant can duplicate the faux-fresco Romanesque walls, has ceilings quite as lofty or creates the sultry, sensual feeling which is Sfuzzi. In my opinion, it is more romantic than either Fio's or 515 Fifth.

Every Saturday afternoon there is a wine tasting, ranging usually from $12.50 to $17.50, depending on the type of wine. With the tasting, you have the choice of two lunch specials that complement the wine for an additional $7.50. Or, you can always order from their regular menu at full price ($11.50-$12.50 for an entree). The appetizers easily compete with their non-caviar laced counterparts at Mille.

When you have had your fill of downtown and Italian food, head slightly uptown for more satisfying drinking and dining experience. In the midst of the fast food wasteland on Mira Mesa Blvd., you will discover this pleasant restaurant, nestled in its unlikely place amongst the new age asphalt and concrete, recommended by none other than our own in-house wine connoisseur, Allen Snyder.

Beverage List

La Dolce Vita

1000 Garnet

Her is only one word to describe the clientele of Singer's - drunk. I have yet to see anyone actually fall off a bar stool, but I wouldn't be surprised. The clientele is so raucous, that by the end of the night everyone is referring to each other as her best friend, to not even seem to be able to recall anyone's name. Like Cheers, the atmosphere is completely comfortable, and the regulars tend to leave a wad of money on the bar and let it ride for the entire night.

On a Saturday night, this place is nearly empty. The drinks are poured with a heavy hand and a smile (my favorite combination) and only cost about $2.50. Pitchers are only $4.00. Furthermore, the waitresses (who are incredibly hot babies), Sam and Annie, are very friendly with the customers even when you've been away for months. Once, when I attempted to leave, Annie ran outside after me to convince me to stay.

Unlike the incredibly popular Plum Crazy (a bar where you would need to be crazy to get in), there is no line to get into Singer's.

San Diego: Valentine's Day, yauck. Another greeting card day. We decided on California Cuisine for its proximity to her house, so we were surprised that it turned out to be the best restaurant we've tried in San Diego. Chef Chris Walsh is a wonder with both food preparation and presentation. He trained at the Culinary Institute in New York and has been back at Cal. Cuisine since May, following a two year hiatus. We tried the warm vegetable salad and sweetbreads for starters. Both were beautifully arranged on the plate with sauces that enhanced the flavors to make each taste the most like themselves. For the main course, we had grilled quail and lamb loin, both incredibly, with imaginative garnishes that looked and tasted great (especially the edible flowers on the plate). It was difficult to choose among the desserts. The strawberry and chocolate cake turned out to be a four layer torte with bitter chocolate on top that balanced the sweetness of the center layers. The raspberry sorbet reminded us of a sorbet sampler we still rave about from a little place in Paris. Although we drank our own "83" Lynch Bages, the wine list had a number of surprises from both California and France at fair prices, including the Robert Mondavi "89" (one of her all time favorites is the '70 Gloria) and some California reds from the early '90s. Prices were reasonable for the quality of food and fine service. Salads are $4.75-9.00; pastas $9.50-11.50; and entrees $12.00-17.00.
Czar's Corner
Well Hung Jury' Completes
Perfect Season; 'Drunk Sluts'
Capture Co-Rec Title

By Greg Cribbs
Innerrings - Czar

I t seemed like just about every time "Well Hung Jury' stepped off the field last fall season, the same muttering could be heard from the opposing bench. "Damn, we could have beat those guys!" But it never happened.

"Well Hung Jury" closed the fall season with a perfect 12-0 record after back-to-back semifinal and final victories last Saturday afternoon. The championship was truly a team effort so without further ado, I'd like to introduce the team.

Pitcher - Paul "Mr. Consistent" Sanberg. When all else fails and nothing seems to be familiar, you could always rely on Paul to show up with torn sweats, a dirty Cubs hat, a Marboro between his lips, and an amazing ability to throw strikes!

Catcher - Brad "Benito" Fields. Although surprisingly active behind the plate, Brad's solid bat and speed on the base paths are his real claims to fame. Nobody, I mean nobody, can stretch triples into singles like Brad can!

1st Base - Godd "Baby" Graves. Great player, perfect positioning. With throws coming in from Bob, Greg and Dan, it's no accident our first baseman is 6'4.

2nd Base - Bob "Oh!" Cocchia. Although he moves up and down the lineup like an elevator, he has found stability at second base. His longevity there may be attributed to his inane ability to dodge those fast-moving balls (although there have been many close calls!).

3rd Base - Dan "the Vacuum" McNamee. What would a championship team be like without this guy? Let's face it, he knows when to jump ship. Anyway, he has solidified the infield and the coach's confidence in his ability to hit second in the lineup paid off in the play-offs with a couple of hits (thereby doubling his season output!).

Shortstop - Greg "Coach" Cribbs. What can I say? He took a bunch of ugly, ragtag, unpolished, inexperienced snot-nosed kids, and turned them into winners.

Left field - Steve "Ricky Henderson" McGreavy. Just a flat-out leader...leadoff hitter, cheerleader, spiritual leader, and team leader. And what can you say about his play in the field? Well, let's put it this way. He covers more ground out there than the rain tarp.

Left center field - Kevin "Barry Bonds" Smith. Home run power, solid glove, rocket arm, and a bad attitude. I guess picking his hero was no accident. However, we're hoping he doesn't ask for the same type of contract his hero received because after our first baseman (SBA treasurer) hacked our budget all the way to hell!...Well, there just isn't much money left to go around.

Right center field - Vince "Fence" Brunekow. Although Vince spent a number of games last season on the DL, when he's healthy nobody can hit him to the warning track like Vince. (However, in all fairness, Vince did make a mistake last season and actually hit one over the fence.)

Right center field - Pete "Random 70s Baseball Player" Salmon. Pete is the speed of the team. And one can only wonder what kind of miracles he would work if he actually had a pair of cleats! Rumor has it, he's so fast that during a game last season Pete slapped a line drive up the middle and it hit him in the head while he was sliding into second!

Right field - Tom "the Machine" Turner. No flash, no flare, no emotion. Just hits (and hits...and more hits). Ever since Tom showed up with those magical sliding pants on under his shorts, he has responded. The most offensively consistent ballplayer on the team. I'd also like to extend a special thank you to our dedicated cheerleaders, Corinne Coleman and Yvonne Roche, and our awesome scorekeeper, Renee Adamson.

Co-Rec
After two previous heartbreak ing attempts in the Co-rec finals, in which Brad Fields walked in the winning runs on both occasions, the "Drunk Sluts" finally grabbed the brass ring. The "Drunk Sluts" jumped out to an early lead and never looked back, cruising easily to a 17-2 win over the Knuckleheads. The only thing this lopsided win was missing was a couple of Michael Jordan commercials, the Bud Bowl, and a Michael Jackson halftime show! The only suspense the game provided was whether or not Keith Cramer would actually hit the plate, and whether or not Brad Fields would actually shut up. (P.S. Neither happened.)

However, the game did provide a number of highlights for the champions:
1. (Mike Wang's awesome, diving grab in right field.
2. DJ O'Day's three run (over the fence) homer.
3. Renee Adamson's masterful distortions behind home plate.
4. Vince Brunekow's grin - we told him if he kept pulling on it he was either going to go blind or hurt himself. (P.S. He can still see, but he can't walk.)
5. Brad Fields throwing his glove over the fence from the pitcher's mound - what a toss!

Go Read a Book
You're Probably More Familiar with the Classics than You Think

By Dallas O'Day
Assistant Hall Editor

One of the more enjoyable aspects about writing for this stellar publication is receiving criticism from you, Constant Reader. My last effort (re the 60's and the baby boomers) received an inordinate amount of scrutiny, for I heard an earful. (And no, not all of the comments were nasty) To my surprise, however, very little commentary was addressed to my contention that the 1960s and the 1970s failed to produce a great novel or writer. I suppose the lack of commentary should not have surprised me, considering the decline in literacy in the last few decades, but it did.

No mention of Updike, Wolfe, or Irving. I did hear a little about Kesey, and even Hunter S. Thompson, but the overall absence of comment has led me to conclude that either nobody cares or that real literacy, even in the USD Law School (sorry, USD School of Law) setting, is the exception rather than the rule.

Mark Twa in once said, "A classic [is] something that everyone wants to have read and nobody wants to read." But why should this be true? It certainly can't be the language. We use literary allusions constantly. For example, "lives of the fat of the land" wasn't coined to describe what we'll be doing when Clinton finishes implementing his economic plan. It comes from the King James Bible. In the same vein, we Republicans who are "fighting the good fight" against "stiff-necked people" (like Democrats, the federal bureaucracy, and Patricia Ireland) are also using the King James Bible.

If you can't understand Chris Harris and say, "It's Greek to me," make like a Democrat and ask the middle class for "a pound of flesh," or view the Reagan years as your "salad days," you've quoted Shakespeare. If you think "the law is an ass" or that law school is "the best of times and the worse of times," you've quoted Dickens. Since our everyday conversation abounds with literary references, I cannot describe the decline in literacy to the mutilating prose in classic literature.

It can't be because they're boring. Oh, I suppose some are at times: Moby Dick always puts me to sleep shortly after "Call me Ishmael." Still, there are many easy reads in the literary canon. A sampling might include Pride and Prejudice, Wuthering Heights, A Tale of Two Cities, and virtually anything by Hemingway or Fitzgerald. There may not be great sex scenes in the classics, but so what? If people are so interested in sex, they should be engaging in it, not reading about it. It's much more satisfying to be a participant than a voyeur.

Why do people turn their backs on Conrad and Forster for Danielle Steele and John Grisham? I can't explain this phenomenon. I read The Firm after a friend recommended it to me. I waded (knee-deep in a cesspool of foul-smelling prose) through it in a weekend. At the scintillating conclusion, I thought, "What's the big deal?" I suppose one could make an argument that the book works as escapist fare. But first, one has to believe that tax attorneys can lead thrilling lives. After taking Tax I wish Snyder, I found this proposition untenable.

Why do I care? I don't really know. I can only say that it's a pleasure to speak with someone who knows that the Algonquin Round Table was a collection of literary talents, including Dorothy Parker, and not an Indian pizza parlor.

Czar's Corner
Well Hung Jury' Completes Perfect Season; 'Drunk Sluts' Capture Co-Rec Title

By Greg Cribbs
Innerrings - Czar
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The Legacy of Malcolm X

by Malikah Shabazz
Daughter of Malcolm X

February 9, 1993
7:00 pm
UC Forum AB

PORTRAIT DEFACED AT LAW SCHOOL
Malikah Shabazz was invited to speak at USD on Feb. 9. This poster advertising the event was defaced in Warren Hall, the law school building. Whether the act of vandalism was the result of racism or immaturity matters not. It is unacceptable. Random acts of racism or destruction should be reported to the SBA office.
On Jan. 28, 1993, the Office of Admissions of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State Bar of California hosted a meeting in Los Angeles of Southern California Law School Registrars in anticipation of the Feb. 2 announcement of a revision to the fee schedule for the services provided by the office. They took this opportunity to discuss various aspects of gaining admission to the California Bar. The revised fee schedule will take effect March 1, 1993, and we have been notified that any application or registration form received or postmarked prior to Monday, March 1, 1993, will be subject to the existing fee. Furthermore, any registration form received on Monday, March 1, 1993, or thereafter, if not postmarked prior to March 1, 1993, will be subject to the revised fees. There are essentially five steps to the process, not necessarily followed in the order below. Four of the steps involve submitting forms which the Records Office makes available to you - three forms from the Committee and one from the National Conference of Bar Examiners.

1. Register as a Law Student
   Every law student ultimately seeking admission to the California Bar must first register as a law student. This establishes your identity with the Committee for all that is to follow. The registration forms are provided to each USD first year student at the beginning of the school year, and the timely filing of the forms is within the first 90 days of law school. If you have not as yet completed and submitted your registration, you are already in the position of "late filing" so you can save $20 by doing so before March 1.

2. Receive a Positive Determination of Moral Character
   File a completed Application for Determination of Moral Character. This can be done at any time; the choice is yours. Be aware that it takes a minimum of six months for the Committee to make the determination. Once the determination has been made, it is good for 24 months, after which time an Application for Extension of Moral Character, which will arrange for an informal interview with you (and your attorney, if you desire). If there is still some question after the interview, it is sometimes possible to have an abeyance, with you agreeing to any necessary treatment and monitoring for a period of time followed by possible admission; or it is possible that a psychological referral be made; or there might be a denial. If there is a denial, an applicant can request a hearing at the State Bar Court or reapply for admission after two years.

According to the Committee staff, your file is kept secure by the Committee, and its contents can only be released through court order or to other admitting authorities. The file is destroyed two years after you are admitted to the Bar.

3. Pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination
   The Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination is administered throughout the country three times a year in March, August, and November with filing application deadlines a month earlier. Many law students take and pass this test while still in law school, shortly after they complete the Professional Responsibility course, while others take the exam after graduation. Your Professional Responsibility professor may be able to give you more information regarding the exam. The timely filing fee for this examination is $35 this year. Have your test results forwarded to the California Committee of Bar Examiners. Applications for this examination are available in the Records Office.

4. Pass the California Bar Examination
   Applications to take the July bar exam are usually available in March with an April timely filing deadline, and those for February are available in October for a November timely filing deadline. The Records Office will post notices when these arrive each March and October. Please be aware that there is usually a short turnaround time to file the applications once they become available. Also, please be aware that once you have filed the application, the Committee will return to you the Dean's Certificate, which you must partially complete and turn in to the Records Office, where it will be completed and forwarded to the Committee, along with an official copy of your transcript. The Committee will not let you sit for the exam without the Dean's Certificate and official transcript.

5. Don't Be Found to Be In Non-Compliance with a Court Ordered Child or Family Support Obligation in the State of California
   Professional licensing agencies are now prohibited by state law from issuing licenses to anyone found to be in non-compliance with a court ordered child or family support obligation. The Committee is now bound by that law and cannot admit you to the Bar if you are found to be in non-compliance unless the Committee receives a release from the appropriate district attorney involved.

Graduation Information
All students who intend to graduate in 1993 should complete and submit to the Records Office a Notice of Intent to Graduate. This form provides us with the basic information we need in order to clear you for graduation, order a diploma for you, and send you commencement information. May and August, 1993, graduates must file the Notice of Intent to Graduate by Friday, Feb. 19, in order to receive information in a timely fashion.

Bar Application Price Hike March 1
Complex Process of Becoming a California Lawyer Includes Many Deadlines Right Around the Corner

By Marjorie Zhou

Price Hikes Take Effect March 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration as a Law Student</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Filing Fee for Registration as a Law Student</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Determination of Moral Character</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Extension of Determination of Moral Character</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application to Take California Bar Examination</td>
<td>$310</td>
<td>$325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dates to Remember

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 12</td>
<td>Regular application postmark deadline for March Professional Responsibility Multistate Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 19</td>
<td>Deadline for notice of intent to graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1</td>
<td>Fee hike for all Bar forms - Postmarks must be prior to Mar. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 3</td>
<td>Late application receipt deadline for March Professional Responsibility Multistate Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 12</td>
<td>March Professional Responsibility Multistate Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1</td>
<td>Deadline for July California Bar Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 22</td>
<td>Graduation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 27-29</td>
<td>California Bar Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 13</td>
<td>August Professional Responsibility Multistate Examination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>