GENERAL LEGISLATION

AB 15 (Klehs), as amended June 10, would abolish the Franchise Tax Board and provide for the transfer of its powers and duties to the State Board of Equalization, operative January 1, 1995. [S. Rev & Tax]

SB 87 (Kopp), as amended April 28, would abolish the Franchise Tax Board and, except as provided by the California Constitution, the administrative authority of the State Board of Equalization; it would provide for the transfer of their respective powers and duties to the Department of Revenue, which this bill would create. [S. Appr]

SCA 5 (Kopp), as amended April 28, would abolish the State Board of Equalization and make necessary conforming changes in various other constitutional provisions. [S. Appr]

AB 1487 (Gotch), as introduced March 4, would provide that if an officer or employee position that is funded by the general fund within a state agency remains continuously vacant for a period of one fiscal year, that state agency's budget for the next fiscal year shall be reduced by the amount of funds previously allocated to support that position. [S. Appr]

AB 173 (V. Brown), as amended August 30, would limit the amount of salary paid to a chair or member of specified state boards or commissions to an amount no greater than the annual salary of members of the legislature, excluding the Speaker of the Assembly, President pro Tempore of the Senate, Assembly majority and minority floor leaders, and Senate majority and minority floor leaders. Existing law requires that the annual state budget contain itemized statements for state expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for salaries or wages, and benefits of various state officer and employee classifications within state government. This bill would prohibit state funds from being expended on or after January 1, 1994, for any salary or wages, and benefits for certain employment classifications relating to public information, communications, and public affairs.

This bill would also provide that, notwithstanding any other provision of law, commencing January 1, 1994, the total amount expended for travel by state employees for any fiscal year shall not exceed 50% of the total amount budgeted for travel by state employees for the 1992-93 fiscal year. It would also prohibit out-of-state travel unless the travel is related to activities mandated by federal, state, or local law or the generation of revenues, as defined. Further, this bill would disallow reimbursement for travel, meals, and lodging costs related to in-state travel for attendance at, or participation in, information conferences or seminars unless the cost is from other than state sources. First-class air passage would also be prohibited, except for health reasons. [S. Inactive File]

SB 2 (Kopp). Existing law does not authorize the imposition of limitations on the number of terms that persons may serve on governing bodies of local governmental entities. As amended June 8, this bill would expressly authorize the governing bodies of county boards of education, school districts, community college districts, or other districts, any board of supervisors or city council, or the residents of those respective entities, to submit a proposal to the electorate to limit the number of terms a member of the governing body, board of supervisors, or city council may serve. [A. ER & CA]

AB 1287 (Moore), as amended September 8, would, until January 1, 1997, enact a comprehensive scheme for identification, study, and regulation of nonlawyer providers (also known as “legal technicians” or “independent paralegals”) under the jurisdiction of the Department of Consumer Affairs. [A. Inactive File]

BUDGET PROCESS

AB 22 (Speier), as introduced in December 1992, would provide for the withholding of the payment of legislators' salaries for that period following July 1 of the fiscal year during which the annual Budget Bill is not passed by the legislature, but would provide for the payment of their salaries for that period following July 1 if the Budget Bill is passed; prohibit the reimbursement of living and traveling expenses for legislators for that period following July 1 of the fiscal year during which the annual Budget Bill is not passed by the legislature; and prohibit the Controller from drawing any warrant for the payment of reimbursement to legislators for travel and living expenses for that period. [A. Rules]

ACA 2 (Hannigan), as introduced in December 1992, would provide that statutes enacting budget bills shall go into effect immediately upon their enactment. Existing provisions of the California Constitution provide that appropriations from the general fund, except appropriations for the public schools, are void unless passed in each house by two-thirds of the membership. This measure would eliminate the two-thirds vote requirement. [A. Inactive File]

ACA 21 (Arias), as introduced March 5, would provide that if the Governor fails to sign a budget bill on or before June 30, then on July 1, an annual budget that is the same amount as that which was enacted for the immediately preceding fiscal year shall become the state’s interim budget for the new fiscal year and the balance of each item of that interim budget shall be reduced 10% each month, commencing August 1, until a new budget bill has been signed by the Governor. [A. Rules]

CIVIL RIGHTS

AB 2199 (W. Brown). The Unruh Civil Rights Act provides that all persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, or disability are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever. That provision also states that it shall not be construed to confer any right or privilege on a person which is conditioned or limited by law or which is applicable alike to persons of every sex, color, race, religion, ancestry, national origin, or disability. As introduced March 5, this bill would delete the latter restriction on the construction of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, specify that the identification of particular bases of discrimination in the Act is illustrative rather than restrictive, provide that the Act prohibits all arbitrary discrimination by business establishments, and state that the rights afforded by the Act are enjoyed by all persons as individuals. Existing law establishes a cause of action for violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act and a related provision entitling the plaintiff to damages of at least $250. This bill would increase the minimum damages for such a cause of action to $1,000, and provide that certain nonprofit organizations shall be deemed persons entitled to bring such a cause of action under specified circumstances. Existing law provides that it is the intent of the legislature to occupy the field
of regulation of discrimination in employment and housing encompassed by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, exclusive of local laws on the subject. This bill would delete that provision and state, instead, that a local political subdivision of the state may establish greater protections against discrimination than those set forth in that Act, but may not require or permit any action constituting a discriminatory practice under that Act. [S. Jud]

COURTS

SB 10 (Lockyer), as amended May 12, would authorize additional superior and municipal court judges and commissioners in various counties, upon the adoption of specified resolutions by the board of supervisors; delete certain commissioner positions; and authorize additional traffic referee positions in San Diego County, upon the adoption of specified resolutions by the board of supervisors. [S. Appr]

SCA 3 (Lockyer). The California Constitution currently provides for superior, municipal, and justice courts, provides for the establishment and jurisdiction thereof, and provides for the qualification and election of judges thereof. As amended August 16, 1995, this measure would eliminate the provisions for superior, municipal, and justice courts, and instead provide for district courts, their establishment and jurisdiction, and the qualification and election of judges thereof. The measure would become operative on July 1, 1995. [A. Floor]

SB 728 (Presley). Existing law provides, with respect to specified proceedings or investigations regarding felony offenses, that if a person refuses to answer a question or produce evidence on the ground that he/she may be incriminated and if the person is ordered to comply but would have been withheld to withhold the answer given or the evidence produced except for the order, the person shall not be prosecuted or subjected to any penalty or forfeiture for, or on account of, any fact or act concerning which he/she was required to answer or produce evidence except as specified. As amended June 23, this bill would expressly provide that these provisions do not prohibit the district attorney from requesting an order granting use immunity or transactional immunity to a witness compelled to give testimony or produce evidence. In addition, the bill would provide that no person may be prosecuted or subjected to penalty or forfeiture for any fact or act derived from testimony or other evidence produced under the order to testify unless the prosecution proves by clear and convincing evidence that the evidence it proposes to use is from a legitimate source wholly independent of the compelled testimony and that the compelled testimony was not an investigatory lead to that evidence. [A. PubS]

SB 1242 (Boatwright), as amended June 23, would provide that in any action in which a local public entity is a party to a confidentiality agreement, settlement agreement, or protective order that bars public disclosure of a writing, that agreement or order shall not be valid upon the settlement or conclusion of such an action, unless a final protective order is issued by the court upon a showing of good cause. The bill would further provide that any elected officer of a local public entity who authorizes or approves any agreement in violation of the above provision is subject to criminal contempt. [S. Appr]

ELECTIONS

SCA 13 (Lockyer), as amended April 12, would direct the legislature to provide a system of campaign finance reform on or before December 31, 1994, by a two-thirds vote of each house, that (1) imposes limitations on the amount of each contribution that may be made to candidates for legislative office at both primary and general elections, (2) establishes a Legislative Election Fund from which a candidate for legislative office will be allocated public funds for qualified campaign expenditures, provided that the candidate has received a threshold amount of private campaign contributions, (3) imposes limitations on expenditures by all candidates for legislative office in primary and general elections as a condition of the receipt of state matching funds, (4) establishes requirements on candidates for legislative office with respect to the establishment of a campaign expense account, and allows each member of the legislature to create a separate, distinct noncampaign officeholder expense account, and (5) imposes contribution limitations on candidates for local offices. [S. Appr]

SB 588 (Lockyer), as amended May 27, would enact the Campaign Financing Reform Act of 1993. Specifically, it would impose various limitations on contributions and expenditures which may be made to candidates for legislative office at both primary and general elections. It would also establish a Legislative Election Fund. Eligible nominees, as defined, for legislative office would be allowed to obtain public funds from the fund for qualified campaign expenditures, provided certain thresholds are obtained. It would also impose certain limitations on expenditures by all candidates under certain conditions. This bill would, additionally, establish various requirements on candidates for legislative office with respect to the establishment of campaign funds, and allow members of the legislature to create a separate, distinct noncampaign expense account; impose contribution limitations on candidates for local offices; and provide for the enforcement, and set forth remedies and sanctions regarding violations, of the provisions of this bill. It would impose specified responsibility for the administration of the provisions of the bill on the Fair Political Practices Commission and the Attorney General.

Under existing California Personal Income Tax Law, there is no provision allowing taxpayers to transfer part of their income taxes to political campaigns for candidates seeking election to legislative offices. This bill would, for taxable years commencing on or after January 1, 1995, allow taxpayers to specify that up to $5, or up to $10 in the case of married individuals filing a joint return, shall be transferred to the Legislative Election Fund, as created, to be distributed among the eligible nominees, as defined. This bill would provide that the moneys contained in the fund are available, when appropriated in the Budget Act commencing with the 1995–96 fiscal year, to make grants to eligible nominees and to fund all administrative costs of the bill. The bill would provide that if, on July 1, 1996, the Controller determines that the amount in the Legislative Election Fund is less than $20 million, the provisions of this bill shall be suspended until the end of each succeeding election cycle at which time another determination would be made.

This bill would become operative only if SCA 14 of the 1993–94 Regular Session is submitted to, and approved by, the voters at a statewide election. [S. Floor]

SCA 14 (Marks), as introduced March 2, would direct the legislature, on or before December 31, 1995, by majority vote of each house, to provide a system of campaign finance reform for elective state offices that limits the amount of financial contributions that may be made by specified entities and persons to a candidate or committee; limits the amounts of campaign expenditures that may be made by candidates who accept public financing; restricts the transfer of campaign funds from a candidate for, or incumbent of, an elective state office, as defined, or a committee controlled by any of those persons to a candidate for, or incumbent of, an elective state office, or a committee controlled by any of those persons; and provides partial public financing of elections for legislative office in a manner that satisfies the requirements of the U.S. Consti...
tution. The measure would specify that none of its provisions prohibit a local government agency from enacting an ordinance or ordinances providing for campaign reform, public financing, or both, for candidates for local elective office. [S. Floor]

SB 427 (Beverly). Under the existing Political Reform Act of 1974, various prohibitions govern the use and reporting of campaign contributions and expenditures, the disclosure of a public official’s investments, interests in real property, sources of income, and receipt of gifts, the registration and reporting of lobbyists and their employers, and the making of gifts by specified persons. The existing provisions generally establish these prohibitions based upon the amount of campaign contribution and expenditure made, the fair market value of the public official’s investments, interests in real property, and sources of income, and the value of the gift received, among other things. As amended July 12, this bill would increase the otherwise allowable amount of campaign contribution and expenditure that may be made, the fair market value of the public official’s investments, interests in real property, and sources of income that are required to be disclosed, the amount of receipts required to be disclosed by a slate mailer organization, and the value of gifts that may be received, among other things. [A. ER&CA]

ACA 12 (Sher), as amended June 8, would state that the people call upon the legislature, by majority vote of each house, and the Governor to enact by July 1, 1995, a system of campaign finance reform for elective state offices that may include any or all of the following provisions: (1) limits on the amount of contributions that may be made by specified entities and persons to a candidate or campaign committee, (2) limits on the amounts of campaign expenditures that may be made by candidates who accept public financing, (3) restrictions on the transfer of campaign funds from a candidate for, or incumbent of, an elective state office, as defined, or a committee, to a candidate for, or incumbent of, an elective state office, or a committee, or (4) a plan for voluntary public participation in campaign financing that satisfies the requirements of the United States Constitution. The measure would specify that none of its provisions prohibit the governing body or the electorate of a local government from enacting an ordinance providing for campaign reform, public financing, or both, for candidates for local elective office under certain circumstances. The measure would specify that no provision of law prohibits the legislature from enacting public financing of campaigns. [A. Inactive File]

SB 599 (Marks), as amended April 27, would require that any advertisement broadcast by radio or television that is authorized and paid for by a specified committee and that supports or opposes the adoption or qualification of a ballot measure disclose the name of the committee or contributors, as prescribed, that authorized and paid for the advertisement. It would also require that any disclosure statement required by this bill be spoken so as to be clearly audible and understood by the intended public. [A. ER&CA]

ACA 14 (Alpert). The California Constitution limits Senators to two four-year terms, and limits members of the Assembly to three two-year terms. As amended May 6, this measure instead would limit Senators to two six-year terms and would limit members of the Assembly to two four-year terms, except as specified, with respect to legislative terms of office commencing on and after December 2, 1996. The measure would provide for the staggering of those terms in a specified manner.

The California Constitution requires the legislature to statutorily prohibit members from engaging in activities or having interests that conflict with the proper discharge of their duties and responsibilities, but does not prohibit members of the legislature from receiving contributions or loans for the purpose of candidacy or public office. This measure would prohibit a person elected to the office of Senator or member of the Assembly, or a campaign treasurer for that person, from soliciting or accepting, for a period of one year after the date upon which that term of office commences, any contribution or loan, as specified, for the purpose of candidacy for any public office. [A. ER&CA]

ACA 7 (Peace), as amended June 17, would permit a member of the legislature to become a candidate for a state elective office, as defined, the term of office of which would commence prior to the expiration of his/her current term of office, only if that individual first resigns his/her current office. [A. Rls]

AB 1025 (Peace). Under existing law, the qualifications of members of the legislature are governed by various provisions of the California Constitution. As amended June 17, this bill would specify that a member of the legislature may become a candidate for a state elective office, as defined, whose term would commence prior to the expiration of his/her current term of office as a member of the legislature, only if that individual first resigns his/her current office. This provision would become operative only if ACA 7 is approved by the voters at the June 7, 1994, general election or at any statewide special election held prior thereto. [A. W&M]

AB 859 (Moore). Existing law provides generally that the county clerk shall accept affidavits of registration at all times except during the 28 days immediately preceding an election, when registration shall cease for that election. It does not provide for registration on election day. As amended May 27, this bill would provide that, at any statewide direct primary or statewide general election, a voter may register to vote on election day and vote at the polling place of his/her precinct. It would require the Secretary of State to issue regulations for that registration, including the form of identification required of a voter. The bill would specify that identification, under oath made under penalty of perjury by another voter who is registered at the precinct, constitutes identification for this purpose. [A. W&M]

HEALTH AND SAFETY

SB 1098 (Torres) (formerly SB 38), as amended September 8, and AB 16 (Margolin), as amended July 15, would each create the California Health Plan Commission, with specified powers and duties, which would establish and maintain a program of universal health coverage to be known as the California Health Plan. The bill would require that, under the plan, all California residents would be eligible for the same federally required package of comprehensive health care services, and all California residents would be eligible to participate without regard to employment status or place of employment in accordance with applicable federal requirements. [S. Floor: A. Conference Committee]

OPEN MEETINGS

SB 504 (Hayden). Existing law authorizes the Regents of the University of California to conduct closed sessions when meeting to consider or discuss, among other things, matters concerning the appointment, employment, performance, compensation, or dismissal of university officers or employees. As amended April 28, this bill would delete the authority of the Regents to conduct closed sessions when they meet to consider the compensation of university officers or employees. The bill also would specify that matters concerning the appointment, employment, performance, or dismissal of a university officer, for purposes of this provision, shall not include salary, benefits, perquisites, severance payments, retirement benefits, or any other form of compensa-
access to records than the minimum currently prescribed by law. [S. Floor]

**AB 1553 (Tucker),** as introduced March 4, would add specified state agencies to the list of government agencies subject to the California Public Records Act, thereby requiring those state agencies to establish guidelines for accessibility of records. The bill would state that any increased costs resulting from the bill be absorbed by the agencies affected as ordinary and usual operating expenses. [S. GO]

**POLITICAL REFORM ACT**

**AB 2052 (Margolin).** Under the existing Political Reform Act of 1974, all campaign committees are required to file campaign statements each year by a specified deadline if they have made contributions or independent expenditures during the six-month period before the closing date of the statement. As amended April 12, this bill would include payments to a slate mailer organization during the six-month period before the closing date of the statement within the contributions or independent expenditures for which campaign statements must be filed. [S. E&R]

**AB 2221 (Martinez).** Under the existing Political Reform Act of 1974, when a report or statement or copies thereof required to be filed with any officer under the Act have been sent by first-class mail addressed to the officer, it is deemed to have been received by the officer on the date of the deposit in the mail. As introduced March 5, this bill would grant the same operative effect to any report or statement of copies thereof sent by any guaranteed overnight delivery service. This bill would permit any report or statement or copies thereof to be faxed by the applicable deadline, provided that the originals or paper copies are sent by first-class mail or by any other guaranteed overnight delivery service within 24 hours of the applicable deadline. [S. E&R]

**AB 1116 (Bornstein).** Existing provisions of the Political Reform Act of 1974 prohibit a slate mailer organization from sending a slate mailer, as defined, unless the mailer includes, among other things, a notice to the voters that indicates the document was prepared by the slate mailer organization and that it is not an official party organization. The notice is required to contain a statement that appearance in the mailer does not necessarily imply endorsement of others appearing in the mailer, nor does it imply endorsement of or opposition to any issues set forth in the mailer. As introduced March 2, this bill would require the top of every page of the slate mailer to contain a notice in at least ten-point Roman boldface type stating that “This is not an official political party document.” [A. Floor]

**SB 879 (Hayden).** The Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended by Proposition 73, requires an individual who intends to be a candidate for elective office, prior to soliciting or receiving any contribution or loan, to establish one campaign contribution account in a financial institution in this state. It requires that all contributions or loans made to the candidate, to a person on behalf of the candidate, or to the candidate’s controlled committee, be deposited into the account. As amended April 27, this bill would provide that no contribution shall be deposited into the account unless information including the name, address, occupation, and employer of the contributor is on file in the records of the recipient of the contribution or loan.

Also under the Political Reform Act, certain public officials and designated employees of public agencies are required to file annual statements disclosing their economic interests. Existing law requires that the statement disclose all investments, interests in real property, and sources of income of those persons to be disclosed on their statements if the investments, interests in real property, and sources of income exceed specified minimum dollar values. This bill would revise the minimum dollar values for this purpose. [S. Floor]

**WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS**

**AB 1127 (Speier).** The Reporting of Improper Governmental Activities Act prohibits an employee from directly or indirectly using or attempting to use his/her official authority or influence for the purpose of intimidating, threatening, coercing, commanding, or attempting to intimidate, threaten, coerce, or command any person for the purpose of interfering with the right of that person to disclose improper governmental activity to certain entities pursuant to the Act. As amended May 3, this bill would include a member of the legislature among those entities to whom a person may disclose improper governmental activity.

Existing law permits a state employee or applicant for state employment to file a complaint with his/her supervisor, manager, the appointing authority, or the State Personnel Board alleging actual or attempted acts of reprisal, retaliation, threats, coercion, or similar improper acts relating to the reporting of improper governmental activity. This bill would permit a state employee or applicant for state employment to also provide to a member of the legislature information alleging ac-
tual or attempted acts of reprisal, retaliation, threats, coercion, or similar prohibited improper acts. The bill would also provide that the protections afforded by the Act to state employees or applicants for state employment shall commence when the state employee or applicant for state employment initially provides information regarding the improper governmental activity to the member or his/her representative.

This bill would also require a state agency, if the agency determines that an employee is responsible for improper governmental activity involving the loss of $1,000 or more in state funds or fees, or involving the improper use of resources valued in excess of $1,000, to take certain actions. The bill would also require a state agency, upon request of a member of the legislature, to provide to that member all improper government activity files retained by the state agency whose file date is within three years of the date of the member’s request. [A. W&M]

SB 194 (Hughes). Existing law prohibits a local agency officer, manager, or supervisor from taking a reprisal action through any act of intimidation, restraint, coercion, or discrimination against any employee or applicant for employment who files a complaint with a local agency that discloses information regarding gross mismanagement or a significant waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety. Existing law defines “reprisal action” to mean any act of intimidation, restraint, coercion, or discrimination against any employee, or applicant for employment, who files a complaint pursuant to these provisions. As amended April 22, this bill would include the firing of an employee within the definition of reprisal action for purposes of these provisions. [A. PERet&SS]